Migration Letters

Volume: 19, No: S8 (2022), pp. 517-523 ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online) www.migrationletters.com

The Assessment of Infection Control Measures in Dental Clinics in Saudi Arabia March 2022

Abdullah Rasheed Al-Saedi¹, Abeer Ahmad Al-Harbi², Bandar Saleem Al-Houaimil³, Bahaa Ali Felemban⁴, Abdullah Dakhel Al-Oufi⁵, Yousef Marzouq Al-Harbi⁶, Wedyan Bakor Ahmad⁷, Enaam abdulmonem aljorfi⁸, Fatmah Khaled Basalama⁹, Salem Abdulrahman alsaif¹⁰, Fatma Abdullah Al Najmi¹¹

Abstract

Background: The emergence of life-threatening infectious diseases demands the implementation of efficient infection control practices in health care facilities. Failure to adhere to such infection control measures may lead to the spread of pathogens and microorganisms which damage the health of both the healthcare personnel and the community in general.

Objectives: This study aimed to assess the practices of infection control procedures among dental staff through the application of a health education program.

Subjects and methods: It was an intervention study conducted at a dental clinic at Hospitals and Primary health care centers (PHC) Governorate to assess the knowledge and attitude of staff concerning infection control practice. The study included the doctors and nurses working in the clinic and A hospital administration employee collects data

Results: The results of the study revealed that there was a highly significant increase in infection control measures score and the total score; also, there was a significant increase in occupational safety measures score and waste disposal score after the intervention.

Conclusion: The infection control measures implemented by health care providers in their dental practice were effective. Hence, it is necessary to educate, raise awareness of professionals, and promote constant updating courses on procedures aiming at improving safety in the dentistry practices.

Key words: Cross-infection, Infection control, Practices, Medical Waste, Dentist Role.

¹ Al-Defaa PHCC General Dentist Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

² Erwah PHCC General Dentist. Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

³ Al-Hijra PHCC General Dentist Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

⁴ Ohud Hospital Senior Registrar in Periodontics Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

⁵ Al-Defaa PHCC General Dentist Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

⁶ Primary health care-the third supervisor unit General Dentist Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

⁷ Dental Assistant Al-Badrani PHCC Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

⁸ Al-badrani PHCC Dental Assistant Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

⁹ Al-Fath Health Care General Dentist Almadina Almonawara MOH Saudi Arabia

¹⁰ General dentist Salah eldin dental complex Hail MOH Saudi Arabia

¹¹ Dental Assistant Alsharaie l Olya PHC Makkah MOH Saudi Arabia

Introduction

Patient safety is a vital medical discipline that targets improving the quality of patient care, minimizing treatment errors, and ensuring safety. Infectious diseases represent a public health concern that challenges health care systems in many countries. Dental care is not free from risk '

The role of infection control is to eliminate the transfer of microorganisms which may be accomplished in several methods. These methods include the use of personal protective equipment, immunization of dental healthcare workers against the infectious disease of concern, correct cleaning, and disinfection of surfaces and instruments, and proper technique for handling sharp instruments.

Bacterial, fungal and some viral infections can be completely avoided if strict infection control measures are followed. About 36% of these infections are preventable through the adherence to strict guidelines by healthcare workers when providing dental services to patients 3. Thus, this study aimed to assess the practices of infection control among dental staff after the application of a healtheducation program.

Methodology

An intervention study was carried out in march 2022. The participants were doctors and nurses who work at dental Clinics in the Hospitals and Primary health care clinics in the west of SAUDI ARABIA region were identified (8 clinics almadina almonawara, Makkah and hail cities). All Dental staff were invited to participate in the study. The total number of dentists was 8 and 3 dental assistant and technician, all of whom agreed to participate; there were only three nurses specialized in dental care and they consented to participate in the study. The participants were asked to fill in a questionnaire. The pre-structured questionnaire included:

1. Personal and occupational data of the dentists: These data comprised age, sex, residence, marital status, qualification, and the number of years of dental practice.

2. Pattern of practice: This item was concerned with the medical practice of dentists like the number of working hours per day, the number of patients seen daily.

The second tool was a valid and reliable observation checklist for practice assessment adopted from The United States Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations and recommendations of the Saudi Arabia Central Dentistry Administration. The occupational checklist was formed of three main parts: Occupational safety measures (10 items with scores of 14), infection control measures (7 items with scores of 14), and dental waste management (one item with scores of two) ""

The Intervention program was performed over four sessions; each session was provided once a week and lasted for two hours. The intervention was completed in one month. The program employed PowerPoint presentations and used booklets that included data concerning infection control.

Two posters were used to visualize the information to the participants: the first presented the steps of handwashing, and the other was about how to correctly remove gloves after work. Both posters were hung on the walls of each in the health unit. All recruited participants received comprehensive information regarding the objective and the expected benefit of the study. All ethical considerations were taken throughout the whole work.

Ethical Consideration:

All participants signed informed written consent to take part in the study. Permission from the Faculty of Medicine Ethical Committee was also obtained, and approval from the institutional review board was taken.

An official written administrative permission letter was obtained from the Manager of Hospitals and PHCC.

Analysis of data was conducted using the Statistical Program for Social Sciences version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive and inferential statistics were prepared. Chisquare test was conducted to determine the associations between the categorical variables, while the Fisher test was used to compare two groups of qualitative data with the expected value of one cell <5, and finally, the student T-test was used to compare the means of two groups of parametric continuous data. All p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

The participating dental health care providers where characterized as follows: 44a of whom were not specialized, 36a had specialty degrees of Diploma, Masters, or MD, and 20a were nurses. Besides, most of the participants lived in urban sites. The percentage of males 3978 while the 174 percentage of females was 36%. The average duration of accumulative work in practicing dentistry was 14. I years.

Table 1 shows that the mean of daily working hours is 6.3 with an SD of 2.2. Almost half of the studied health care providers kept medical records of their patients. Fifty-eight percent of them reviewed and updated their patients' records frequently. In 69.8% of these records only past medical history was recorded. However, 5713 of the studied health care providers gave antibiotics for patients who had rheumatic fever before examination or any intervention while 4% of them never did so.

As shown in Table 2, there was a significant change in all items of occupational safety measures. There was also a significant change in all items of infection control measures except for "using boiling method and management of compromised patients before examining them" as presented in Table 3. There was a significant change in dental waste disposal after the intervention (Table 4).

However, no significant relationship was between the qualification of the participating care providers and the mean score of occupational safety, infection control measures, and waste disposal before intervention as shown in Table5.

Table (1): General characteristics and medical practice pattern of the studied health care providers A.

General characteristics of health							
care providers							
			Distribution				
Age in years	(X SD)	38.4 ±10.1					
Years of practice	(X° SD)	14.1 ± 9.3	14.1 ± 9.3				
Sex		No.	%				
• Male		16	64				
Female		9	36				
Residence	Residence						
• Urban		1510	60				
• Rural							
Practice pattern							
Working hours/day (X° SD)		6.3 ± 2.2	40				
Keeping patients' medical records							
• Yes		11 14	44				
• No			56				
Main items in these records							
 Infectious disease 	 Infectious diseases 		2.3 •				

Past medical history	30	69.8
 Full medical history 	1µ2	27.9
Frequencies of records reviewing and	updating	
• Never	9 -	20.9
Occasionally	. 9	20.9
Always	25	58.1
Giving antibiotic before examination of	those patient	ts have RH fever or posses artificial valves
• Yes	24	96
• No	1	4
Qualification		
• B.Sc	ΙĮ	
 Post-graduation studies 	9	36
Nurses		29
	5	

Table (2): Response of the studied participants towards some occupational infectious hazards

	Pre-		Post-		X2	P-value
	intervention		intervention		-	
Occupational infectious hazards	No	%		%		
Uses of barrier protection Mask			(-)			<0
No	5		0			1999 C
Occasional	15		5	20		
Always	5			80.0		
Uses of barrier protection Gloves						<0
No	4		1			0.010
Occasional	16		3			
Always	5		21	84.0		
Uses of barrier protection Eyewear	~					0.047*
No			7			
Occasional	8		8			
Always	3			40.0		
Uses of barrier protection Protective			0.5		1	0.006*
clothes						
No			8			
Occasional	4		4	.0		
Always	3		13			
Hand washing					Fisher	<0
Yes	10		22			~
No	15		3			
Dealing with all specimens as infectious					Fisher	<0
Yes	2		23	92.0		
No			2			
Dealing with all patients as infectious.					Fisher	<0
Yes				100		
No	9		0			
Dentists seeking for evaluation after the			65		3.33	0.06
exposure incident						
Yes	15			100		
No	10		0	and the second s		
Dealing with sharp objects					Fisher	
Thrown in the trash basket	10		0			
Discarded in a leak-proof container or	15			100		
burned	~					
Vaccination against HBV						
Yes	11			80.0		
No	14		5	20.0		

	Pre- intervention		Post	t-	X°	P-value
			intervention			
Infection control measures	No	%	No	%		
Using disposable instruments					6.65	0.009*
Yes	10	40.0		19		
No	15	60.0		7 6 .0 6		
Using chemical disinfections +(hot oven or autoclave)				24.0	5.12	0.023*
Yes	9	36.0				
No	16	64.0		17		
Using a hot oven or autoclave				78.08	3.94	0.047*
Yes	10	40.0		32.0		
No	15	60.0				
Using chemical disinfection				17	F-isher	0.002*
Yes	17	78.0		78.08		
No	8	32.0		32.0		
Using the boiling method					F-isher	0.312
Yes	24	96.0	25 0	100.0		
			0	0.0		
No	I	4.0	0	0.0	1	
Management of compromised patients before					0.08	0.776
examining them						
Yes	14	56.0	13	52.0		
No	II	44.0	12	48.0		
Changing gloves between patients	-	h	6.5		F-isher	
Ye	P	20.0	25	100.0		
No	20	80.0	0	0.0		

Table (3): Response of the studied participants towards infection control measures

 Table (4): Response of the studied participants towards dental waste disposal

	Pre-intervention		Post-intervention		М	P-value
Dental waste management	No	%	No	%		[
Dental waste management:					15.07	0.005*
Incinerated in the hospital incinerator	10	40.0	23	92.0		
Burned by the dentist in the open air	7	28.0	Į	4.0		
Going with ordinary trash.	8	32	I	4.0		

Table (5): The mean score of occupational safety, infection control measures, and waste disposal before and after intervention:

	Pre-inte N=25	Pre-intervention N=25		tervention	Paired t.test	P-value
Occupational safety						
measures	8.2	* 2.5	I 1.0	1.7	9.4	0.002*
Upper score = 14points						
Infection control measures		* 1.96	I 1.2			
Upper score = 14 points	7.9			*2.7	11.3	8
Waste disposal						
Upper score = 2 points	0.25	* 0.05	1.16	* 0.19	6.01	0.04*
Total score	15.7	* 3.6	23.4	± 3.6	10.8	
Upper score = 30 points						~

Discussion

The dental clinic offers an environment where disease transmission may easily transfer to patients and dental health care providers. Prevention of cross- infection in the dental clinic is, therefore, a crucial aspect of the dental practice, and dental clinic workers should adopt certain basic routines during the dentistry practices. Some of the infections that dental

health care professionals (DHCPs) are at risk of may be caused by various microorganisms such as mycobacterium tuberculosis, hepatitis B and C viruses, staphylococci, streptococci, herpes simplex virus types, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), mumps, influenza and rubella *.

This study aimed to improve the standards of dental care quality of infection control performance at dental Clinics at Juranh District.

This was an intervention study that was conducted at Dentist Clinics where Tow doctors and three nurses who worked in dental clinics participated in the study.

In the present study, we assessed the general characteristics of the studied health care providers and revealed that 4496 of them were not

specialized, 3696 have specialty degrees of Diploma, Masters, or MD, and 20a were nurses. It was also revealed that the majority of the participants lived in urban sites. Averagely, the participated dentists and dental nurses practiced dentistry for almost 14.1 years in the time of conducting the study.

Unlike the findings of the study conducted by Matsuda ct aL in which 614 dental surgeons were surveyed and reported that 70.3696 were female, the mean age was 34 years, and 46.58% had been practicing dentistry for ten years or more. The majority (54.72a) had postgraduate training, with specializations mainly on endodontic (18.9096) and dental implant (12.93a). A high percentage (87.30a) performed surgical dental procedures; 46.3496 of professionals assisted patients from the public sector and both private and health insured patients; 44.67a provided care to private patients only,6.6696 worked at the public sector, and 2.33& with health plans.

As per the relationship between levels of health care providers' awareness of crossinfection control and the changes in dental practice, the present study revealed that studied health care providers reported an improvement in their awareness towards cross-infection control in the past years.

About half of the studied health care providers spent more time with each patient as well as between patients due to employing more cautious, protective infection control measures. However, 74a of the studied health care providers claimed that the fees per patient did not change.

This finding is in line with that of the study by Dagher et nL 'reported that less than 5& of the surveyed dentists were considered to have excellent levels of compliance and spend more time with each patient as well as between patients due to using more protective procedures and infection control measures, while approximately 27a and 3596oftherespondents had fair or poor compliance scores, respectively. The level of compliance was not significantly affected by the sociodemographic and professional variables.

On the other hand, the present study revealed that there was a highly significant increase in infection control measures score and total score in the studied health care providers, also there is a significant increase in occupational safety measures score and waste disposal score after the intervention. This seems in contrast with the findings of Gichuhi et al who reported that the overall compliance level to infection control measures was likely to be average with a mean score of 60.896 for the hospital. Adequately implemented infection control policy guidelines were handwashing, decontamination, sterilization (autoclaving), and waste management. Inadequately implemented IPPC policy guidelines were high-level disinfection, standard procedure, and housekeeping.

Regarding the qualifications of the studied Health care providers and its effect on the mean score of occupational safety, infection control measures, and waste disposal according to intervention, the present study revealed that there is no significant correlation between the qualification of the studied Health care providers and its effect on the mean score of

occupational safety, infection control measures and was tedisposalneither before norafier the intervention. Our findings are in line with those from the studies of " Dagher et al " and" Vega et al" which revealed that there is a lack of significant differences between specialists and general practitioners It should be noted however that the present study did not attempt to identify the type of specialty e.g.,oral surgery, orthodontics, periodontics, etc.) practiced in the surveyed sample. Oral surgery specialized dental practices may implement stricter infection control measures than other specialties or general dentistry-practicing clinics

Conclusion

Considering the initial proposal and the results obtained, we can conclude that infection control actions implemented by dental health care providers in this due to this intervention were effective. It is necessary to educate dental professionals and raise their awareness of infection control measures.

Additionally, promoting constant updating courses on procedures aiming at improving safety in the dentistry practice is necessary for the sake of both dental health care providers' and patients' safety.

Funds: No fund.

Author Consent and Conflict of interest:

We hereby confirm that there have been no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication, and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

References:

- 1. Jain S Persaud D, Perl T (2006): Nosucoicial malaria and .saline flu.sh. Emierpinp Infect Dis., 1.1 (7): 1(197-9.
- 2. Weheida S, Fareed M, Shehata A (2008): Infection control practice in dental clinics. Bull Alex Fac Med., 44(4) 841-853.
- 3. Centers for Disease Control (2003): Guidelines for infection control in dental healthcare setting. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 52(17): 1 -b1.
- 4. Yadav B, Rai A, Agarwal S rt ml. (2017): Assessment ct infection control practice in a private dental hospital. Int J Res Med Sci., 5: 4737-42.
- 5. Matsuda K, Grinbaum S, Davidowicz H (2011):Th assessment ct infection control in dental practices in the municipality of Sao Paulo. Braz J Infect Dis., 15(1):45-5.1.
- 6. Dagher .j, sfeir C, Abdallah A (2017): Infection Control Measures in Private Dental Clinic.s in Lebanon; International Journal of Denti.stry, 47: 777-78(1.
- 7. Gichuhi A, Kamau s, Nyangena E (2015): Health Care Workers Adherence to Infection Pre mention Practice.s and Control Mea.sure.s: A Case ct a Level Four District Hospital in Ken ya. American formal ct Nursing Science, 2: 39-TI. S. Vega o, Janus C, Laskin D(2012): Hand-washing knowledge and practices among dentists and dental .specialists. Quintet essence International, 43: 429W34.
- 9. Tada A, Watanabe M, Senpuku H (2014): Factors influencing compliance with infection control practice in Japanese dentists. The International formal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 5:2-I—31.
- 10. Refat A, El Moghazy M, El Morsy E (199tl): Epidemiolopy O1 Needle Prick Injuries Among A Group O1 Nurses In Zagazig University Hospital. Ed yp j Occup Med., 14(2): 239-246.
- 11. Davis D, Be hole E (199B): Compliance with infection control procedures among Illinois orthodontists. Am J Orthud., 113(6): b47-654.