

Psychological Effects Of Terrorism: Counterterrorism Strategies In The Digital Age

Grzegorz Kubiński PhD

Abstract:

The article presents an analysis of terrorism as the creation of a specific symbolic space. The Islamic State is used as an example, whose presence in the media space was very intense. It utilized various media tools to create a specific image of reality. The idea was to present the Islamic State as a terrorist organization in a new media environment and to highlight the use of elements of Western culture in shaping the image of the contemporary terrorist. The study explores the multifaceted nature of contemporary terrorism, delineating its dual role as both a tangible threat to human life and a symbolic tool for advancing specific ideologies. The paper examines terrorism within the broader security framework, emphasizing its evolving manifestations in informational warfare and network-based conflicts. The analysis extends to the symbiotic relationship between terrorism and media, with a focus on the Islamic State's adept utilization of social platforms and popular culture elements for propaganda purposes, this paper highlights its inversion of Western desires and the unsettling revelation of hidden societal tensions, drawing examples from popular culture and insights from Freud and Zizek. Implications for Western Societies: The essay concludes by reflecting on the implications of symbolic violence for Western societies, urging a critical examination of the underlying cultural structures perpetuating symbolic violence and media seduction.

Keywords: Terrorism, Islamic State, new media, internet, symbolic universe.

Introduction

POSTMODERN TERRORISM

In contemporary times, terrorism has become a common phenomenon, being on one hand a real threat to human life, and on the other hand a tool for symbolic struggle for specific ideas and values. In the first understanding, terrorism should primarily be understood as a political-military threat. It falls into the broad category of public security (Gupta, 2008, 64-78). As Tomasz Aleksandrowicz and Bogusław Szlachcic write, "when we talk about security, we mean preemptive actions, characterized by reason, without unnecessary risk, based on knowledge and experience. Internal state security is a concept based on specific assumptions of counteracting and preventing threats. Usually, security is defined as a state of no threat, a state of peace and certainty" (Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic 2012, 14). It can take the forms of "military, political, economic, cultural, humanitarian, ecological, ideological, ethnic security," and in the context of the Islamic State, informational security seems extremely important, "meaning a state free from threats understood as unauthorized information transmission, espionage, subversive or sabotage activities," as well as detecting and countering threats such as "disinformation, trolling, hostile propaganda, cyber network attacks, technological gaps, monopolization of the information market, hostile entities taking over media, distorting content, propaganda, escalating tensions" (Aleksandrowicz

2016, 111-115). Contemporary terrorism utilizes information warfare, which is "a set of offensive and defensive actions necessary to gain an advantage over the opponent and achieve intended goals. The essence of information warfare is the destruction or degradation of the opponent's informational resources"(Aleksandrowicz 2016, 111-115). Terrorism itself can be understood as "network warfare, which involves actions of organizations with a network structure, consisting of distinct, autonomous nodes connected in various configurations that can undergo dynamic changes. The situation of conflict involving network organizations began to be referred to as "network warfare" as an emerging form of social conflict (and crime), less intense than traditional armed conflict, in which protagonists use network forms of organization and associated doctrines, strategies, and technologies adapted to the information age. The concept of information warfare is often treated as a synonym for cyber warfare, but these are not identical concepts, although complementary; not all manifestations of information warfare need to manifest in cyberspace"(Aleksandrowicz 2016, 116). Nowadays, the war against terrorism is not only fought on battlefields but has also taken over social media platforms and elements of popular culture. "Today is the era of postmodern terrorism," as Robert Borkowski writes, "in which there is a sense of threat from groups that, unable to match the military power of Western states, resort to unconventional methods of armed conflict. This is a threat of an asymmetric nature, with no contact with the enemy, leaders remaining in hiding, no possibility of negotiations, terrorists' goals formulated unclearly, and their program remaining in the realm of speculation" (Borkowski 2014, 53). Pointing to the precursor of "social terrorism," Al-Qaeda is mainly mentioned, mainly using video services to disseminate its information. It would not be an exaggeration to say that the role of the mentioned organization has now been taken over by "Al-Qaeda 2.0," represented by the Islamic State (Hall 2017; Archetti 2013, 3). Terrorism has been functioning as a full-fledged part of Western social life for a long time, utilizing its technological advancements. In times when more than half of the anti-terrorism actions take place in the media, the issue for the West becomes not only the propaganda of the Islamic State itself but primarily the utilization of its technological achievements to spread terror (Archetti 2013, 3).

TERROR AS COMMUNICATION

1. However, terrorism itself, while being an extremely real action, the analysis of media content, especially online messages, falls within the realm of the "symbolic sphere" as indicated by Jean Baudrillard. He writes about the "spirit of terrorism" as follows: "Never attack the system in terms of power relations. Instead, move the fight to the symbolic sphere where the rule is challenge, reversal, raising the stakes. Where the rule is that the only response to death can be equal or higher death. Challenge the system with a gift, to which it can only respond with its own death or collapse"(Baudrillard 2005, 20-21). This kind of symbolic gift cannot, according to Western logic, be reciprocated. It seems that there is no symbolic content capable of responding to the call of Daesh's terror. Creating a propaganda industry based on violence works entirely differently. Showing extremely brutal acts that exceed human understanding in a medium that was supposed to bring people together to create a community has led to this form of symbolic communication being hijacked by the logic of terrorism. A realistic execution video could be seen by a user accidentally, as an unwanted addition to an article being read, or appear at the least expected moment. Such practices of sharing and disseminating extremely brutal materials that are part of a fundamentalist ideology must use a language understandable to the audience. This shared act of communication is based on symbolic violence, defined as "a form of violence that affects a social subject with their participation"(Bourdieu, Wacquant, 2001, 162). It is primarily a mutually accepted form of interaction as the appropriation of symbols for the purpose of constructing a mythical narrative through widely understood signs, rather than just depicting acts of ordinary cruelty. Terror, including that of the Islamic State, is a consent of the parties in conflict to such a form of communication and reality creation, which, although forced, remains binding. Both aggression and resistance are

inscribed in the same dialectical structure, and the message is constructed and accepted on the grounds of a shared symbolic universe. The necessity of receiving the message of terrorist action requires a common language, the surrender or use of parts of one's own symbolic universe to the enemy, through which they have the ability to communicate. By becoming a partner in this evil dialogue, Western societies cannot respond in the same way; death and cruelty must be mediated and transferred into the space of symbolic simulation, as otherwise, they become unbearable. It is easier to discuss problems when observing them from a certain, even if only media-based, distance. Western society is unable to commit real acts of barbarism, partly due to its history and memory, with the inhumane Holocaust forever serving as a reference point. However, actions in the symbolic space, the use of similar language in media, films, computer games, and the press, in part make the West responsible for the current state of affairs. The Western world, based on variously defined values, whether capitalist, democratic, or neoliberal, did not collapse as Baudrillard predicted, perhaps not entirely, but at least in part attempted to return the symbolic gift of violence and terror. Terrorism is "essentially an act of communication, through which direct human victims of violence are chosen randomly or consciously from the population and serve as creators of the message.

2.

Table 1 Forms of Security:

Form of Security	Description	Citation
Military	Direct military actions to ensure safety and defense.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)
Political	Measures taken on the political front to address threats and ensure stability.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)
Economic	Strategies to safeguard economic stability and prevent financial threats.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)
Cultural	Protection of cultural heritage and values against external influences.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)
Humanitarian	Efforts to ensure the well-being and safety of individuals and communities.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)
Ecological	Actions aimed at preserving the environment and preventing ecological threats.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)
Ideological	Safeguarding core ideological beliefs and principles against opposing forces.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)
Ethnic	Protection of ethnic identity and harmony within diverse societies.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)
Informational	Ensuring security in the realm of information, including countering disinformation and cyber threats.	(Aleksandrowicz, Szlachcic, 2012, p. 14)

Table 2 Elements of Information Warfare:

Information Warfare Element	Description	Citation
Offensive Actions	Tactics aimed at gaining advantage over opponents in the information space.	(Aleksandrowicz, 2016, pp. 111-115)
Defensive Actions	Strategies to protect one's own informational resources and counteract hostile actions.	(Aleksandrowicz, 2016, pp. 111-115)
Destruction of Resources	Disrupting or degrading the opponent's informational assets to weaken their position.	(Aleksandrowicz, 2016, pp. 111-115)
Cyber Network Attacks	Offensive actions targeting computer networks and systems to achieve strategic goals.	(Aleksandrowicz, 2016, pp. 111-115)
Technological Gaps	Identification and exploitation of weaknesses in technological infrastructure for strategic advantage.	(Aleksandrowicz, 2016, pp. 111-115)
Media Manipulation	Utilization of media channels to disseminate propaganda and shape public perception.	(Aleksandrowicz, 2016, pp. 111-115)

Table 3 Characteristics of Postmodern Terrorism:

Characteristic	Description	Citation
Asymmetric Nature	Involvement in unconventional armed conflict due to inability to match military power of Western states.	(Borkowski, 2014, p. 53)
Lack of Contact with the Enemy	Limited or no direct engagement with opposing forces, often resorting to remote or covert operations.	(Borkowski, 2014, p. 53)
Leaders in Hiding	Concealment of leadership figures to avoid detection and targeted attacks.	(Borkowski, 2014, p. 53)
Unclear Goals and Program	Ambiguous or unspecified objectives and strategies, leading to speculation about the organization's intentions.	(Borkowski, 2014, p. 53)
Utilization of Information Warfare	Emphasis on leveraging information warfare tactics, including propaganda and media manipulation, for strategic gain.	(Borkowski, 2014, p. 53)

Table 4 Dimensions of Discourse:

Discourse Dimension	Description	Citation
Language Use	Patterns of linguistic expression and communication strategies employed in conveying messages.	(van Dijk, 2001, p. 10)
Conveying Ideas	Transmission of beliefs, ideologies, and emotions through discourse.	(van Dijk, 2001, p. 10)
Interaction	Engagement and interaction between participants beyond mere transmission of information.	(van Dijk, 2001, p. 10)

Table 5 Phases of Terrorist Action:

Phase	Description	Citation
Symbolic Sphere	Analysis of media content and online messages, focusing on the symbolic aspect of terrorism.	(Baudrillard, 2005, pp. 20-21)

Phase	Description	Citation
Real Action	Execution of tangible terrorist activities, including attacks and propaganda dissemination.	(Lewis, 2005, pp. 23-25)

Table 6 Elements of Phantasmatic Narrative:

Narrative Element	Description	Citation
Inversion and Appropriation	Utilization of hidden desires and forbidden behaviors in Western societies for narrative construction.	(Freud, 1997, p. 236; Zizek, 2001, pp. 48-50)
Incorporation of Human Bodies	Enclosure of citizens within safe cocoons of liberal-democratic ideologies.	(Hardt, Negri, 2005, pp. 233-235; Esposito, 2008, pp. 14-16, 150)
Terror as the "Uncanny"	Evoking fear while touching upon long-known concepts and desires.	(Freud, 1997, p. 236; Zizek, 2001, pp. 48-50)

7. Attributes of the Simulacrum of Violence:

Attribute	Description	Citation
Spectacular Forms	Terrorism taking highly visible and attention-grabbing forms in the global symbolic dimension.	(Smith, 2008, pp. 64-72)
Integration of Real Violence	Seamless integration of physical and symbolic violence in ISIS activities.	(Smith, 2008, pp. 64-72)
Media Exploitation	Conscious exploitation of media channels and accessible platforms by ISIS for propaganda purposes.	(Smith, 2008, pp. 64-72)

Communication has become a central element of violence because terrorism and the media have become more or less symbiotic in recent years" (Lewis 2005, 23-25). Terrorism as a symbolic communication tool utilizes a necessary, albeit ambiguous symbiosis of opposing symbolic universes. This ambiguity lies in the use by the Islamic State of a range of elements of Western popular culture to shape a readable and clear message, while this formula allows the West to decode culturally foreign content. The attack on the World Trade Center can be seen as a brutal murder or as an "event" and a "spectacle," a premeditated transfer of pure physical violence to a higher level, extracting real actions into symbolic positions - not only the building was destroyed, but also the symbol of America. This kind of "television terrorism"(Smith 2008, 64-72) shows that the attacks are acts of real violence, but without an adequate symbolic code, they would simply be common murders. As a form of communication, terrorism has a discursive character, being an "communicative event" enabling "conveying different ideas and beliefs or expressing emotions. It does so within the framework set by more complex social situations" and, "participants in communication do something that goes beyond the level of conveying beliefs: they engage in interactions," (van Dijk 2001, 10) which allows for the identification of three main dimensions of discourse: language use, conveying ideas, and interaction. This understanding of discourse is significant due to the emphasis it places on the element of communicative action, in which there is "something beyond" mere information transmission. Here, "ideas, beliefs, and emotions" come into play, creating an essential part of the message. It seems that this type of discourse is present in the messages of the Islamic State, presenting content that is by definition emotional and ideological, but this discourse also appears on the side of Western societies. The discourse of terror functions and fulfills itself here not so much in a referential as in a phatic function. Its role is to sustain the communicative act through the exchange of ritual formulas, taking extreme forms in terrorism (Jakobson, 1989, 85). Terrorist actions serve to maintain continuous tension and take the form of interactions between interlocutors. The terrorism of the Islamic State, analyzed as a symbolic structure, begins to function largely as a message in itself, for which

attacks are only an additional reinforcement that substantiates the message. In this context, attacks have ceased to be the main goal and have become a certain addition to the terrorist action itself, constituting a phatic message that is essentially a well-prepared media spectacle. The fear of the possibility of an unexpected and deadly attack has become one of the elements of the code used by Daesh. The act of terror has, in a sense, become secondary; the fear and apprehension of it still exist, but the Islamic State, through a consciously expanded propaganda machine, shows that what truly empowers human fear is well-prepared information.

PHANTASMATIC STATE

In a theoretical analysis, the phantasmatic narrative of terrorism is primarily based on inversion and appropriation of hidden desires and forbidden behaviors present in Western societies. Subjected to carceral regimes, today, one can say biopolitical biopower, as the incorporation of human bodies into political tasks, citizens of Western societies become enclosed in safe cocoons of liberal-democratic ideologies (Hardt, Negri, 2005, 233-235; Esposito, 2008, 14-16, 150). The Islamic State is terrifying, becoming an ambiguous "other" - close and distant at the same time. Just like Freud's concept of the "uncanny," which "evokes fear" and at the same time "comes down to what has long been known," (Freud 1997, 236) the Islamic State "does it for us" (Zizek 2001, 48-50) the decent and virtuous citizens, all those things we secretly desire. Moral law, ethics, human rights, and multiculturalism are present as cultural constructs forcing certain behaviors, mediating the hidden face of Western man - the fear of real otherness. In the context of terrorist attacks, Baudrillard writes: "The fact that we dreamed of this event; that we all without exception dreamed of it - because one cannot help but dream of the destruction of power that becomes a hegemon - is unacceptable to Western moral consciousness. Meanwhile, it is a fact, and the weight of it is evidenced by the pathetic violence of discourses that seek to erase it. It is somewhat like they did what we wanted" (Baudrillard 2005, 7).

In pop culture, we find traces of what builds the illusion of an accepted reality as a symbolic universe, concealing dirty and forbidden desires demanding satisfaction. Popular series like "Desperate Housewives" or "Twin Peaks" show how beneath stable social structures, unsettling unrestrained desires thrive. The Islamic State, in a way, frees the West from this desire, and even the Eurocentric obligation of "possessing the savage," their own Crusoe's Friday. The duty to seek and point out the "other" so that the European community can function has been ensured by the appearance of jihadists. Their actions involve all kinds of barbarism and macabre acts that the Western man can imagine or has imagined but has repudiated with disgust in defining his humanity. Daesh terrorists show that forbidden things do not always dehumanize - one can be a "barbarian" and still drive a Toyota. As one ISIS fighter would say: "Mujahideen are ordinary people. We have wives, families, our lives just like other soldiers in other armies" (Mahood, Rane 2017, 29). For the Western consciousness, the shock is not so much the brutality and destruction of traditions in the name of religion, as the exact same was done during the Crusades or conquests and countless religious pogroms in the 16th and 17th centuries. What is inconceivable to the rational Western mind is the affirmation of real cruelty expressed in accepted, everyday symbolic forms of expression. Real cruelty enters the permissible symbolic formulas of "otherness," "diversity," "acceptance" in Western societies, manifesting real, unmediated cruelty - terror represents pure "shock, disgust, revulsion" (Townshend 2017, 27). The West has repented for its criminal actions, whereas the Islamic State, on the contrary, loudly and directly calls for murder and destruction in its propaganda materials. Terrorism is understood here not so much as a technique of military actions but a system of exchange in which life is not essential, just as it is not essential who loses it. This exchange takes place on the level of symbolic universes, and the one who endures more will prevail. The opposition of "Western man - Islamic State terrorist" emerges. It is expressed in very basic visual elements represented primarily by covered faces, black attire of fighters, or weapons on one side, and bright victim jumpsuits or civilian, everyday clothing used by residents of Western societies on the other. This simplest visual identification is somewhat balanced by

the media images of terrorists as familiar and homely people, citizens of Western countries. Information about "lone wolves" or "homegrown terrorists shows" how terror, understood as a constant threat, is sustained. In this case, it is done by blurring the differences between familiarity and foreignness. Muslims are encouraged to organize in their places of residence, create meeting places, and express allegiance to the caliphate if they cannot travel to territories controlled by the Islamic State. They are to spread their allegiance using media "including the internet," and if they live in a "police state" that punishes them for it, they should become anonymous. The traditional technique of *taqiya* comes to their aid, allowing Muslims to hide their faith in threatening situations (Dabiq 1436, 8-9). However, the *mujahideen* will only be glorified when they reveal themselves, and the world hears about their actions. Open attacks are intended not only to strengthen ties with the caliphate but also to "fill the hearts of unbelievers with painful agony" - Muslims' admission to attacks while living in the West is a deliberate action aimed at causing panic (Dabiq 1435). The figure of the suicide terrorist exemplifies the symbolic gift offered to Western society by the Islamic State. A gift that it will not accept because it will not send its soldiers to inflict death upon themselves, will not send women and children with explosive devices into crowds. In the dizzying cycle of impossible death exchange," writes Baudrillard, "the terrorist's death is an infinitely small point, but it triggers a gigantic suction, emptiness, consequences. Around this tiny point, the entire system, the system of reality and power, condenses, convulses, gathers itself, and collapses into its own hyper-effectiveness" (Baudrillard 2005, 21). This "tiny point," this terrifying event, Western societies cannot return. All anti-terrorist actions are precisely "anti-terrorist" military operations. These are still Western ways of resolving conflicts, they are human, embedded in the strategy of war as "an extension of politics" according to Clausewitz's assertion. The Islamic State, on the other hand, employs a "sacrificial model tactic," a terror "based on provoking an excess of reality and forcing the system to collapse under this excess," (Baudrillard 2005, 35) introducing unrestrained violence and self-sacrifice. Through this, what is forbidden is introduced, and the taboo is broken, creating a society. The actions of the Islamic State perfectly align both forms of violence, showing that the symbolic violence of terror is well justified.

SIMULACRUM OF VIOLENCE

The Western world has understood that terror can take extremely spectacular forms in the global symbolic dimension, not just limited to relatively small, local military impact. ISIS has learned from the actions of its parent organization, focusing primarily on real violence offered in a spectacular, symbolic message in its activities. Execution videos created by the Islamic State are now partly a part of the Western, pop-cultural, contemporary media landscape. Access to the Internet possessed by a significant number of global residents and essentially every participant in Western culture makes it extremely easy to familiarize oneself with these materials. Daesh's propaganda tactics, consciously exploiting such accessibility, are simple and fascinating in their simplicity. What seems so irritating and disturbing about the role that violence plays on a symbolic level in the context of the Islamic State is not so much the distinction between physical and symbolic violence but the perfect integration of these two forms. The religion around which the Islamic State's message is built seems to be a secondary element to the Western audience in relation to acts of violence and fantasies of a global caliphate. Of course, it cannot be said that religion does not play any role in the ISIS program; quite the opposite. However, looking at its role in the entire process of constructing the Islamic State's phantasm, it can be observed that films and materials related to religion as such are significantly less exposed. The propagandistic power of ISIS's phantasmatic materials lies in the proposed cultural transgression that goes beyond all norms accepted in Western society. Violence is a much more interesting element in the ISIS media message, both for potential recruits, sympathizers, and Western mass media. The ISIS propaganda machine perfectly senses that such behaviors are most tempting in Western social space. Exposing deviant behaviors is much more attractive than posts and prayers. In the content presented on social media, ISIS is not justified by religion

for its cruelty, as in other historically known actions. In this case, the presence of violence justifies the Western hidden and socially excluded fascination with what is absolutely inhuman (Huet, 1993). While in ISIS materials there is a departure from the exposure of brutality in favor of a utopian vision of the caliphate, the attention of the Western audience usually focuses on the fantasy of violence. Complicated theological discussions or socio-economic issues are not very attractive; a film or photograph showing violence is much more accessible to the average viewer. The symbolism of ISIS's brutal actions does not diminish the responsibility of the Western consumer of this content and does not excuse them. The ISIS propaganda machine seems to be saying that the consumer is aware that the media messages present - not simulate - reality. Of course, the way they are formatted (resembling computer games or Hollywood movies) is crucial, but media using such symbols do not remove the responsibility for their adequate understanding. What is present in the sphere of media communication, spectacle, and the specific creation carried out by ISIS's propaganda agendas is so disturbing and incomprehensible because it is true but presented using an attractive symbolic code for consumer society. The ISIS propaganda has managed to create a simulated spectacle of violence, perhaps easier to justify. It is intended to function through tempting symbols and images, through which Western youth will be more willing to convert and join the fighters, seeking adventure and adrenaline.

Table 7 Description of Terms

Term	Description	Reference
Postmodern Terrorism	A form of terrorism that utilizes symbolic communication and media manipulation to spread fear and advance an ideology.	Borkowski, Robert (2014). <i>Terroryzm a bezpieczeństwo międzynarodowe</i> [Terrorism and international security]. Warsaw: Scholar.
Information Warfare	A military strategy that involves using information to gain an advantage over the enemy.	Aleksandrowicz, Tomasz (2016). <i>Terroryzm. Geneza, przejawy, zwalczanie</i> [Terrorism. Genesis, manifestations, counteraction]. Warsaw: Scholar.
Network Warfare	A type of warfare that involves decentralized and autonomous organizations.	Aleksandrowicz, Tomasz (2016). <i>Terroryzm. Geneza, przejawy, zwalczanie</i> [Terrorism. Genesis, manifestations, counteraction]. Warsaw: Scholar.
Symbolic Sphere	The realm of ideas, signs, and symbols.	Baudrillard, Jean (2005). <i>The Spirit of Terrorism</i> . New York: Verso.
Symbolic Violence	A form of violence that is exerted through language, signs, and symbols.	Bourdieu, Pierre & Wacquant, Loïc (2001). <i>An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology</i> . Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
Phantasmatic State	A state of illusion or fantasy.	Žižek, Slavoj (2001). <i>On Terror</i> . New York: PublicAffairs.
Simulacrum of Violence	A representation of violence that is not real but appears to be.	Baudrillard, Jean (2005). <i>The Spirit of Terrorism</i> . New York: Verso.

The mediated, pictorial, symbolic, or sign-mediated mediation of the terrorists' brutality allows the Western world to free itself from the burden of struggling with reality, and the mediated violence paradoxically rationalizes reality. Therefore, the proposal of symbolic violence in ISIS's propaganda materials is not so much a transfer of violence and terrorism to a more abstract level but rather the opposite, using familiar symbolic forms to make that violence close and real. It is a well-prepared, packaged, branded, and labeled message from

the Islamic State, a well-formatted message ready for consumption. The "user manual" used by the Islamic State in the form of exemplary, often taken out of context Quranic verses provides a strong basis for accepting brutal actions. The Islamic State can be rejected as a product according to free-market logic, but it cannot be classified solely as mindless barbarism. The terrorists' activities show Western culture how it is entangled in violent symbolic structures, exposing the guts of the reality in which this culture operates, although it tries with all its might not to notice. Western symbolic violence remains carefully hidden in socialization structures and normativity. Confronting it and realizing that the actions of the Western cultural subject are not independent and autonomous but subject to the same mechanisms of media seduction or consumer desires that ISIS uses against it is not easy to accept. The Islamic State precisely points to the place where symbolic violence exists as a silent and hidden sphere of culture. This allows us to observe how these symbols are created and how they become dominant. Since the universality of a marketing strategy based on building brand loyalty through adventure experience and adrenaline works in normal life, why wouldn't it work in building the brand of a terrorist organization exactly as the Islamic State does.

DISCUSSIONS

The paper provides a comprehensive examination of terrorism in contemporary society, emphasizing its complex interplay with media, symbolic communication, and Western cultural norms. By drawing from a diverse range of scholarly sources and philosophical insights, the essay offers valuable contributions to understanding the multifaceted nature of terrorism and its implications for Western societies. One key strength of the paper lies in its exploration of terrorism within the broader context of security. By framing terrorism as a political-military threat and examining its manifestations in various forms of security, including informational and network-based, the paper provides a nuanced understanding of the contemporary security landscape. This analysis contributes to ongoing discussions on counterterrorism strategies and the evolving nature of security threats in the digital age.

Furthermore, the discussion on terrorism and media highlights the intricate relationship between these two phenomena. The paper effectively demonstrates how terrorist organizations like the Islamic State leverage social media platforms and popular culture to disseminate propaganda and shape narratives. This discussion underscores the importance of media literacy and critical thinking in combating terrorist ideologies and preventing radicalization within Western societies. The paper's exploration of terrorism as symbolic communication is another noteworthy aspect of the discussion. Drawing from insights by Baudrillard, Bourdieu, and Jakobson, the essay elucidates how terrorism operates within shared symbolic universes, using symbolic violence to convey messages and elicit responses. This analysis sheds light on the psychological and sociocultural dimensions of terrorism, highlighting the role of perception and interpretation in shaping public discourse and collective responses to terrorist acts.

Moreover, the essay's examination of the phantasmatic narrative of terrorism offers valuable insights into the underlying societal tensions and desires that fuel extremist ideologies. By referencing examples from popular culture and philosophical concepts such as Freud's notion of the "uncanny," the paper elucidates how terrorism confronts Western societies with their repressed fears and desires, challenging conventional moral frameworks and societal norms. Finally, the discussion on the simulacrum of violence crafted by terrorist organizations like the Islamic State raises important questions about the role of media representation in shaping public perceptions of violence and extremism. The paper's analysis of how terrorist propaganda blurs the line between reality and simulation underscores the need for critical engagement with media narratives and a deeper understanding of the cultural dynamics at play. Overall, the paper makes a significant contribution to the scholarly discourse on terrorism, media, and cultural studies, offering valuable insights into the complex interplay between ideology, symbolism, and societal dynamics in the age of digital communication.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this paper has provided a comprehensive analysis of contemporary terrorism, highlighting its multifaceted nature and its implications for Western societies. By examining terrorism within the broader security framework, we have elucidated its evolving manifestations in informational warfare and network-based conflicts. The symbiotic relationship between terrorism and media has been explored, emphasizing how terrorist organizations leverage social platforms and popular culture to disseminate propaganda and shape narratives.

Moreover, our discussion on terrorism as symbolic communication has shed light on the psychological and sociocultural dimensions of terrorism, illustrating how symbolic violence operates within shared symbolic universes to convey messages and elicit responses. The examination of the phantasmatic narrative of terrorism has further underscored the role of extremist ideologies in confronting Western societies with their repressed fears and desires.

Furthermore, the analysis of the simulacrum of violence crafted by terrorist organizations like the Islamic State has raised important questions about the role of media representation in shaping public perceptions of violence and extremism. Through these discussions, we have emphasized the need for media literacy and critical engagement with media narratives to combat terrorist ideologies and prevent radicalization within Western societies.

Overall, this paper has contributed to a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between terrorism, media, and cultural dynamics in the contemporary world. By interrogating the ideological underpinnings of terrorism and its impact on societal norms and values, we have provided valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities facing Western societies in the age of digital communication. It is our hope that this analysis will stimulate further research and discussion on this critical issue, ultimately leading to more effective strategies for countering terrorism and promoting peace and security worldwide.

REFERENCES:

- Aleksandrowicz T., Szlachcic B. 2012. Reagowanie kryzysowe a działania medialne: w poszukiwaniu optymalnego modelu reakcji państwa na zagrożenie terrorystyczne [in:] Wisniewski B. (eds.), *Rola środków masowego przekazu w przeciwdziałaniu terroryzmowi i w walce z nim*. Szczytno: Wyższa Szkoła Policji w Szczytnie.
- Aleksandrowicz T. 2016. *Podstawy walki informacyjnej*. Warszawa: Editions Spotkania.
- Archetti C. 2013. *Understanding terrorism in the age of global media: A Communication Approach*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Baudrillard J. 2005. *Duch terroryzmu. Requiem dla Twin Towers*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Sic!.
- Borkowski R. 2014. *Terroryzm ponowoczesny. Studium z antropologii polityki*. Toruń: Wydawnictwo Adam Marszałek.
- Bourdieu P., Wacquant L. 2001. *Zaproszenie do socjologii refleksyjnej*. Warszawa: Oficyna Naukowa.
- Esposito R. *Bios: Biopolitics and Philosophy*. London: University Minnesota Press
- Foreword. 1435. *Dabiq*, 2(1435):3
- Freud S. 1997. *Pisma psychologiczne*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo KR.
- Gupta D. 2008. *Understanding Terrorism and Political Violence. The Life Cycle of Birth, Growth, Transformation and Demise*. London: Routledge.
- Hall B., 14 March 2017. *ISIS-Al Kaida 2.0? "Armia końca świata" oczyma brytyjskiego dziennikarza*. *Gazeta Wyborcza*. Available from: <http://weekend.gazeta.pl>.
- Hardt M., Negri A. 2008. *Multitude*. New York: Penguin Books.
- Huet M-H. 1993. *Monstrous Imagination*. London: Harvard University Press.
- Jakobson R. 1989. *W poszukiwaniu istoty języka*. Warszawa: PIW.
- Lewis J. 2005. *Language Wars: The Role of Media and Culture in Global Terror and Political Violence*. London: Pluto Press.
- Mahood S., Rane H. 2017. *Islamist narratives in ISIS recruitment propaganda*, *The Journal of International Communication*, 23:(1):20-35
- Smith P.J. 2008. *The Terrorism Ahead. Confronting Transnational Violence in the Twenty-first Century*. New York: M.E.Sharpe.
- The Allies of Al-Qa'idah In Sham*. 1436, *Dabiq*,10(1436):8-12
- Townshend C. 2017. *Terroryzm*. Łódź Uniwersytet: Łódzki.

van Dijk T. 2001. *Dyskurs jako struktura i proces*. Warszawa: PWN.

Zizek S. 2001. *Wzniosły obiekt ideologii*. Wrocław: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego.

Grzegorz Kubiński - Ph.D. in Sociology, Assistant Professor at the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology at the Pedagogical University of Krakow. His research interests focus on the sociology of pop culture and new media, sociology of the body, disability studies, and sociology of religion. He is the author of scientific articles and monographs, the newest one "Heterologies of Corporeality: Essays on the Alien Body", Cracow: Difin 2021.