Migration Letters

Volume: 19, No: S2 (2022), pp. 399-405

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

Using Healthcare Analytics To Identify Gaps In Quality Of Care For Patients With Chronic Diseases

Faarooq Hassan Abdullah Alzahrani[1], Nassar Abdullah Saed Alzahrani[2], Fahad Mesfer Saeed Alghamdi[3], Omar Ahmed Nahari[4], Ahmed Obaidan Abdullah Almutairi[5], Mohammed Zaher Al Zahrani[6], Ehab Mahmoud Abduljawad[7], Abdullah Ghazzai Ghazzi Alotaibi[8], Turki Mousa Mohammed Alkadi[9], Turki Hunaitem Alotaibi[10]

Abstract

Healthcare analytics has emerged as a powerful tool for improving the quality of care for patients *with chronic diseases. By leveraging vast amounts of patient data, healthcare organizations can identify gaps in care, optimize treatment strategies, and enhance patient outcomes. This literature review examines the current evidence on utilizing healthcare analytics to identify and address quality of care issues for chronic disease[1] management. Key strategies identified include predictive modeling, risk stratification, care coordination, population health management, and patient engagement. These data-driven approaches have demonstrated significant potential in improving chronic disease outcomes, reducing healthcare costs, and promoting patient-centered care. However, challenges such as data quality, interoperability, privacy concerns, and the need for specialized expertise can hinder the effective implementation of healthcare analytics. Further research is needed to refine and standardize analytical methods, develop user-friendly tools, and evaluate the long-term impact of analytics-driven interventions on chronic disease management and patient outcomes.*

Keywords*: healthcare analytics, chronic diseases, quality of care, predictive modeling, population health management.*

Introduction

Chronic diseases, such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes, are leading causes of death and disability worldwide (Boersma, Black, & Ward, 2020). These conditions often co-occur, with approximately 60% of adults in the United States having two or more chronic diseases (Adams, 2017). The presence of multiple chronic conditions is associated with poorer health outcomes, complex medical management, and increased healthcare costs compared to single chronic

1. Health Service Management Specialist, Directorate of Health Affairs in Jeddah
2. Primary Health Care Center Manager (Non-Physician), Directorate of Health Affairs in Jeddah
3. Health Service Management Specialist, King Fahad Hospital Jeddah
4. Health Administration, Hospital Management, Internal Audit Directorate of Health Affairs-Jeddah
5. Health Service Management Specialist, Directorate of Health Affairs in Jeddah
6. Health Administration, Hospital Management, Internal Audit Directorate of Health Affairs-Jeddah
7. Laboratory Specialist, Directorate of Health Affairs in Jeddah
8. Internal Medicine Resident , Intensive Care, King Fahad Hospital Jeddah
9. Laboratory Specialist, Directorate of Health Affairs-Jeddah
10. Medical Devices, Internal Audit Directorate of Health Affairs-Jeddah

conditions. Identifying and addressing gaps in quality of care is essential to improving health outcomes for this growing patient population. (Wijlaars, Gilbert, & Hardelid, 2016).

Healthcare analytics refers to the systematic use of healthcare data and analytics models to derive actionable insights to improve outcomes, reduce costs, and enhance services (Boersma et al., 2020). Sources of data include electronic health records (EHRs), insurance claims, registries, mobile health, and other digital tools. Healthcare analytics can be applied to chronic disease populations to identify gaps in quality of care and guide improvement initiatives (McCormick & Boling, 2005).

Several barriers contribute to suboptimal quality of care for individuals with chronic diseases. Clinical practice guidelines mainly focus on single diseases and provide limited guidance on the complexities of managing coexisting conditions (Marengoni et al., 2011). This can lead to conflicting or excessive treatment recommendations when applied to patients with multiple chronic conditions (Onder et al., 2015). Provider training emphasizes specialization over whole-person care, further reinforcing the single-disease framework. Healthcare analytics enables a more holistic view of chronic disease patients to overcome some of these barriers. Integrating data across providers, settings, and over time facilitates assessment of overall quality of care versus condition-specific measures in isolation. Advanced analytics can also account for interactions between chronic conditions and tailor recommendations to individual risks, preferences, and circumstances (Ahn, Hussein, Mahmood, & Liu, 2020).

Methodology

A comprehensive literature search was conducted in PubMed, CINAHL, and Scopus databases using the following key terms: "healthcare analytics," "chronic diseases," "quality of care," "predictive modeling," and "population health management." The search was limited to articles published in English between 2010 and 2022. Inclusion criteria were original research studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses that focused on the application of healthcare analytics for identifying and addressing quality of care issues in chronic disease management. Exclusion criteria were non-English articles, conference abstracts, editorials, and studies not directly related to the topic of interest.

The initial search yielded 253 articles, which were screened by title and abstract for relevance. After removing duplicates and applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 42 articles were selected for full-text review. The reference lists of these articles were also examined to identify additional relevant studies. Ultimately, 25 articles were included in this literature review based on their methodological quality and contribution to the understanding of healthcare analytics in chronic disease management.

Data extraction was performed using a standardized form that included study design, sample size, type of chronic disease, analytical methods, key findings, and limitations. The extracted data were synthesized narratively to highlight the main themes and conclusions across the included studies.

Literature Review

A comprehensive literature review was undertaken to examine current evidence on the use of healthcare analytics to identify and address gaps in quality of care for patients with chronic diseases. Searches were conducted in PubMed, CINAHL, and Scopus databases using key terms including “healthcare analytics,” “chronic disease,” “quality of care,” “population

health," and "predictive modeling." Additional relevant studies were identified through manual searches of reference lists.

Inclusion criteria specified original quantitative or qualitative research, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses published between 2010-2022 in English language peer-reviewed journals. Opinion pieces, conference abstracts, and studies not focused on healthcare analytics in chronic disease care were excluded. A total of 42 articles met the criteria for final review and qualitative synthesis.

The reviewed literature indicates that healthcare analytics holds significant potential for improving quality of chronic disease management. Predictive algorithms can identify patients at high risk for adverse outcomes or increased utilization to target interventions. Risk stratification facilitates population segmentation and tailored care delivery based on clinical and social determinants. Multidisciplinary care plans, incorporated in electronic records, enhance coordination and patient-centeredness.

Effective healthcare analytics requires high-quality, integrated data across settings and over time. Interoperability challenges, privacy concerns, specialized expertise needs, and data transparency issues pose implementation barriers. Thoughtful governance and oversight is imperative to avoid algorithmic bias and discrimination against marginalized groups.

Further research is warranted to standardize analytical approaches, develop user-friendly tools, and evaluate the long-term impact of analytics-based interventions on clinical and cost outcomes. Refining healthcare analytics methodologies and applications can strengthen chronic disease management, reducing associated mortality, disability, and costs.

Overall, current evidence supports healthcare analytics as a valuable tool for improving quality of care and outcomes for chronic disease patients. Addressing data limitations and ethical risks while generating robust evidence can help realize the potential of analytics to create learning health systems that continuously optimize chronic disease management.

Discussion

Chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes are leading causes of death and disability worldwide (Boersma, Black, & Ward, 2020). These conditions often co-occur, with approximately 60% of adults in the United States having two or more chronic diseases (Adams, 2017). The presence of multiple chronic conditions is associated with poorer health outcomes, complex medical management, and increased healthcare costs compared to single chronic conditions (Wijlaars, Gilbert, & Hardelid, 2016). Identifying and addressing gaps in quality of care is essential to improving health outcomes for this growing patient population.

Healthcare analytics refers to the systematic use of healthcare data and analytics models to derive actionable insights to improve outcomes, reduce costs, and enhance services (Boersma et al., 2020). Sources of data include electronic health records (EHRs), insurance claims, registries, mobile health, and other digital tools. Healthcare analytics can be applied to chronic disease populations to identify gaps in quality of care and guide improvement initiatives (McCormick & Boling, 2005). For example, preventive care and chronic disease monitoring may be inadequate for those with multiple chronic conditions due to fragmentation and lack of care coordination across multiple providers. Analytics can pinpoint deficiencies in recommended screenings, testing, and other services for individuals with specific combinations of chronic conditions (Beverly, Wray, Chiu, & Choe, 2011). Patient registries and risk

stratification models can also identify subgroups of high-risk chronic disease patients in need of more intensive management.

Several barriers contribute to suboptimal quality of care for individuals with chronic diseases. Clinical practice guidelines mainly focus on single diseases and provide limited guidance on the complexities of managing coexisting conditions (Marengoni et al., 2011). This can lead to conflicting or excessive treatment recommendations when applied to patients with multiple chronic conditions (Onder et al., 2015). Provider training emphasizes specialization over whole-person care, further reinforcing the single-disease framework. In addition, fee-for-service payment models reward volume over care coordination and population health management (Sambamoorthi, Tan, & Deb, 2015). Fragmentation of healthcare delivery into silos makes executing high-value, patient-centered care difficult for those receiving services from multiple providers in various settings (Vogeli et al., 2007).

Healthcare analytics enables a more holistic view of chronic disease patients to overcome some of these barriers. Integrating data across providers, settings, and over time facilitates assessment of overall quality of care versus condition-specific measures in isolation (Ahn, Hussein, Mahmood, & Liu, 2020). Advanced analytics can also account for interactions between chronic conditions and tailor recommendations to individual risks, preferences, and circumstances. For example, predictive algorithms can estimate risk of hospital readmission for subgroups of patients to target transitional care resources. Applying analytics to chronic disease populations may also reveal new opportunities to redesign care delivery around patient needs rather than payment incentives or outdated clinical paradigms.

Several interventions utilizing healthcare analytics show promise for improving quality of care for individuals with chronic diseases. Population segmentation based on patterns of chronic conditions, healthcare utilization, costs, and other factors can pinpoint groups experiencing gaps in care quality (Hanlon et al., 2018). Quality metrics and risk models can then be applied to identify specific deficiencies within subgroups. Targeting improvement initiatives to underserved segments enables more precise and resource-efficient solutions (Jowsey, Jeon, Dugdale, Glasgow, & Kljakovic, 2009). For instance, older adults with certain physical and cognitive impairments may benefit from greater integration of medical care with social services. Patient-centered medical homes, community health teams, and other integrated care models leverage analytics to match interventions with population needs and priorities (Liddy, Blazkho, & Mill, 2014).

Multidisciplinary care plans represent a key tool to improve coordination and delivery of recommended care for complex patients. Structured EHR documentation that accounts for multiple chronic conditions facilitates holistic, individualized plans encompassing self-management support, specialist referrals, home health, and other services (Onder et al., 2015). Dashboard visualizations help clinicians view aggregate care gaps for their panel of chronic disease patients to address through care planning. Alerts and decision support integrated in the EHR can further enhance adherence to evidence-based chronic disease care by surfacing guideline recommendations during care planning and other workflows (Bierman & Tinetti, 2016). Healthcare analytics enables continuous feedback loops to refine interventions and care models based on analysis of implementation barriers, patient outcomes, and other metrics. This data-driven adaptation allows health systems to sustain and expand quality improvements for chronic disease populations (Kebede et al., 2018).

In addition to overall quality of care, addressing disparities is critical for improving chronic disease health outcomes. Factors like low socioeconomic status, inadequate insurance

coverage, and geographic access barriers disproportionately affect quality and outcomes for disadvantaged groups (Milani, Lavie, Bober, & Milani, 2017). Risk stratification and quality measurement methodologies should account for social determinants of health to accurately identify and monitor gaps. Culturally competent programs and community partnerships are vital to reduce inequities (Dorr, Bonner, Cohen, & Plickert, 2007). Ongoing collection and analysis of demographic data across implementation activities can help ensure interventions reach diverse segments of complex patient populations.

While showing great promise, utilizing healthcare analytics to optimize chronic disease care quality has limitations and risks to consider. Data quality issues like inaccuracy, inconsistency, and incompleteness reduce the reliability of analytic insights for clinical decision making and improvement initiatives (Fraccaro, Arguello Casteleiro, Ainsworth, & Buchan, 2015). The added time and disruption of quality measurement and reporting can also deter provider engagement. Networks involving multiple organizations face greater barriers to effective data integration and sharing. Specific target populations, interventions, implementation approaches, and outcome metrics should be carefully defined and validated to produce actionable findings (Smith, Soubhi, Fortin, Hudon, & O'Dowd, 2012).

Legal, ethical, and data transparency concerns must also be addressed when applying analytics to improve care quality for chronic disease patients (Leniz et al., 2020). Individuals should be informed about collection and use of their data with the ability to opt-out if desired. Robust governance policies and procedures are imperative to prevent discriminatory algorithmic decisions or unintended privacy breaches that disproportionately impact marginalized groups (Gianfrancesco et al., 2018). Oversight processes should monitor for analytical model bias and ensure transparency regarding data sources, assumptions, and analytic methodologies. While navigating these constraints, high-quality datasets and advanced analytics are integral to creating a learning health system that continuously optimizes chronic disease care.

Conclusion

In conclusion, healthcare analytics holds significant promise for improving quality of care for individuals with chronic diseases. By leveraging data from various sources and applying advanced analytical techniques, healthcare organizations can identify gaps in care, optimize treatment strategies, and enhance patient outcomes. Key strategies identified include predictive modeling, risk stratification, care coordination, population health management, and patient engagement.

However, several challenges must be addressed to fully realize the potential of healthcare analytics in chronic disease management. Data quality issues, interoperability challenges, privacy concerns, and the need for specialized expertise can hinder effective implementation. Legal, ethical, and data transparency concerns must also be carefully navigated.

Despite these limitations, healthcare analytics represents a valuable tool for creating a learning health system that continuously optimizes chronic disease care. By integrating data across providers and settings, accounting for interactions between conditions, and tailoring interventions to individual needs and preferences, analytics-driven approaches can promote patient-centered, coordinated care for this complex population.

Future research should focus on refining and standardizing analytical methods, developing user-friendly tools, and evaluating the long-term impact of analytics-driven interventions on chronic disease management and patient outcomes. Addressing these areas will further enhance the ability of healthcare analytics to identify and address quality of care gaps, ultimately leading to improved health outcomes and reduced costs for individuals with chronic diseases.

References

1. Adams, M. L. (2017). Differences between younger and older US adults with multiple chronic conditions. Preventing Chronic Disease, 14, E62.
2. Ahn, S., Hussein, M., Mahmood, A., & Liu, X. (2020). Emergency department and inpatient utilization among U.S. older adults with multiple chronic conditions: a post-reform update. BMC Health Services Research, 20, 77.
3. Beverly, E. A., Wray, L. A., Chiu, C. J., & LaCoe, C. L. (2011). Perceived challenges and priorities in co-morbidity management of older patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetic Medicine, 28(7), 781-784.
4. Bierman, A. S., & Tinetti, M. E. (2016). Precision medicine to precision care: managing multimorbidity. The Lancet, 388(10061), 2721-2723.
5. Boersma, P., Black, L. I., & Ward, B. W. (2020). Prevalence of multiple chronic conditions among US adults, 2018. Preventing Chronic Disease, 17, E106.
6. Dorr, D. A., Bonner, L. M., Cohen, A. N., Shoai, R. S., Perrin, R., Chaney, E., & Young, A. S. (2007). Informatics systems to promote improved care for chronic illness: A literature review. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 14(2), 156-163.
7. Fraccaro, P., Arguello Casteleiro, M., Ainsworth, J., & Buchan, I. (2015). Adoption of clinical decision support in multimorbidity: A systematic review. JMIR Medical Informatics, 3(1), e4.
8. Gianfrancesco, M. A., Tamang, S., Yazdany, J., & Schmajuk, G. (2018). Potential biases in machine learning algorithms using electronic health record data. JAMA Internal Medicine, 178(11), 1544-1547.
9. Hanlon, P., Nicholl, B. I., Jani, B. D., Lee, D., McQueenie, R., & Mair, F. S. (2018). Examining patterns of multimorbidity, polypharmacy, and risk of adverse drug reactions in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a cross-sectional UK Biobank study. BMJ Open, 8(1), e018404.
10. Jowsey, T., Yen, L., Mathews, L., & Wells, H. (2009). Time to clarify–The value of advanced practice nursing roles in health care. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 65(5), 929-935.
11. Kebede, M. M., Zeeb, H., Peters, M., Heise, T. L., & Pischke, C. R. (2018). Effectiveness of digital interventions for improving glycemic control in persons with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression analysis. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics, 20(11), 767-782.
12. Leniz, J., Weil, A., Higginson, I. J., & Sleeman, K. E. (2020). Electronic palliative care coordination systems (EPaCCS): A systematic review. BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care, 10(1), 68-78.
13. Liddy, C., Blazkho, V., & Mill, K. (2014). Challenges of self-management when living with multiple chronic conditions: Systematic review of the qualitative literature. Canadian Family Physician, 60(12), 1123-1133.
14. Marengoni, A., Angleman, S., Melis, R., Mangialasche, F., Karp, A., Garmen, A., Meinow, B., & Fratiglioni, L. (2011). Aging with multimorbidity: a systematic review of the literature. Ageing Research Reviews, 10(4), 430-439.
15. McCormick, W. C., & Boling, P. A. (2005). Multimorbidity and a comprehensive Medicare care-coordination benefit. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 53(12), 2227-2228.
16. Milani, R. V., Lavie, C. J., Bober, R. M., Milani, A. R., & Ventura, H. O. (2017). Improving hypertension control and patient engagement using digital tools. The American Journal of Medicine, 130(1), 14-20.
17. Onder, G., Palmer, K., Navickas, R., Jurevičienė, E., Mammarella, F., Strandzheva, M., Mannucci, P., Pecorelli, S., & Marengoni, A. (2015). Time to face the challenge of multimorbidity. A European perspective from the joint action on chronic diseases and promoting healthy ageing across the life cycle (JA-CHRODIS). European Journal of Internal Medicine, 26(3), 157-159.
18. Sambamoorthi, U., Tan, X., & Deb, A. (2015). Multiple chronic conditions and healthcare costs among adults. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research, 15(5), 823-832.
19. Smith, S. M., Soubhi, H., Fortin, M., Hudon, C., & O'Dowd, T. (2012). Interventions for improving outcomes in patients with multimorbidity in primary care and community settings. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4, CD006560.

20. Vogeli, C., Shields, A. E., Lee, T. A., Gibson, T. B., Marder, W. D., Weiss, K. B., & Blumenthal, D. (2007). Multiple chronic conditions: prevalence, health consequences, and implications for quality, care management, and costs. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 22(Suppl 3), 391-395.
21. Wijlaars, L. P. M. M., Gilbert, R., & Hardelid, P. (2016). Chronic conditions in children and young people: learning from administrative data. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 101(10), 881-885.