

Spondylodiscitis Updated Management And Clinical Outcome Diagnosis By X-Ray , Lab Isolation Of Organisms And Treatment: Review, And Study

Faris Hussain Hamad Al Khuraim¹, Hamad Hussein Hamad Al Khuraim², Mohammed Mahd Slem Al Sharah³, Saleh Mohamed Alhtailah⁴, Fahad Hamad Ali Alzahoor⁵, Ali Mohammed Hatim Al Hutaylah⁶, Yazeed Salim Alqahtani⁷, Mohammed Eid Nafa Al Rashidi⁸

Abstract

Vertebral osteomyelitis, a serious and potentially debilitating condition, poses challenges in diagnosis and management. This abstract synthesizes insights from a variety of studies to provide a comprehensive overview. CT-guided fine-needle aspiration emerges as a valuable diagnostic tool, enhancing the accuracy of diagnosis. Management algorithms and updates on diagnosis and treatment protocols underscore the evolving nature of care in this field. Despite the severity of primary acquired spondylodiscitis, studies suggest that spinal instrumentation does not significantly increase recurrent infection rates, offering reassurance in surgical approaches. Systematic reviews highlight the clinical characteristics of pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis, emphasizing the importance of early detection and intervention. Retrospective analyses shed light on the comparative severity of primary acquired spondylodiscitis versus cases following spine surgery, informing prognostic considerations. Additionally, meta-analyses provide insights into the epidemiology and outcomes of spinal epidural abscesses, further enriching the understanding of infectious spinal pathologies. This abstract consolidates key findings to inform clinical practice and guide future research endeavors in the management of vertebral osteomyelitis and related conditions.

Introduction :

Spondylodiscitis defined as an infection of the disc and the adjacent vertebrae, most commonly (55–80%) caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* and spread hematogenously⁽¹⁾. Spondylodiscitis initially affect the disc, then spread to the vertebral endplates, and in turn can spread to the paraspinal tissues⁽²⁾.

The worldwide incidence is reported as 4–24 cases/million/year^(3,4). And usually male patients are affected twice as often as females⁽⁵⁾.

This infection can emerge primarily or secondarily, due to spinal interventions, whereas primary acquired spondylodiscitis shows a more severe course and significantly

¹Dental Assistant Technician King Khalid Hospital Najran

²Health Information Khabash General Hospital Najran

³Healthy Assistant New Najran General Hospital Najran

⁴Anesthesia Ngh

⁵Medical Records Technician King Khaled Hospital Najran

⁶Emergency Medical Technician New Najran General Hospital Najran

⁷Nurse Najran General Hospital Najran

⁸Nurse Irada Complex For Mental Health Hail

higher mortality rate (12.5 vs. 1.8%) than postoperative infections ⁽⁶⁾. A distant focus of infection has been identified in almost half of cases of spondylodiscitis. Mylona et al. described these to include the genitourinary tract (17%), skin and soft tissue (11%), intravascular devices (5%), gastrointestinal tract (5%), respiratory tract (2%) and the oral cavity (2%). Infective endocarditis was reported in 12% ⁽⁷⁾.

Before the advent of antibiotics, the mortality rate of spinal infections in general was almost 70% and is still up to 16% within the first year in spite of surgical and antimicrobial treatment ^(8,9). Now mortality rates from spondylodiscitis have been reported to be up to 21% in the literature^(10,11).

The usual affected age group is ranging between 50 and 60, and add to that due to the unspecific symptoms, this often leads to a delay in diagnosis and may explain why mortality still High ⁽¹²⁾.

Diagnosis of spondylodiscitis is suspected when the patient has back pain, fever and deformity, nevertheless these clinical presentation are not very sensitive. Laboratory-wise is the combination of high erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) with high levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and, a less helpful, leukocytosis ⁽¹³⁾. Radiology on the other hand sometimes helpful and sometime less and can't distinguish between infection destruction and degenerative changes. MRI with gadolinium enhancement is the most helpful choice for the diagnosis of spondylodiscitis because of the high sensitivity and the specificity, and of course, because of its anatomical details ⁽¹⁴⁾. Lastly the definitive method for diagnosis of spondylodiscitis is the Blood culture which is a very cost-effective test and sometime imagebased ⁽¹⁵⁾.

The first-line in the treatment of choice is the conservative approach, especially with no, or minor, neurologic deficits and in case of severe comorbidities, when surgical options are limited. Clindamycin + Ciprofloxacin or Cefotaxim + Flucloxacillin are the perfect agents to be given early to cover a wide spectrum of pathogens ⁽¹⁶⁾. Subsequently, therapy has to, of course, be adjusted to results of culture results. Specific antibiotics should be given intravenously for a duration of 2 to 4 weeks or until CRP has largely dropped. Then, replaced by the oral antibiotic treatment and continued for a total of 6 to 12 weeks ^(12,16).

Conservative treatment should also add and include bed rest with or without orthosis for at least 6 weeks, depending on pain upon mobilization ⁽¹⁷⁾.

Objectives : -

The aim of the current study is to evaluate the different spondylodiscitis presentation and the different management and compare that to the clinical outcomes after each approach.

Methodology : - v Study Period and

Participants in the study: -

Patients data will be collected from all whom treated from Spondylodiscitis in Neurosurgery department at King Fahad General Hospital in Medina, Saudi Arabia from January 2022 till December 2023. The eligibility criteria and target population of this study is comprised of adult patients, both genders more than 18 years, all types of patients' Spondylodiscitis will be included.

Children and patient with normal vital signs will be excluded from the study. The current study will be conducted for all eligible patients who will be admitted to the Neurosurgery Department suspected to have Spondylodiscitis based on the presence of clinical features (e.g., fever, back pain, and Neurological deficit) and also physical

examination to detect if there is any tenderness and to assess the neurological deficit; in accordance with American Spinal Injury Association guidelines and including imaging of the spine by CT, MRI or X-ray.

❖ **Setting**

The study will be performed at King Fahad General Hospital in Madinah, Saudi Arabia. King Fahad General Hospital is the only public hospital in Medina with a neurosurgery department who has providing medical service to around 267,000 every year ⁽²³⁾. anyhow, there is also a private sectors in Medina that treats patients who can afford surgical expenses.

❖ **Data collection methods:**

Patients data will be collected in patients diagnosed with Spondylodiscitis. Including the primary presentation in the emergency department, the primary diagnosis there, the lab tests, the radiological finding, the management, and the outcomes in the follow up during and after the hospitalization. All of these data at the end will be compared to each other.

v **Mechanisms to assure the quality of the study:**

All the patients data and findings will be obtained by neurosurgical doctors and the radiological finding will be obtained by well-trained radiologists who experience in neurosurgical imaging.

❖ **Data Analysis Methods:**

Statistical analysis of the results will be done using SPSS for windows version 21.

❖ **Resources required for the study:**

We have used PubMed as our primary resource.

❖ **Ethical considerations :**

Ethical approval will be obtained from the Research Ethics Committee in King Fahd Hospital in Medina city. all who met the inclusion criteria will be invited to participate in the study by the researchers. The study will be explained to the Participants and they will be assured of the voluntary nature of participation. Also they will be signing a consent form before data will collected. Also all of the data will never include personal information.

Discussion

Scientific Discussion:

Vertebral osteomyelitis is a complex and challenging condition that requires a multidisciplinary approach for accurate diagnosis and effective management. The studies referenced offer valuable insights into various aspects of this condition, including diagnostic modalities, treatment strategies, and clinical outcomes.

CT-guided fine-needle aspiration emerges as a pivotal diagnostic tool in the evaluation of vertebral osteomyelitis. By providing precise localization and sampling of infected tissue, CT-guided aspiration enhances diagnostic accuracy, enabling prompt initiation of appropriate treatment. This highlights the importance of integrating advanced imaging techniques into the diagnostic algorithm for suspected cases of vertebral osteomyelitis.

The discussion also delves into the evolving landscape of treatment algorithms for spinal infections. Duarte and Vaccaro present a comprehensive management algorithm, reflecting advancements in therapeutic approaches and the importance of individualized care. Similarly, Gouliouris et al. and Zarghooni et al. contribute updates on diagnosis and treatment, emphasizing the role of antimicrobial therapy and surgical intervention in optimizing patient outcomes. By synthesizing these perspectives, clinicians can tailor treatment strategies based on the underlying etiology, severity of infection, and patient-specific factors.

Insights from retrospective studies, such as that by Tschugg et al., provide valuable comparative data on the clinical course and outcomes of primary acquired spondylodiscitis versus cases following spine surgery. Understanding the distinct characteristics and prognostic factors associated with these subtypes of spinal infections informs clinical decision-making and risk stratification.

Furthermore, systematic reviews, such as the work by Mylona et al., offer a comprehensive synthesis of clinical characteristics, aiding in the early recognition and diagnosis of pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis. By elucidating the spectrum of clinical presentations and associated risk factors, these reviews facilitate timely intervention, thereby minimizing morbidity and mortality associated with this condition.

The discussion also encompasses epidemiological aspects, with studies by Reihnsaus et al. and Bydon et al. providing valuable insights into the incidence and recurrence rates of spinal epidural abscesses and recurrent infections following spinal instrumentation, respectively. These findings underscore the importance of surveillance and proactive management strategies to mitigate the risk of complications and optimize long-term outcomes.

In conclusion, the scientific discussion encapsulates the multidimensional nature of vertebral osteomyelitis, incorporating insights from diagnostic, therapeutic, and prognostic perspectives. By synthesizing evidence from diverse studies, this discussion informs clinical practice and lays the groundwork for future research aimed at further refining diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in the management of spinal infections.

Conclusions:

1. **Diagnostic Advancements:** The utilization of CT-guided fine-needle aspiration represents a significant advancement in the diagnostic approach to vertebral osteomyelitis, enhancing precision and accuracy in tissue sampling for microbial identification.
2. **Treatment Algorithm Optimization:** The integration of updated management algorithms, including the incorporation of antimicrobial therapy and surgical intervention as appropriate, facilitates individualized treatment strategies tailored to the specific characteristics of spinal infections.
3. **Clinical Course Variability:** Retrospective analyses reveal variability in the clinical course and outcomes of primary acquired spondylodiscitis compared to cases following spine surgery, emphasizing the importance of recognizing distinct subtypes and tailoring management accordingly.
4. **Early Recognition and Intervention:** Systematic reviews aid in the early recognition of pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis by elucidating key clinical characteristics and associated risk factors, enabling prompt initiation of appropriate treatment and minimizing morbidity and mortality.
5. **Surveillance and Proactive Management:** Epidemiological studies underscore the importance of surveillance and proactive management strategies in mitigating the risk of complications, including recurrent infections following spinal instrumentation and spinal epidural abscesses.

6. **Integrated Multidisciplinary Care:** The collective evidence highlights the importance of a multidisciplinary approach encompassing radiology, infectious diseases, neurosurgery, and orthopedics in optimizing the diagnosis and management of vertebral osteomyelitis, emphasizing the need for collaborative decision-making and coordinated care pathways.

Overall, these conclusions emphasize the need for ongoing research and clinical collaboration to further refine diagnostic and therapeutic strategies, improve outcomes, and reduce the burden of spinal infections on patients and healthcare systems

References :

1. de Lucas EM, Gonzalez Mandly A, Gutierrez A, Pellon R, Martin-Cuesta L, Izquierdo J, et al. CT-guided fine-needle aspiration in vertebral osteomyelitis: true usefulness of a common practice. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2009;28(3):315–320. doi: 10.1007/s10067-008-1051-5.
2. Duarte RMVaccaro AR: Spinal infection: state of the art and management algorithm. *Eur Spine J* 22:2787–27992013
3. Gouliouris TAliyu SHBrown NM: Spondylodiscitis: update on diagnosis and management. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 65 (Suppl 3):iii11–iii242010
4. Zimmerli W: Clinical practice. Vertebral osteomyelitis. *N Engl J Med* 362:1022–10292010
5. Zarghooni K, Röllinghoff M, Sobottke R. Treatment of spondylodiscitis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2010;130(9):1083–1091. doi: 10.1007/s00402-009-0972-z.
6. Tschugg A, Lener S, Hartmann S, Rietzler A, Neururer S, Thomé C. (2017) Primary acquired spondylodiscitis shows a more severe course than spondylodiscitis following spine surgery: a single-center retrospective study of 159 cases. *Neurosurg Rev* 41(1):141–147
7. Mylona E, Samarkos M, Kakalou E et al. Pyogenic vertebral osteomyelitis: a systematic review of clinical characteristics. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2009; 39: 10 –7
8. Bydon MDe la Garza-Ramos RMacki MNaumann MSciubba DMWolinsky JP: Spinal instrumentation in patients with primary spinal infections does not lead to greater recurrent infection rates: an analysis of 118 cases. *World Neurosurg* 82:e807–e8142014
9. Reihnsaus EWaldbaur HSeeling W: Spinal epidural abscess: a meta-analysis of 915 patients. *Neurosurg Rev* 23:175–2052000
10. Schimmer RCJeanneret CNunley PDJeanneret B: Osteomyelitis of the cervical spine: a potentially dramatic disease. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 15:110–1172002
11. Urrutia JZamora TCampos M: Cervical pyogenic spinal infections: are they more severe diseases than infections in other vertebral locations? *Eur Spine J* 22:2815–28202013
12. Skaf GS, Domloj NT, Fehlings MG, Bouclaous CH, Sabbagh AS, Kanafani ZA, et al. Pyogenic spondylodiscitis: an overview. *J Infect Public Health.* 2010;3(1):5–16. doi: