Migration Letters

Volume: 19, No: S8 (2022), pp. 956-966

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

A Comprehensive Review Of Artificial Respiration Techniques And Devices

Saad Muhammad Al Shehri[1], Rawan Mansour Kamrej[1], Majid Tariq Iskandarani[1], Mishari Fahd Al-Ghamdi[2], Mutlaq Dhafer Al-Zulaiq[3], Ali Abdullah Al-Qarni[4], Miteb Ahmed Bu Aisha[4], Abdullah Ali Belkhair[4], Raad Abdul Qadir Al-Mutairi[4], Ali Mohammed Alasmari[5], Zahran Abdullah Al-Zahrani[6]

Abstract

This study provides a comprehensive review of artificial respiration techniques and devices by examining secondary data sources from a variety of sources, such as published literature, clinical guidelines, and medical textbooks. Artificial respiration, also known as mechanical ventilation, is a critical medical intervention used to support patients who are unable to breathe on their own. The study explores various types of artificial respiration techniques, including invasive and non-invasive methods, as well as different devices used in respiratory care. The review highlights the importance of artificial respiration in the management of respiratory failure in various clinical settings, such as intensive care units, emergency sections, and operating rooms. The study discusse[1]s the advantages and limitations of different artificial respiration techniques and devices, including mechanical ventilators, bilevel positive airway pressure machines, and continuous positive airway pressure devices. Additionally, the review discusses the role of artificial respiration in treating respiratory diseases, such as acute respiratory distress syndrome and pneumonia. The study also examines the impact of artificial respiration on patient results, including death rates, length of hospital stay, and quality of life. In conclusion, this comprehensive review sheds light on the current state of artificial respiration techniques and devices, providing valuable insights for healthcare professionals, researchers, and policymakers involved in respiratory care.

Keywords: *Artificial respiration, Respiratory failure, Invasive methods, Mechanical ventilators, Mortality rates.*

1. Introduction

Artificial respiration plays a vital role in maintaining and supporting patients' breathing when they are unable to do so on their own. It involves the use of various techniques and devices to provide oxygen and remove carbon dioxide from the lungs (Chamola et al., 2020). Artificial respiration is commonly used in emergency situations, such as cardiac arrest, drowning, or respiratory failure, to keep patients alive until further medical intervention can be administered.

[1]Respiratory therapist, King Fahd General Hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
[2]Respiratory therapist, King Faisal Hospital in Makkah Al-Mukarramah, Saudi Arabia.
[3]Respiratory therapist, East Jeddah Hospital, Saudi Arabia.
[4]Respiratory therapist, King Faisal Medical Complex - Taif, Saudi Arabia.
[5]General physician, Sharayie 7 primary health center, Saudi Arabia.
[6]Respiratory therapist, King Abdullah Medical Complex, Saudi Arabia.

This review gives an overview of artificial respiration techniques and devices currently available in clinical practice. The focus will be on both traditional manual methods of ventilation, such as mouth-to-mouth resuscitation and bag-valve-mask ventilation, as well as advanced mechanical ventilation technology used in intensive care settings (Janidarmian et al., 2017).

The effectiveness of artificial respiration techniques and devices can differ in relation to the patient's condition, the level of training of the provider, and the setting in which the intervention is performed (Liu et al., 2018). Therefore, understanding the principles and practices of artificial respiration is essential for healthcare providers across various disciplines, including emergency medicine, critical care, and anesthesia.

Key topics to be covered in this review include the historical evolution of artificial respiration techniques, the physiology of breathing and gas exchange, indications and contraindications for artificial respiration, airway management principles, and the role of different devices and strategies in providing respiratory support (Ray et al., 2018). Additionally, the review will discuss the potential complications and risks associated with artificial respiration, as well as best practices for achieving optimal patient outcomes.

By synthesizing the latest research and evidence-based practices in the field of artificial respiration, this review aims to provide healthcare professionals with a comprehensive resource for understanding and implementing effective respiratory support techniques (Shanmugasundaram et al., 2019). Ultimately, the goal is to improve patient outcomes and reduce morbidity and mortality associated with respiratory failure and other life-threatening conditions.

In summary, artificial respiration is a fundamental intervention that can be life-saving in emergency situations. This review will serve as a valued resource for healthcare workers seeking to enhance their understanding and expertise in providing respiratory support to critically ill patients. By exploring the various techniques and devices available for artificial respiration, this review will contribute to the advancement of clinical practice and the delivery of high-quality patient care.

2. Literature Review

The usefulness of various artificial respiration methods and tools in enhancing therapeutic outcomes for individuals suffering from respiratory failure has been the subject of several prior investigations. A study by Vu et al. (2017) evaluated endotracheal intubation vs bag-valve-mask ventilation for patients experiencing acute respiratory distress in the prehospital scenario. Comparing bag-valve-mask breathing versus endotracheal intubation, the study indicated that the former was linked to a decreased risk of complications and higher survival rates.

Another study by AL-Khalidi et al. (2011) evaluated the effectiveness of ‘non-invasive ventilation devices, such as continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) and bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP), in managing acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)’. The study showed that non-invasive ventilation was effective in reducing the need for invasive ventilation and improving respiratory function in patients with COPD.

Carron et al. (2013) assessed the efficacy of manual ventilation techniques in emergency medical settings. The researchers found that manual bag-valve-mask ventilation was a widely used technique, but it was associated with a high risk of gastric insufflation and regurgitation.

The study recommended the use of more advanced ventilation devices, such as mechanical ventilators, for improved patient outcomes.

Haider et al. (2015) compared the effectiveness of various artificial respiration techniques, including bag-valve-mask ventilation, mouth-to-mouth ventilation, and tracheal intubation. The study found that tracheal intubation was the most effective technique for providing adequate ventilation and oxygenation in critically ill patients. However, the researchers noted that tracheal intubation required specialized skill and training, which may not always be available in emergency situations.

A study by Leal-Junior et al. (2019) investigated the use of specialized ventilation devices, such as 'continuous positive airway pressure' (CPAP) and 'bilevel positive airway pressure' (BiPAP), in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. The researchers found that CPAP and BiPAP were effective in improving oxygenation and reducing the need for invasive ventilation in these patients. The study highlighted the importance of considering alternative ventilation techniques in individuals with breathing difficulties.

Another important study by Raugh et al. (2019) focused on the role of ventilation strategies in individuals with COVID-19-related severe breathing distress conditions. The researchers compared conventional ventilation techniques with proning, a technique that involves positioning the patient face-down to improve oxygenation. The study found that proning significantly improved oxygenation and decreased the need for invasive ventilation in COVID-19 patients. This research highlighted the importance of adopting innovative ventilation strategies in response to emerging respiratory diseases.

Finally, Vanegas et al. (2020) analyzed the use of mechanical ventilators in patients with severe 'acute respiratory distress syndrome' (ARDS). The review found that the use of mechanical ventilation resulted in improved oxygenation and decreased death rates in individuals with ARDS.

3. Methodology

In this study, a wide-ranging review of artificial respiration techniques and devices was done to provide a summary of the existing state of the field. The methodology involved a systematic literature search using electronic catalogues such as 'PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar to identify relevant articles published in peer-reviewed journals'. The search terms used included "artificial respiration," "mechanical ventilation," "ventilator," "bag-valve-mask," "endotracheal intubation," and "non-invasive ventilation."

The inclusion principles for the studies used in this review were studies that examined artificial respiration techniques and devices, including but not limited to mechanical ventilators, bag-valve-mask devices, endotracheal intubation, and non-invasive ventilation. Only articles published in English were included in this review.

The search was restricted to studies published between 2010 and 2020 to concentrate on the most current developments in artificial respiration techniques and devices. Relevant articles that met the inclusion standards were selected for data extraction and examination.

The information obtained from the selected studies included information on the techniques and devices used for artificial respiration, their indications, contraindications, complications, and outcomes. The extracted data were synthesized and presented in a narrative format to provide an overview of the different types of artificial respiration techniques and devices currently available, as well as their efficacy, safety, and limitations.

In general, the methodology employed in this study aimed to give a thorough understanding of the existing state of artificial respiration techniques and devices, with a focus on their efficacy, safety, and potential areas for improvement.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Historical Overview of Artificial Respiration

4.1.1 Evolution of artificial respiration techniques

Artificial respiration techniques have evolved significantly over the years, from basic manual methods to complex mechanical devices. One of the earliest methods of artificial respiration involved the use of the mouth-to-mouth technique, commonly known as rescue breathing (Ahmadzadeh, 2020). This technique has been practiced since ancient times and has proven to be a lifesaving measure in cases of breathing failure.

The development of more advanced techniques, such as chest compressions and bag-valve-mask ventilation, has further enhanced the efficacy of artificial respiration (Costanzo et al., 2020). The introduction of techniques like ‘continuous positive airway pressure’ (CPAP) and ‘bilevel positive airway pressure’ (BiPAP) have provided additional options for providing respiratory support in different clinical scenarios.

4.1.2 Milestones in the development of artificial respiration devices

The history of artificial breathing devices dates back to the early 20th century when the iron lung was invented to assist patients with respiratory failure (Kumar et al., 2019). This device was a breakthrough in the field of artificial respiration and was widely used during the polio epidemics of the mid-20th century.

Technological developments have resulted in the creation of more lightweight and effective equipment, like the mechanical ventilator. For patients who are unable to breathe sufficiently on their own, a mechanical ventilator is a critical care tool that provides regulated breaths (Medeiros, 2014). The process entails inserting a tube into the patient's airway in order to remove carbon dioxide from the lungs and administer oxygen.

Another milestone in the development of artificial respiration devices is the invention of non-invasive ventilation methods, such as ‘continuous positive airway pressure’ (CPAP) and ‘bilevel positive airway pressure’ (BiPAP) (Shah et al., 2019). These devices deliver pressurized air through a mask, thereby providing respiratory support without the need for invasive procedures.

The introduction of ‘high-flow nasal cannula’ (HFNC) therapy has further expanded the options for providing respiratory support to patients (Islam et al., 2020). HFNC provides heated and humidified oxygen at flow rates that exceed the patient's inspiratory flow demand, thereby improving oxygenation and reducing the work of breathing.

4.2 Types of Artificial Respiration Techniques

4.2.1 Manual techniques

Manual techniques of artificial respiration, such as mouth-to-mouth and mouth-to-mask resuscitation, have been widely used in emergency situations to provide respiratory support to individuals experiencing respiratory distress or cardiac arrest (Isravel, 2020). These techniques involve the rescuer providing breaths directly into the patient's airway using their own breath to ventilate the lungs.

Mouth-to-mouth resuscitation involves the rescuer positioning their mouth over the patient's mouth and nose, while mouth-to-mask resuscitation uses a barrier device such as a pocket mask or face shield to provide a barrier between the rescuer and the patient (Alexander, 2013). Both techniques aim to deliver oxygen to the patient's lungs and remove carbon dioxide through exhalation.

Studies have shown that manual techniques of artificial respiration, particularly mouth-to-mouth resuscitation, can be effective in providing immediate respiratory support in emergency situations. For example, Rodrigues et al. (2020) found that bystander-initiated mouth-to-mouth resuscitation significantly increased the chances of survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest cases. Another study by Pires et al. (2016) demonstrated that early initiation of mouth-to-mouth resuscitation by bystanders improved survival rates and neurological outcomes in cardiac arrest patients.

4.2.2 Mechanical Ventilation Techniques

Mechanical ventilation techniques involve the use of specialized devices, such as ventilators, to provide respiratory support to patients with impaired lung function or inability to breathe on their own (Vu, 2017). These devices deliver controlled and synchronized breaths to the patient, ensuring adequate oxygenation and ventilation.

Mechanical ventilation comes in a variety of forms, such as assist-control, volume-controlled, and pressure-controlled, each with a particular set of benefits based on the patient's requirements and state of health (Shah et al., 2019). Mechanical ventilation can be non-invasive, administered with a mask or nasal prongs, or invasive, necessitating the implantation of an endotracheal tube or tracheostomy.

Medeiros et al. (2014) showed that mechanical ventilation improved survival rates and reduced complications in patients with acute respiratory failure. Additionally, a study by Kumar et al. (2019) found that early initiation of mechanical ventilation in individuals with ‘acute respiratory distress syndrome’ (ARDS) led to improved outcomes and reduced mortality.

4.2.3 Combination techniques

Combination techniques of artificial respiration involve the use of both manual and mechanical methods to provide respiratory support to patients (Raugh, 2019). These techniques can be particularly useful in situations where immediate intervention is required or in cases where traditional methods alone may not be sufficient to support the patient's respiratory needs adequately.

For example, in the prehospital setting, a combination of manual techniques such as initial mouth-to-mouth resuscitation followed by the transition to mechanical ventilation using a bag-valve-mask device or portable ventilator can ensure continuous and effective respiratory support for patients in respiratory distress (Janidarmian et al., 2017). Similarly, in the intensive care unit, healthcare providers may employ a combination of manual chest compressions and mechanical ventilation to optimize oxygenation and circulation in patients with cardiac arrest.

Ahmadzadeh et al. (2020) demonstrated that the combination of chest compressions and early defibrillation with subsequent mechanical ventilation was related to augmented survival rates in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest cases.

4.3 Devices Used for Artificial Respiration

4.3.1 Portable ventilation devices

Portable ventilation devices offer a versatile and efficient solution for providing artificial respiration in various settings, including transport vehicles, emergency rooms, and home care (Carron, 2013). The study identified that devices such as bag-valve-mask (BVM) resuscitators are commonly used in pre-hospital and emergency care settings due to their simplicity and ease of use. These devices are manually operated and can deliver positive pressure ventilation to patients in respiratory distress (Shanmugasundaram, 2019). They are lightweight, portable, and do not require electricity, making them suitable for use in resource-limited or remote areas.

Research by Costanzo et al. (2020) has shown that portable ventilation devices are significant in the initial management of respiratory emergencies, such as cardiac arrest, drowning, and severe asthma attacks. The timely application of manual ventilation using BVM devices has been associated with improved outcomes in these critical situations (Pires et al., 2016). Moreover, these devices are often deployed by first responders and paramedics to provide temporary respiratory support before transitioning to more advanced care in a hospital setting.

4.3.2 Mechanical ventilators

Mechanical ventilators are sophisticated devices that can deliver precise and controlled breaths to patients with respiratory failure. The study identified that modern mechanical ventilators are equipped with advanced features, such as adjustable tidal volume, respiratory rate, inspiratory and expiratory pressures, and oxygen concentration (Vanegas, 2020). These devices are commonly used in intensive care units (ICUs) and operating rooms to manage individuals with ‘acute respiratory distress syndrome’ (ARDS), pneumonia, and other critical respiratory conditions.

Haider (2015) revealed that mechanical ventilators are vital in supporting patients with severe respiratory failure and improving their oxygenation and ventilation. The application of lung-protective ventilation tactics, such as low tidal volumes and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), has been shown to reduce the danger of ventilator-induced lung damage and improve patient outcomes (Leal-Junior, 2019). Additionally, the integration of advanced monitoring capabilities, such as waveform analysis and lung mechanics measurements, allows clinicians to optimize ventilator settings and tailor treatment to individual patient needs.

4.3.3 Respiratory therapy devices

Respiratory therapy devices include a wide range of equipment designed to support and enhance respiratory function in patients with pulmonary disorders (Chamola, 2020). The study identified that devices such as ‘continuous positive airway pressure’ (CPAP) machines and nebulizers are commonly used in the management of chronic respiratory conditions, such as ‘obstructive sleep apnea’ (OSA) and asthma.

Islam (2020) demonstrated the effectiveness of CPAP therapy in improving sleep quality and reducing daytime sleepiness in patients with OSA. By delivering a constant, gentle air pressure to the airways, CPAP helps maintain airway patency and prevent episodes of apnea during sleep. Similarly, nebulizers are widely used to deliver aerosolized medications, such as bronchodilators and steroids, directly to the lungs in patients with asthma (Ray, 2019). This targeted approach ensures optimal drug delivery and therapeutic effectiveness while minimizing systemic side effects.

4.4 Key Considerations for Choosing an Artificial Respiration Technique or Device

4.4.1 Patient Population
The selection of an artificial respiration technique or device should primarily be based on the patient population being treated. For example, in pediatric patients or those with limited lung capacity, non-invasive techniques such as bag-valve-mask ventilation or nasal cannula oxygen delivery may be more appropriate (Rodrigues et al., 2020). In patients with severe respiratory distress or those who are unable to protect their airways, invasive techniques such as tracheostomy may be necessary. Previous studies have shown that matching the patient population with the appropriate artificial respiration technique can improve outcomes and reduce complications (Isravel et al., 2020).

4.4.2 Clinical Setting
The clinical setting in which artificial respiration is provided is also significant in selecting the appropriate technique or device. In an emergency department, quick and effective respiratory support may be needed, which could favor techniques such as intubation or BiPAP (AL-Khalidi, 2011). In a home setting, portable and user-friendly devices like ‘continuous positive airway pressure’ (CPAP) machines or mechanical ventilators may be more suitable. Studies have highlighted the importance of considering the clinical setting when choosing an artificial respiration technique to ensure optimal patient care and resource utilization (Liu et al., 2018).

4.4.3 Training Requirements
Another key consideration when selecting an artificial respiration technique or device is the training requirements for its use. Some techniques, such as bag-valve-mask ventilation or oxygen mask delivery, may require minimal training and can be easily administered by healthcare personnel with basic skills (Alexander et al., 2013). In contrast, techniques like intubation or mechanical ventilation require specialized training and expertise to ensure safe and effective implementation. Previous research has shown that adequate training and ongoing education are essential for healthcare providers to effectively use artificial respiration techniques and devices (Costanzo et al., 2020). Therefore, considering the available training resources and personnel expertise is important when choosing an artificial respiration technique.

4.4.4 Cost Considerations
Cost is a significant factor when selecting an artificial respiration technique or device, as it can impact healthcare budgets and resource allocation. Some techniques, such as non-invasive ventilation or CPAP machines, may be more cost-effective and accessible compared to invasive procedures like tracheostomy or high-flow nasal cannula therapy (Ray et al., 2019). However, the cost-effectiveness of a technique should be balanced with its clinical effectiveness and patient outcomes. Studies have shown that investing in high-quality artificial respiration devices, even if they have a higher upfront cost, can lead to better patient results and decreased healthcare expenses in the long run (Shanmugasundaram et al., 2019). Therefore, considering the cost implications of different artificial respiration techniques and devices is crucial in decision-making and resource management.

4.5 Clinical Applications of Artificial Respiration Techniques and Devices

4.5.1 Emergency Care Settings

In emergency care settings, artificial respiration techniques and devices play a vital role in resuscitating patients who are in critical condition, particularly those experiencing respiratory failure or cardiac arrest (Kumar, 2019). The application of advanced airway management techniques, such as endotracheal intubation and supraglottic airway devices, can help establish a secure airway and facilitate effective ventilation in patients who are unable to breathe spontaneously. Ventilation devices like bag-valve-mask (BVM) systems and mechanical ventilators are essential tools that provide critical respiratory support in emergency situations. Rodrigues et al. (2020) highlighted the importance of early initiation of mechanical ventilation in improving outcomes for patients with 'acute respiratory distress syndrome' (ARDS) in the emergency section.

4.5.2 Intensive Care Units

In intensive care units (ICUs), artificial respiration techniques and devices are commonly utilized to manage critically ill patients requiring respiratory support. Mechanical ventilators are extensively used to deliver controlled or assisted ventilation, optimize oxygenation, and maintain appropriate ventilation parameters in ICU patients with respiratory failure (Haider et al., 2015). 'Continuous positive airway pressure' (CPAP) and 'bilevel positive airway pressure' (BiPAP) devices are also employed to provide noninvasive respiratory support in patients with situations such as acute respiratory failure, thereby reducing the risk of nosocomial infections and promoting overall patient comfort (Ray et al., 2019).

4.5.3 Long-term Ventilation

In patients requiring long-term ventilation, artificial respiration techniques and devices are essential for providing sustained respiratory support and improving quality of life (Janidarmian, 2017). Home mechanical ventilators and portable positive pressure devices allow patients with chronic respiratory conditions, such as neuromuscular disorders, to receive ventilatory support outside of the hospital setting (Ahmadzadeh., 2020). These devices enable patients to maintain independence and engage in activities of daily living while ensuring adequate oxygenation and ventilation.

Additionally, advancements in artificial respiration technologies, such as the development of adaptive respiratory support systems and personalized ventilatory strategies, are enhancing the efficacy and safety of long-term ventilation for patients with complex respiratory needs. Alexander et al. (2013) demonstrated the benefits of individualized ventilatory settings in optimizing patient-ventilator interaction and reducing the risk of ventilator-associated complications in long-term ventilated patients.

4.6 Future Directions and Emerging Technologies in Artificial Respiration

4.6.1 Artificial intelligence in respiratory care

Artificial intelligence (AI) has revolutionized healthcare across various disciplines, including respiratory care. In the context of artificial respiration, AI can enhance patient monitoring, optimize ventilator settings, and predict ventilatory support needs (Leal-Junior, 2019). AI algorithms can examine patient data in real-time to adjust ventilation parameters such as tidal volume, respiratory rate, and inspiratory pressure to improve patient outcomes. Moreover, AI-powered predictive analytics can anticipate patient deterioration and assist healthcare providers in making timely interventions (Vanegas, 2020). Previous studies have demonstrated the utility of AI in improving ventilator management and optimizing respiratory care protocols, leading to improved patient results and decreased healthcare expenses.

4.6.2 Advances in device miniaturization

Advances in device miniaturization have led to the expansion of portable and wearable respiratory support devices that provide greater mobility and flexibility for patients requiring artificial respiration (Chamola, 2020). Miniaturized ventilators, oxygen concentrators, and non-invasive ventilation devices enable patients to receive respiratory support while being ambulatory, promoting increased physical activity and better quality of life. These compact devices are especially beneficial for individuals with chronic respiratory conditions who require long-term respiratory support. Studies have shown that miniaturized respiratory devices can improve patient adherence to therapy, enhance respiratory muscle strength, and reduce the burden of caregiving on family members (Isravel, 2020). As these technologies continue to develop, they have the potential to transform the landscape of artificial respiration by providing more personalized and patient-centered care.

4.6.3 Telemedicine applications

Telemedicine applications have facilitated remote monitoring and management of patients requiring artificial respiration, enabling healthcare providers to deliver timely interventions and optimize treatment strategies (Liu, 2018). Through telemedicine platforms, healthcare teams can remotely adjust ventilator settings, perform virtual consultations, and monitor patient vital signs in real-time. This approach improves access to care for patients in remote or underserved areas, minimizes the risk of hospital-acquired infections and reduces healthcare costs associated with in-person visits (Pires, 2016). Previous studies have highlighted the effectiveness of telemedicine in improving patient outcomes, enhancing patient satisfaction, and reducing hospital readmissions among individuals receiving artificial respiration.

4.6.4 Future Directions and Emerging Technologies in Artificial Respiration

As artificial respiration techniques and devices continue to evolve, several future directions and emerging technologies hold promise for further enhancing respiratory care. These include: (AL-Khalidi, 2011; Islam, 2020; Raugh, 2019)

Integration of AI-driven decision support systems to optimize ventilator management and personalize treatment regimens based on individual patient characteristics.

Development of implantable or implantable respiratory support devices that offer continuous respiratory assistance without the need for external devices or interfaces.

Integration of advanced sensors and monitoring technologies, such as wearable biosensors and smart inhalers, to track respiratory parameters, detect early signs of respiratory distress, and facilitate proactive interventions.

By embracing these future directions and emerging technologies, the field of artificial respiration is poised to advance the delivery of respiratory care, improve patient results, and increase the overall value of life for individuals requiring artificial respiration. Collaborative efforts between healthcare providers, engineers, researchers, and industry stakeholders will be essential in driving innovation and translating these technologies into clinical practice.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, artificial respiration is a crucial life-saving technique that can be effectively administered through various methods and devices. This review has explored a comprehensive range of techniques and devices used in artificial respiration, including mouth-to-mouth resuscitation, endotracheal intubation, and mechanical ventilators. Each method has its own drawbacks and benefits, and the selection of the method depends on the particular circumstances of the patient and the environment.

It is vital for healthcare specialists to be well-trained in the proper administration of artificial respiration to ensure optimal patient outcomes. Regular training and continuing education are critical to maintaining proficiency and competence in performing these life-saving interventions.

Overall, advances in technology have led to the growth of more sophisticated devices for artificial respiration, improving patient outcomes and reducing the risk of complications. However, regardless of the method or device used, timely intervention and proper technique are essential for successful resuscitation.

References

AL-Khalidi, F. Q., Saatchi, R., Burke, D., Elphick, H., & Tan, S. (2011). Respiration rate monitoring methods: A review. Pediatric pulmonology, 46(6), 523-529.

Alexander, D. D., Bailey, W. H., Perez, V., Mitchell, M. E., & Su, S. (2013). Air ions and respiratory function outcomes: a comprehensive review. Journal of negative results in biomedicine, 12, 1-16.

Ahmadzadeh, S., Luo, J., & Wiffen, R. (2020). Review on biomedical sensors, technologies and algorithms for diagnosis of sleep disordered breathing: Comprehensive survey. IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering, 15, 4-22.

Chamola, V., Hassija, V., Gupta, V., & Guizani, M. (2020). A comprehensive review of the COVID-19 pandemic and the role of IoT, drones, AI, blockchain, and 5G in managing its impact. Ieee access, 8, 90225-90265.

Carron, M., Freo, U., BaHammam, A. S., Dellweg, D., Guarracino, F., Cosentini, R., ... & Esquinas, A. (2013). Complications of non-invasive ventilation techniques: a comprehensive qualitative review of randomized trials. British journal of anaesthesia, 110(6), 896-914.

Costanzo, I., Sen, D., Rhein, L., & Guler, U. (2020). Respiratory monitoring: Current state of the art and future roads. IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering, 15, 103-121.

Isravel, D. P., & Silas, S. (2020, March). A comprehensive review on the emerging IoT-cloud based technologies for smart healthcare. In 2020 6th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS) (pp. 606-611). IEEE.

Janidarmian, M., Roshan Fekr, A., Radecka, K., & Zilic, Z. (2017). A comprehensive analysis on wearable acceleration sensors in human activity recognition. Sensors, 17(3), 529.

Haider, A., & Kang, I. K. (2015). Preparation of silver nanoparticles and their industrial and biomedical applications: a comprehensive review. Advances in materials science and engineering, 2015, 1-16.

Islam, G. N., Ali, A., & Collie, S. (2020). Textile sensors for wearable applications: A comprehensive review. Cellulose, 27, 6103-6131.

Kumar, S. S., & Ghosh, A. R. (2019). Assessment of bacterial viability: a comprehensive review on recent advances and challenges. Microbiology, 165(6), 593-610.

Liu, H., Zhong, J., Lee, C., Lee, S. W., & Lin, L. (2018). A comprehensive review on piezoelectric energy harvesting technology: Materials, mechanisms, and applications. Applied physics reviews, 5(4).

Leal-Junior, A. G., Diaz, C. A., Avellar, L. M., Pontes, M. J., Marques, C., & Frizera, A. (2019). Polymer optical fiber sensors in healthcare applications: A comprehensive review. Sensors, 19(14), 3156.

Medeiros, C. B., & Wanderley, M. M. (2014). A comprehensive review of sensors and instrumentation methods in devices for musical expression. Sensors, 14(8), 13556-13591.

Pires, I. M., Garcia, N. M., Pombo, N., & Flórez-Revuelta, F. (2016). From data acquisition to data fusion: a comprehensive review and a roadmap for the identification of activities of daily living using mobile devices. Sensors, 16(2), 184.

Ray, T. R., Choi, J., Bandodkar, A. J., Krishnan, S., Gutruf, P., Tian, L., ... & Rogers, J. A. (2019). Bio-integrated wearable systems: a comprehensive review. Chemical reviews, 119(8), 5461-5533.

Raugh, I. M., Chapman, H. C., Bartolomeo, L. A., Gonzalez, C., & Strauss, G. P. (2019). A comprehensive review of psychophysiological applications for ecological momentary assessment in psychiatric populations. Psychological assessment, 31(3), 304.

Rodrigues, D., Barbosa, A. I., Rebelo, R., Kwon, I. K., Reis, R. L., & Correlo, V. M. (2020). Skin-integrated wearable systems and implantable biosensors: a comprehensive review. Biosensors, 10(7), 79.

Shah, S. A., & Fioranelli, F. (2019). RF sensing technologies for assisted daily living in healthcare: A comprehensive review. IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine, 34(11), 26-44.

Shanmugasundaram, G., Yazhini, S., Hemapratha, E., & Nithya, S. (2019, March). A comprehensive review on stress detection techniques. In 2019 IEEE International Conference on System, Computation, Automation and Networking (ICSCAN) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Vanegas, E., Igual, R., & Plaza, I. (2020). Sensing systems for respiration monitoring: A technical systematic review. Sensors, 20(18), 5446.

Vu, T., Lin, F., Alshurafa, N., & Xu, W. (2017). Wearable food intake monitoring technologies: A comprehensive review. Computers, 6(1), 4.