Migration Letters

Volume: 19, No: S5 (2022), pp. 13-40

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

Trust In Nurse-Patient Relationships With Applying Medical Sociology Key Concepts; Review

Faiza Hussain Ali Alqaflah¹, Wafih Mohammed Ali Mobarki², Layla Mohammed Yahya Sayegh³, Hamad Salem Hamad Alyami⁴, Reemah Mohammed Yahya Alsalem⁵, Ali Mohammed Ali Al Yami⁶, Thamer Ali Alshomrani⁷, Suyuf Ahmed Alwallah⁸, Shadi Rafea Z Alzahrani⁹

Abstract

The aim of this study was to report the results of a literature review of empirical studies on trust within the nurse–patient relationship. A search of electronic databases yielded 34 articles published between 1980 and 2011. Twenty-two studies used a qualitative design, and 12 studies used quantitative research methods. The context of most quantitative studies was nurse caring behaviours, whereas most qualitative studies focused trust in the nu¹rse–patient relationship. Most of the quantitative studies used a descriptive design, while qualitative methods included the phenomenological approach, grounded theory, ethnography and interpre-tive interactionism. Data collection was mainly by questionnaires or interviews. Evidence from this review suggests that the development of trust is a relational phenomenon, and a process, during which trust could be broken and re-established. Nurses' professional competencies and interpersonal caring attributes were important in developing trust; however, various factors may hinder the trusting relationship.

Keywords Caring, literature review, nurse behaviour, nurse–patient relationship, trust.

¹Staff Nurse King Khaled Hospital.

²Specialist Nursing King Khaled Hospital In Najran.

³Health Informatics King Khaled Hospital In Najran Najran.

⁴Health Administration King Khaled Hospital In Najran Najran.

⁵General Nursing King Khaled Hospital In Najran.

⁶Sociology Specialist General Directorate Of Health Affairs In Najran.

⁷Staff Nurse Second Health Assembelly In Riyad.

⁸Staff Nurse Second Health Assembly In Riyad.

⁹Technician Nursing Public Health Department

Introduction

Nurses usually care for individuals who are most vulnerable when illness and other conditions do not allowthem to be autonomous or self-regulative. They are also the closest health-care providers to patients. Patients usually have no choice but to trust them, especially when they are critically ill. Therefore, trust is a vital valuein nurse—patient relationships. The concept of trust in the nurse—patient relationship is widely discussed in theoretical nursing ethics literature. Trust is described as a belief that our good will be taken care of oras an ²attitude bound to time and space in which one relies with confidence on someone or something, and as a willingness to engage oneself in a relationship with an acceptance that vulnerability may arise. Trust has been conceptualised mostly by addressing the imbalances of power in nurse—patient relationships that increase the vulnerability and dependency of the truster. In line with this conceptualisation, trust is also conceived as an internal good of nursing practice and as a normative ethical concept. For example, Carter Carter

suggested that trust is even more fundamental than duties of beneficence, veracity and non-maleficence, because without trust, nobody would have a reason to take on these duties in the first place.

The concept of trust is also of particular interest in empirical nursing literature. Especially, theorganisational aspects of trust have been described extensively. Studies have shown that trust has positive associations with many aspects of working life, including organisational citizenship behaviours and organisational commitment, workplace empowerment of nurses and job satisfaction. 14,15

In addition to the organisational aspects of trust, individual empirical studies clarify that trust also plays an essential role in the individual nurse—patient relationship. The nurse—patient relationship is the cornerstone of nursing work, and trust is critical in this relationship because without trust, it is not possible to effectively meet the needs of patients and to improve their satisfaction with nursing care. However, the value of trust in the nurse—patient relationship should be based on the best available empirical evidence. Thus, there is a need to collate all up-to-date information from empirical research relating to trust within the nurse—patient relationship.

¹Staff Nurse King Khaled Hospital.

²Specialist Nursing King Khaled Hospital In Najran.

³Health Informatics King Khaled Hospital In Najran Najran.

⁴Health Administration King Khaled Hospital In Najran Najran.

⁵General Nursing King Khaled Hospital In Najran.

⁶Sociology Specialist General Directorate Of Health Affairs In Najran.

⁷Staff Nurse Second Health Assembelly In Riyad.

⁸Staff Nurse Second Health Assembly In Riyad.

⁹Technician Nursing Public Health Department.

Review

Aim

The aim of this literature review was to identify empirical studies on trust within the nurse—patient relationship and to analyse and synthesise the results. Specific questions that guided this review were as follows:

- 1. What is the patients' level of trust in nurses, and what is the importance of the 'trust relationship' component in nurses' behaviour as perceived by patients and nurses?
- 2. What are the preconditions for trust in the nurse–patient relationship?
- 3. What are the characteristics of trust in the nurse–patient relationship?
- 4. What factors (barriers and facilitators) influence trust in the nurse–patient relationship?
- 5. What are the outcomes of trust for patients and nurses within the nurse–patient relationship?

Search strategy

An extensive search of the electronic databases, Medline, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Social Science Citation Index, Scopus, Academic Search Premier and Informaworld, was conducted using a combination of the following keywords: 'trust', 'trustworthiness', 'nurs*' and 'nurse—patient relationship'. Articles were included if they met each of the following criteria: (a) original empirical studies with a qualitative or quantitative method design, (b) about trust, (c) within the nurse—patient relationship and (d) published in English between 1980 and 2011. Articles that focused on organisational trust or trust within nurse—physi- cian or nurse—family relationships were excluded, as were editorials, conceptual analyses, review articles, case studies, opinions, position papers of nursing organisations, books and dissertations. We used the snow-ball method to identify additional studies.

Methodology

Initially, we evaluated all identified studies on the basis of titles and/or abstracts against the inclusion cri- teria. Those deemed irrelevant were excluded. Subsequently, we retrieved and evaluated the complete text of articles that met our inclusion criteria. All included empirical articles were read thoroughly to obtain anoverall understanding of the material. We then extracted data from the included studies using a specifically designed data extraction form. This form included subheadings of 'Aim/Purpose', 'Background',

'Methods', 'Results/Findings' and 'Conclusions' to perform a detailed analysis. The content of each article was summarised under the subheadings of the data extraction form. The data abstraction and synthesis process consisted of re-reading, comparing, categorising and relating the data to each other. To provide an overall picture of the methodology of the empirical studies, the methodological characteristics of the studies were incorporated into different tables. The co-author (C.G.) carefully reviewed and commented on the data collection and analysis process.

Search outcome

The literature search yielded 34 appropriate publications (Tables 1 and 2). Countries of publication were United States (7), Sweden (5), Australia (5), Canada (4), China (3), United Kingdom (2), Ireland (2),

Taiwan (1), Finland (1), Iran (1), Norway (1), South Africa (1) and Iceland (1). Twenty-two studies used qualitative design, ^{16–37} and 12 studies used quantitative research methods. ^{38–49}

Participants included nurses; 16,18,20,26,32,36,43 patients; 19,21,24,28,31,34,37,38,41,44 nurses and

patients; ^{17,22,23,25,27,29,30,35,42,45,47–49} African Americans^{39,40} and a mixed group including parents of hospitalised children, ³³ residents and nurses. ⁴⁶

Twenty studies were undertaken in hospital settings. ^{16,18,19,21–26,28,36–38,41–45,47,48} Eleven studies were carried out in other health-care settings including primary care districts²⁰ or clinics, ⁴⁰ ambulatory health centre, ³⁹ palliative care and hospices, ^{29,31} home care, ^{32,33,35} aged-care facilities, ⁴⁶ oncology centres ⁴⁹ and psychiatric community services. ²⁷ In three studies, the setting was unclear. ^{17,30,34}

Most quantitative studies provided evidence of the importance of the 'trusting relationship' component of nursing behaviour as perceived by patients and nurses. Two other quantitative studies focused on thepatients' level of trust in nurses. Many studies provided insights into the preconditions for developing a trusting relationship; 16-19,22,27,29,30,32,33,35,37 however, only one study exclusively focused on this factor. The context of most qualitative studies was the relationship between nurses and patients, 16,17,30 including specifically the relationship between nurses and children and their parents, and their parents, nurses and chronically illpatients, home care nurses and elderly clients, nurses and patients in palliative care, 24,29 perinatal nurses and post-partum women, nurses and patients in psychiatric wards and patients with tracheostomy. Factors that influence a trusting relationship were discussed in most studies. A number of studiesmentioned the outcomes of trust and a trusting relationship for the patient, and two studies discussed the

outcomes of a trusting relationship for the nurse. 16,18

Methodological characteristics

The methodological features of the included studies are summarised in Tables 1

and 2. All quantitative studies used a descriptive design. Three of the quantitative studies implemented a descriptive, cross-sectional design;^{39,40,42} two studies had a descriptive comparative design^{45,49} and one had a correlational design.⁴¹

The sample size of quantitative studies varied from 29 to 300. In all quantitative studies, data were collected using questionnaires and scales. These included the Trust in Provider Scale,³⁹ Cultural Mistrust Inventory, the Michigan Academic Consortium Patient Satisfaction tool, Group-Based Medical Mistrust Scale and Black Racial Identity Attitude Scale.^{39,40} Burge⁴¹ used the Trust Subscale of the Patient's Opinionof Nursing Care to assess trust of nursing staff. Studies that focused on perceived importance of nurse caring behaviours used the Caring Behaviours Assessment (CBA) tool^{38,45,48} and the Caring Assessment Question-naire (Care-Q).^{42-44,46-49}

Qualitative methods included the phenomenological approach, ^{18,19,22,23,26,29} grounded theory, ^{24,30,31,33–35,37} ethnography ^{22,25,32} and interpretive interactionism. ¹⁷ Five studies implemented a descriptive, qualitative design. ^{16,20,27,28,36} Most qualitative studies used unstructured or semi-structured interviews with participants or focus groups for data collection. In all ethnographic studies ^{22,25,32} and in one phenomenological design, ²³ data were collected using participant observation and interviews. Thorne and Robinson, ³⁴ who adopted the grounded theory approach, also took field notes.

Critical appraisal

In accordance with Polit and Beck,⁵⁰ we extracted information on the presence of research questions or hypotheses, study design, sampling method, data collection and analysis and ethical considerations for thequality appraisal of the quantitative studies.

Three quantitative studies clearly mentioned research questions.^{38,43,48} Burge⁴¹ and Baldursdottir and Jonsdottir³⁸ stated the hypotheses, and they all provided the purpose or specific aims of the study.

Ten studies included non-probability convenience sampling. ^{38–40,43–49} One study used power analysis todetermine the sample size, ⁴¹ and in another, the sample size was determined by regression analysis. ⁴⁰ Burge ⁴¹ described both inclusion and exclusion criteria; moreover, four studies described the inclusion criteria, ^{38,39,42,49} and two studies mentioned only the exclusion criteria. ^{47,48} Five studies mentioned no inclusion or exclusion criteria. ^{40,43–46} All quantitative studies described the data analysis in detail, and ethical issues were adequately addressed.

Regarding the included qualitative studies, critical appraisal was done using the critical appraisal tool suggested by Hawker et al.⁵¹ Accordingly, the methodology of each of the qualitative studies, including the abstract and title, introduction and aims, sampling, data analysis, ethics, findings/results, transferability of findings and implications, was assessed and scored as 'good', 'fair', 'poor' or 'very poor'.

Seven studies having a structured abstract with complete information and a clear title scored good, ^{19,20,23,26,28,29,37} and the remainder having an abstract

with most of the information scored fair. All studies provided a clear statement of aim; however, only four included research questions, ^{21,31,33,35} and theremainder did not include any research questions.

Six studies used purposeful sampling, 16,19,23,29,30,37 two studies used convenience sampling 24,28 and one study used theoretical sampling. 33 In 12 studies, participants were selected via inclusion criteria, 16,18,19,21,22,24,26–28,31,35,36 of which 7 stated that data saturation was achieved. 24,26–28,31,35,37

In most of the qualitative studies, data accuracy was ensured by means of audiotape recording and verbatim transcription and analysis for common themes. In three studies, data analysis was validated by thesecond author^{20,33} or with investigator triangulation by two other authors.¹⁷ In one ethnographic study, thefield notes and initial themes were confirmed by a committee, ²² and in two studies, formulated statements were validated using a panel of judges, ²¹ or analysis was presented to the hospital management team, nurses and independent researchers for verification.³⁷ Only one study clearly mentioned the rigour of the study, which included indicators of credibility, dependability, confirmability and transferability.²⁶ As the findingsof most of the studies were not transferable to a wider population, scoring for this item was very poor. Ethical issues were not mentioned in two studies^{30,34} but were mentioned clearly in the remainder.

Findings

The findings of this review are presented according to the questions that guided this review.

Patients' and nurses' perceptions of the importance of trust in nurse–patient relationships

A distinction should be made between studies that estimate the level of patients' trust in nurses on the onehand and studies that report the perceived importance of the 'trusting relationship' component of nurses' caring behaviour on the other. Quantitative studies that estimate patients' level of trust in nurses indicate that nurses are highly trusted by patients. Burge⁴¹ suggests that patients who underwent total knee arthroplasty had a high level of trust in their nurses. Benkert and Tate³⁹ and Benkert and Wickson⁴⁰ estimate that low-income African Americans also held high levels of trust and satisfaction with their nursesdespite having moderate levels of mistrust in the health-care system and mistrust of European American care providers.

Quantitative studies focusing on patients' and nurses' perceptions of nurse caring behaviours reflect the perceived importance of the 'trusting relationship' component of nursing behaviour. Studies using the CBA tool indicated that the importance of the 'helping/trust' subscale was ranked fourth⁴⁵ or fifth³⁸ by patients ina total of seven subscales. Studies using the Caring Assessment Report Evaluation Q-sort (Care-Q) instrument, which includes a 'trusting relationship' subscale, also demonstrated the relative importance of this component of nursing behaviour according to patients. Many of the included studies indicated that 'trusting relationship' was rated by patients as fourth⁴³ or least important^{42,44,48,49} in a total of six subscales.

However, there were significant differences between nurses' and patients' perceptions. In a study by Widmark-Petersson et al.,⁴⁸ nurses ranked this subscale highest, and two other studies indicated that nurses rated 'trusting relationship' items significantly higher than patients did.^{46,47}

Preconditions for trust in nurse–patient relationships

Preconditions for trust referred to necessary conditions for trust formation in the nurse–patient relationship. Only one qualitative study specifically focused on this factor, ²⁰ while many other qualitative studies revealed evidence related to the general context. Some of the included qualitative studies reported that clients have a pre-existing trust, which is related to familiarity and previous experiences with the hospital and health-care providers, ³³ and a confidence ^{16,22} or initial trust in nurses due to their extensive education and employment. ^{30,35} However, certain conditions were considered to be essential for the development of trust. These included the availability and accessibility of the nurse, feeling emotionally and physically safe, ²⁷ feeling at home and valued as an individual, feeling adequately informed ³⁹ and respectful communication. ^{16,20,35} Thompson et al. ³³ reported that the development of trust requires an evaluation of care, including whether parents' and children's expectations and needs were met.

Regarding professional qualifications, nurses' technical 19,30,33 or pedagogical competence 20 and their experience 19 and good bedside manner 16 were identified as preconditions for developing a trusting relationship. Continuity of service was also identified as a precondition for the development of trust. 20,32 Developing trust within the nurse—patient relationship requires time. 16–18,20,27,33,36 To achieve a trusting relationship with patients, it was important for nurses to build a rapport; however, before building a rapport, nurses and patients must feel comfortable with each other. 16 Getting to know a patient as a person first ratherthan as a patient was another precondition for developing a trusting relationship. 18,30 Moreover, a holistic approach to caring, 29 being in charge, anticipating and meeting expectations for the care and needs of patients, 33 being prompt, following through and enjoying the job²² and acting as the patient's advocate^{29,30} were identified as preconditions for establishing trust.

Characteristics of trust in nurse-patient relationships

Building trust was characterised as a process that includes various stages during which trust could be established, damaged and repaired.

Trust as a dynamic process. The development of trust was described as an ongoing and dynamic process, from feeling comfortable to building a rapport, ¹⁶ that cannot be hastened. ^{18,20} The trust-building process betweennurse practitioners and black female patients involved trying to understand each other, individualising and sharing of self. ¹⁷ For patients with chronic illnesses, the process developed from general naive trust into specific reconstructed trust. ³⁴

This reconstructed trust was no longer characterised by blind faith in the humanity of the system; rather, it was characterised by a confident expectation of what the health-care professional could offer. In another study, the trust that elderly patients had in nurses was similar to 'naivetrusting' described by Thorne and Robinson;³⁴ however, as patients were satisfied with nursing care, trust intensified in strength and depth, and the trusting relationships spiralled upwards.³⁵ In Sacks and Nelson's³¹ study, trust emerged from the sufferer's relationship with another through the process of evaluating congru-ence between expected and real actions, and this process included the categories of dynamic experience, experiencing uncertainty and losing trust, and regaining trust. The stages that home care nurses and elderly clients proceed through were identified as initial trusting, connecting, negotiating and helping phases.³⁵

Trust as a relational phenomenon. Trust was regarded as the foundation of any therapeutic relationship^{19,27,36} and an essential element of nurse-patient relationships. ^{18,35} It is considered inherent in the relationship between a nurse and children and between a nurse and parents. 18,33 Establishing a trusting relationship withpatients was identified as an important facet of the nurse's role²⁶ and as a basis for continued care and treatment.³⁶ Hem et al.²⁵ state that trust is not something that nurses possess or are given; instead, it is something that they earn and have to work hard to achieve. It requires a two-way relationship between the person who makes themselves trustworthy and the person who puts their trust in them. 18 Thus, trust within nurse-patient relationships was described by Thorne and Robinson³⁴ as a reciprocal phenomenon. Reciprocity was also identified by Mok and Chiu²⁹ as an important element of nurse-patient relationships in palliative care. Their study showed that nurse-patient relationships evolve from a professional relationship to a focus on mutual understanding in which the professional relationship involves fulfilling obligatory functions and expectations and progresses to one of trust and connectedness. Morse³⁰ described the connected nurse-patient relationship as one in which the nurse, while maintaining a professional perspective, views the patient first as a person and second as a patient, and the patient respects the nurse's judgement and chooses to trust.

Trust as a fragile and ambiguous phenomenon. The findings of several studies suggested that trust is a fragile phenomenon. The study of Hem et al.²⁵ revealed how distrust was expressed in the nurse–patient relation-ship in a psychiatric department, and how trust can be created in an environment that is characterised by distrust. Both trust and distrust were exposed as 'fragile' phenomena that can easily 'tip over' towards theiropposites.^{25,36}

In paediatric settings, the experiences of nurses revealed the ambiguity of trust stemming from a perception of dichotomy, whereby the importance of maintaining trust was acknowledged, but breaking trust became essential to carry out painful or frightening procedures for children. ^{18,26} Bricher described this dichotomy as two faces of a trusting relationship: trust that allows a procedure to be undertaken with minimal distress and trust that

allows the relationship to be re-established after a distressing procedure.

Factors that influence trust in nurse-patient relationships

The findings of included studies indicated that various factors may facilitate or impede the development of a trusting relationship, some of which were related to personal and professional characteristics of nurses or vulnerability of patients.

Factors that facilitate trust. Besides the preconditions for establishing trust, studies also reported several factors that facilitate trust in nurse–patient relationships. For instance, Belcher¹⁶ reported that personal life and home environment could affect a nurse's state of mind and potentially influence the ability to effec-tively communicate. Gaining the trust of parents and children^{18,35} and promoting parents' participation in children's care to reduce their anxiety²⁶ were also highlighted as facilitating factors. Moreover, trusting in their patients' competence to make, share or delegate decisions in such a way that their own interests wereprotected played an important role in fostering trust in professionals.³⁴

Nurses' personal qualities were important aspects in developing trust. These were identified as honesty, trustworthiness, ^{23,27} confidentiality, ^{16,32} commitment to providing the best care, ¹⁶ authenticity, ³² sensitivity, humility and the ability to see the whole situation. ²⁰ Moreover, awareness of patients' unvoiced needs; understanding of patients' suffering; ²⁹ demonstrating care and tolerance; ²⁵ displaying a genuine and respect-ful attitude; ³² accepting patients' cultures, lifestyles and decisions without prejudgement ³⁵ and providing good advice, reassurance and encouragement ²⁸ were important for developing trusting relationships.

Factors that hinder trust. A number of variables hindered the development of trust within nurse–patient relationships. One such factor is lack of the necessary knowledge and skill to undertake nursing procedures. Moreover, using medical terminology or jargon which the patient does not fully understand creates a language barrier that hinders effective communication and the building of a trusting relationship. Additionally, failure to anticipate or understand the information needs of patients, depersonalising the patient by referring to him or her by medical diagnosis or bed number, neglecting responsibilities and remaining distant undermined patients' trust of nurses. Work-related factors and emotionally challengingnursing procedures such as busy workload, inadequate time, lack of parental understanding and value or power conflicts between nurses and patients could also hamper the development of a trusting relationship.

Outcomes of trust in nurse-patient relationships

Trust resulted in positive outcomes in the professional role and job satisfaction of nurses and in the illness experiences of patients, and both outcomes have been shown to affect the quality of patient care.

Outcomes for the patient. The study of Benkert and Wickson⁴⁰ showed that patient satisfaction was positively related to trust in nurse practitioners and receipt of care in a nurse-managed centre. However, Burge⁴¹ found no statistically significant relationships between patients' trust of staff nurses, level of postoperative pain and discharge functional outcome. Qualitative studies reported that trust in nurse-patient relationships promoted self-trust of a woman's corporeal and experiential reality, thus empowered a birthing woman, ²³ and it directly addressed the well-being of the woman and her child.³² For patients with chronic illness, trust was a meaningful and powerful component in shaping their illness experience. Trust from the health-care professional fostered their satisfaction with health-care relationships; it promoted and maintained patient competence with regard to illness management.³⁴ For patients with borderline personality disorder and patients who were suffering. trust enabled hope. 27,31 and for dving patients, trusting relationships with nurses facilitated their adjustment to illness, gave the incentive to continue living, helped them to find a sense of peace and security and eased their suffering.²⁹ Trust also played an important part in talking aboutdepression and alcohol problems,³⁶ reassuring patients,²¹ and psychological preparation of patients with tracheostomy during tube change.¹⁹

Outcomes for nurses. When trust developed successfully, patients were more compliant with care, and this increased job satisfaction for nurses. This in turn affected their contribution to patients' recovery and had a positive impact on care. ¹⁶ A trusting relationship allowed nurses to undertake painful procedures with a minimum of distress. ¹⁸

Discussion

Methodological issues

Some methodological limitations of this review need to be considered. The first concerns the inclusion of both quantitative and qualitative studies. Whittemore and Knafl⁵² suggested that the complexity inherent incombining diverse methodologies can contribute to lack of rigour, inaccuracy and bias. We minimised this risk by (a) clearly formulating the purpose and research questions of the review, (b) clearly documenting the literature search process and (c) systematically analysing the empirical data. Nevertheless, due to the variety of methodological approaches, we obtained highly fragmented empirical material, which made it difficult to compare, categorise and integrate the findings.

With the exception of three quantitative studies^{39–41} that explicitly focused on trust in nurse–patient rela-tionships, the context of all others was nurse caring behaviours. However, despite the fact that trust-relatedfindings of these studies were limited, we included them to provide additional evidence of the perceived importance of trust within the nurse–patient relationship. Since the quantitative studies provided evidence of the measurement of trust, whereas the focus of most qualitative studies was on patients' and/or nurses' experiences in relationships, it was not possible to make comparisons of quantitative and qualitative studies. Despite these limitations, the major

strengths of our study should be highlighted. Quantitative research facilitates the development of quantifiable information using statistics and thus produces more objective, reliable and generalisable results, whereas qualitative research is concerned with exploring and understand-ing human experiences and gaining in-depth insight into the people's attitudes, behaviours and value systems. ^{53–55}An integrative review that combines both quantitative and qualitative studies has the potentialto provide a rich, detailed and highly practical understanding of trust between nurses and patients, which canbe more relevant to nurses. ^{52–55} Thus, our inclusion of both quantitative and qualitative studies enabled us toprovide a more comprehensive understanding of trust in nurse—patient relationships. Moreover, a rigorous methodological approach to identifying, critically appraising and analysing the empirical articles by two independent researchers minimised the risk of bias and enhanced the quality of this review.

Substantive findings

Evidence from this review suggests that trust is a relational phenomenon and is vital for an effective nurse-patient relationship. The development of a trusting relationship between nurse and patient was con-sidered a dynamic and ongoing process that includes various stages from initial trust to a specific recon-structed trust, during which trust could be shattered and re-established. This implies the fragile aspect of trust, which was particularly important for patients with specific conditions such as children with traumaticinjuries and burns who required repeated painful procedures and whose voices are mostly silent; 18,26 patients with psychiatric conditions who have been committed involuntarily to hospital, restrained and exposed to coercive measures^{25,36} and patients receiving palliative care. 29,31 A recent review of trust and trustworthiness in theoretical nursing literature also indicated that trust is conceptualised as a process and relational phenomenon within the context of nurse-patient relationships.² Consistent with theoretical nursing literature, the current review provides evidence of the fragile nature of trust. It additionally contributes to the literature by making this characteristic more concrete; for instance, by indicating the emotional challenges of paediatric nurses and the dichotomy they perceived between maintaining trust versus feeling a need to break trust in order to carry out painful procedures.

This review suggests that although patients have a generalised trust in nurses as professionals, the development of trust is strongly related to the professional competence and interpersonal caring attributes of nurses as human beings. Effective communication; awareness of patients' needs; empathy; a respectful, sensitive and caring attitude and being trustworthy were important for developing a trusting relationship. In theoretical nursing literature, general trust in nurses' professional competency was also emphasised by several authors, 8-10 and trustworthiness was related to nurses' personal character traits, including generos-ity, charity and compassion; honesty and reliability 10 and goodwill. 8,10 Moreover, with the exception of Sellman, 10 who described trustworthiness as a virtue, neither the theoretical

nursing literature nor the empirical studies included in this review clarified the concept of trustworthiness. Nevertheless, the findings of this review on nurses' personality and their perception of trust as a commitment to provide the best careimply their obligation to be competent and trustworthy professionals. Theoretical nursing literature addressed this obligation by emphasising nurses' moral commitment. 9,10 Given the high level of patient trust in nurses and the association between patient trust and satisfaction as indicated by several quantitative studies, ^{39,40} it seems that in spite of the many emotionally challenging situations nurses experience when caring for vulnerable patients, they honour their moral commitment by expressing a caring attitude. However, the findings of the quantitative studies included in this review also revealed that patients ranked the importance of trust in nurses' caring behaviours lower than nurses did. Moreover, this review indicates that a number of factors may hinder the development of a trusting nurse-patient relationship, including lackof necessary knowledge and skill, dissatisfaction with care and depersonalising the patient. To protect their position in the eyes of the public and to continue to be effective care providers, factors that facilitate or hinder trust must be considered by nurses.

Implications for nursing education and research

One of the key findings in this study is that trust is a dynamic and relational process. Trust is crucial in nurse—patient relationships not only for the quality and positive outcomes of nursing care but also, as evidenced by the qualitative studies, for patients. However, trust is fragile, and in addition to the inherent vulnerability of patients, trust itself involves vulnerability and dependency. Therefore, we recommend thatnurses be aware of the vulnerabilities of their patients and the fragile nature of a trusting nurse—patient relationship. Moreover, as this review indicates, the development of trust is related to the interpersonal caring attributes of nurses as well as their professional competencies. This suggests the need for increasedemphasis on appreciating the nature of trust and on developing personal and professional qualities throughcontinuing education programmes and undergraduate and graduate nursing programmes.

Another notable finding of this review is that quantitative studies specifically focused on trust in the nurse—patient relationship are rare. Although it can be difficult to assess trust because numerous factors influence its meaning, conceptualisation and interpretation, more quantitative research on the variables that influence trust and the outcomes of trust within nurse—patient relationships would provide more objective evidence and generalisable results. Finally, although impersonal trust and organisational trust are different constructs, trust in health-care systems and organisations and trust in nurse—patient relationships are interrelated. Therefore, there is need for further research on the characteristics of organisational trust and its link with trust in nurse—patient relationships.

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

There is no financial, personal or academic conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Carter MA. Trust, power, and vulnerability: a discourse on helping in nursing. Nurs Clin North Am 2009; 44: 393–405.
- 2. Dinc L and Gastmans C. Trust and trustworthiness in nursing: an argument-based literature review. Nurs Inq 2012; 19: 223–237.
- 3. Pask EJ. Trust: an essential component of nursing practice: implications for nurse education. Nurse Educ Today 1995; 15: 190–195.
- 4. Meize-Grochowski R. An analysis of the concept of trust. J Adv Nurs 1984; 9: 563–572.
- 5. Johns JL. A concept analysis of trust. J Adv Nurs 1996; 24: 76–83.
- 6. Hams SP. Concept analysis of trust: a coronary care perspective. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 1997; 13: 351–356.
- 7. Bell L and Duffy A. A concept analysis of nurse-patient trust. Br J Nurs 2009; 18: 46–51.
- 8. Peter E and Morgan KP. Explorations of a trust approach for nursing ethics. Nurs Inq 2001; 8: 3–10.
- 9. De Raeve L. Trust and trustworthiness in nurse–patient relationships. Nurs Philos 2002; 3: 152–162.
- 10. Sellman D. Trusting patients, trusting nurses. Nurs Philos 2007; 8: 28–36.
- 11. Day LJ and Stannard D. Developing trust and connection with patients and their families. Crit Care Nurse 1999; 19(3): 66–70.
- 12. Altuntas S and Baykal Ü. Relationship between nurses' organizational trust levels and their organizational citizenship behaviours. J Nurs Scholarsh 2010; 42(2): 186–194.
- 13. Laschinger HKS, Finegan J, Shamian J, et al. Organizational trust and empowerment in restructured healthcare settings: effects on staff nurse commitment. J Nurs Adm 2000; 30(9): 413–425.
- 14. Laschinger HS, Finegan J and Shamian J. The impact of workplace empowerment, organizational trust on staff nurses' work satisfaction and organizational commitment. Health Care Manage Rev 2001; 26(3): 7–23.
- 15. Laschinger HKS and Finegan J. Using empowerment to build trust and respect in the workplace: a strategy for addressing the nursing shortage. Nurs Econ 2005; 23(1): 6–13.
- 16. Belcher M. Graduate nurses experiences of developing trust in the nurse–patient relationship. Contemp Nurse 2009; 31: 142–152.
- 17. Benkert R, Pohl JP and Coleman-Burns P. Creating cross-racial primary care relationships in a nurse-managed center. J Cult Divers 2004; 11(3):

88-99.

- 18. Bricher G. Paediatric nurses, children and the development of trust. J Clin Nurs 1999; 8: 451–458.
- 19. Donnelly F and Wiechula R. The lived experience of a tracheostomy tube change: a phenomenological study. J Clin Nurs 2006; 15: 1115–1122.
- 20. Eriksson I and Nilsson K. Preconditions needed for establishing a trusting relationship during health counselling an interview study. J Clin Nurs 2008; 17: 2352–2359.
- 21. Fareed A. The experience of reassurance: patients' perspectives. J Adv Nurs 1996; 23: 272–279.
- Fosbinder D. Patient perceptions of nursing care: an emerging theory of interpersonal competence. J Adv Nurs 1994; 20: 1085–1093.
- 23. Goldberg LS. Embodied trust within the perinatal nursing relationship. Midwifery 2008; 24: 74–82.
- 24. Harstäde CW and Andershed B. Good palliative care: how and where? J Hosp Palliat Nurs 2004; 6(1): 27–35.
- 25. Hem MH, Heggen K and Ruyter KW. Creating trust in an acute psychiatric ward. Nurs Ethics 2008; 15(6): 777–788.
- 26. Hilliard C and O'Neill M. Nurses' emotional experience of caring for children with burns. J Clin Nurs 2010; 19: 2907–2915.
- 27. Langley GC and Klopper H. Trust as a foundation for the therapeutic intervention for patients with borderline personality disorder. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs 2005; 12: 23–32.
- 28. Liu J-E, Mok E and Wong T. Caring in nursing: investigating the meaning of caring from the perspective of cancer patients in Beijing, China. J Clin Nurs 2006; 15: 188–196.
- 29. Mok E and Chiu PC. Nurse–patient relationships in palliative care. J Adv Nurs 2004; 48(5): 475–483.
- 30. Morse JM. Negotiating commitment and involvement in the nurse-patient relationship. J Adv Nurs 1991; 16: 455–468.
- 31. Sacks JL and Nelson JP. A theory of nonphysical suffering and trust in hospice patients. Qual Health Res 2007; 17: 675–689.
- 32. Shepherd ML. Behind the scales: child and family health nurses taking care of women's emotional wellbeing.

 Contemp Nurse 2011; 37(2): 137–148.
- 33. Thompson V, Hupcey JE and Clark MB. The development of trust in parents of hospitalized children. J Spec Pediatr Nurs 2003; 8(4): 137–147.
- 34. Thorne SE and Robinson CA. Reciprocal trust in health care relationships. J Adv Nurs 1988; 13: 782–789.
- Trojan L and Yonge O. Developing trusting, caring relationships: home care nurses and elderly clients. J Adv Nurs 1993; 18: 1903–1910.
- 36. Wadell K and Skarsater I. Nurses' experiences of caring for patients with a

- dual diagnosis of depression and alcohol abuse in a general psychiatric setting. Issues Ment Health Nurs 2007; 28: 1125–1140.
- 37. Walker J, Brooksby A, Mcinerny J, et al. Patient perceptions of hospital care: building confidence, faith and trust.

 J Nurs Manag 1998; 6: 193–200.
- 38. Baldursdottir G and Jonsdottir H. The importance of nurse caring behaviours as perceived by patients receiving care at an emergency department. Heart Lung 2002; 31: 67–75.
- 39. Benkert R and Tate N. Trust of nurse practitioners and physicians among African Americans with hypertension.
 - J Am Acad Nurse Pract 2008; 20: 273–280.
- 40. Benkert R and Wickson B. Trust, mistrust, racial identity and patient satisfaction in urban African American primary care patients of nurse practitioners. J Nurs Scholarsh 2009; 41(2): 211–219.
- 41. Burge DM. Relationship between patient trust of nursing staff, postoperative pain, and discharge functional outcomes following a total knee arthroplasty. Orthop Nurs 2009; 28(6): 295–301.
- 42. Chang Y, Lin YP, Chang HJ, et al. Cancer patient and staff ratings of caring behaviours: relationship to level of pain intensity. Cancer Nurs 2005; 28: 331–339.
- 43. Greenhalgh J, Vanhanen L and Kyngas H. Nurse caring behaviours. J Adv Nurs 1998: 27: 927–932.
- 44. Holroyd E, Cheung YK, Cheung SW, et al. A Chinese cultural perspective of nursing care behaviours in an acute setting. J Adv Nurs 1998; 28(6): 1289–1294.
- 45. O'Connell E and Landers M. The importance of critical care nurses' caring behaviours as perceived by nurses and relatives. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2008; 24: 349–358.
- 46. Tuckett AG, Hughes K, Schluter PJ, et al. Validation of CARE-Q in residential aged-care: rating of importance of caring behaviours from an ecohort sub-study. J Clin Nurs 2009; 18: 1501–1509.
- 47. Von Essen L and Sjöden PO. The importance of nurse caring behaviours as perceived by Swedish hospital patients and nursing staff. Int J Nurs Stud 2003; 40: 487–497.
- 48. Widmark-Petersson V, Von Essen L and Sjoden PO. Cancer patient and staff perceptions of caring and clinical care in free versus forced choice response formats. Scand J Caring Sci 1998; 12: 238–245.
- 49. Zamanzadeh V, Azimzadeh R, Rahmani A, et al. Oncology patients' and professional nurses' perceptions of important nurse caring behaviours. BMC Nurs 2010; 9: 1–10.
- 50. Polit DF and Beck CT. Nursing research: generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2008.
- 51. Hawker S, Payne S, Kerr C, et al. Appraising the evidence: reviewing disparate data systematically. Qual Health Res 2002; 12: 1284–1299.
- 52. Whittemore R and Knafl K. The integrative review: updated methodology.

- J Adv Nurs 2005; 52(5): 546–553.
- 53. Carr LT. The strengths and weaknesses of quantitative and qualitative research what method for nursing? J Adv Nurs 1994; 20: 716–721.
- 54. Long A and Godfrey M. An evaluation tool to assess the quality of qualitative research studies. Int J Soc Res Meth 2004; 7(2): 181–196.
- 55. Curry LA, Nembhard IM and Bradley EH. Qualitative and mixed methods provide unique contributions to out-comes research. Circulation 2009; 119: 1442–1452.

Nable 1. Quantitative studies included in the literature review

Author(s)	Country;	care setting Aim of the s	study Design an considerations	d sample Data collec	ction Data ana	lysis Ethical
Baldursdottir	Iceland; University	To identify nursing behaviours	Descriptive design	Cronin and Harrison's Caring	*	ics Approval from
and Jonsdottir ³⁸	hospital	that patients perceived to be indicators of caring in the emergency department	department patients	Behaviours Assessment tool	Mann–Whitney U testand Kruskal– Wallisanalysis	Ethics Committee Informed consent obtained
Benkert and Ta		To examine correlates of low-	Descriptive cross-	Trust in Provider Scale, Cultural	•	ics Approval from
Michigan; prii	mary care clinics	income African Americans' level of trust in health-care providers	sectional design 145 low-income African Americans	Mistrust Inventory, the Inde Michigan Academic Consortium Patient Satisfaction tool	tests The ANOVA	Human Investigation Committee Informed consent obtained
Benkert and	United States,	To analyse Γ relationships	Descriptive cross-	Cultural Mistrust Inventory,	Descriptive statistics	* *
Wickson ⁴⁰	Michigan; primary care clinics	between cultural mistrust, medical mistrust and racialidentity and to predict patient satisfaction among African American adults who are cared for by primary care nurse practitioners	sectional design 100 community- dwelling adults	Group-Based Medical Mistrust Scale, Black Racial Identity Attitude Scale, Trust in Physician Scale, the Michigan Academic Consortium Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire	Stepwise multiple	Institutional Review Board Informed consent obtained
		Burge ⁴¹		United States,		

g et al.⁴²

To examine the relationship	Correlational design	Descriptive statistics	Approval from the		
	Trust subscale of the Patient's				
Arkansas; 28-bed medical surgical unit of hospital	between patient trust of nurses, level of post- operative pain and dis- charge functional outcome following total knee arthroplasty	68 patients	Opinion of Nursing Care Numeric Analog Scale	Spearman's correlations Multiple linear regression	Institutional Review Board of University of Arkansas Informed consent obtained
Taiwan; oncology inpatient units of three hospitals	To explore differences in the perceived importance of nurse caring behaviours between patients with cancer pain and oncology nurses	sectional and correla- tional design	Brief Pain Inventory – Chinese Version Care-Q Background data sheet	Descriptive statistics Paired t tests Pearson's correlations	Approval from the Human Subjects Committee Informed consent obtained
Greenhalgh et al. 43 Finland; a general and	To describe caring behaviours	Descriptive	Care-Q statistics	Descriptive	Written permission
a psychiatric hospital	of nurses 118 nu RR: 6		Chi-square t		from the head of departments fidentiality assured

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

Author(s)	Country; care setting	Aim of the study	Design and sample	Data collection	Data analysis	Ethical considerations
Holroyd et al. ⁴⁴	Hong Kong; an acute	To identify the cultural	Descriptive	Care-Q	Descriptive statistics	Informed consent
	public hospital	specifics of care for	29 patients		Validity and reliability	obtained
		Chinese patients in an acute care setting	RR: 72.5%		measures	Confidentiality assured
O'Connell and	Ireland; teaching	To compare the perceptions	Descriptive, comparative	Questionnaire	Descriptive statistics	Approval from Clinical
Landers ⁴⁵	hospital	of nurses and relatives of critically ill patients on the	design 40 nurses and 30 relatives	The Caring Behaviours Assessment tool		Research Ethics Committee
		importance of the caring				Informed consent
		behaviours of critical care nurses	1			obtained
Tuckett et al.46	Australia,	To validate the Care-Q	Descriptive, self-	Care-Q instrument	Descriptive statistics	Approval from
	Queensland; three	questionnaire in the	administered survey		Validity and reliability	University Ethical
	not-for-profit aged-	residential aged-care	37 residents (RR: 46%)		measures	Review Committee
	care facilities	setting	and 90 nurses (RR:		Mann–Whitney test	U Informed consent
			48%)			obtained
Von Essen and	Sweden; university	To identify the perceptions of	Descriptive	Swedish versions of the Care-	Descriptive statistics	Approval from Ethical

Sjö den ⁴⁷	hospital and three	patients and nurses of th	e 81 patients and 105 nurses	Q instrument	t test and the I ANOVA	nstitutional
	private hospitals	most and least importan				eview board ormed consent
		nuise earnig benaviours	obtained		IIII	office consent
Widmark- Petersson	Sweden; an oncology	To investigate whether	Descriptive	Swedish versions of the Care-	e Descriptive In	formed consent
et al. ⁴⁸	ward of a hospital	cancer patients and 32 staffhave different cognitive representations of the concepts 'caring' and 'clinical care'	cancer patients and 30 nursing staff	-	Three-way ANOVAs Mann– Whitney U test	obtained
behaviours wl	al. ⁴⁹ Iran; oncology ce caring nich oncology patients receive to be the most	••	Comparative descriptive design 200 patients and 40 nurses	Care-Q instrument Comobtained	Mann–Whitney U tes Ethics nmittee Informed consen	**

ANOVA: analysis of variance; Care-Q: Caring Assessment Questionnaire; Care-Q: Caring Assessment Report Evaluation Q-sort; RR: Response Rate.

Table 2. Qualitative studies included in the literature review

Au <u>thor</u>	(s) care setting	Aim of the study		untry; uple Data collec	tion Data analysi	s Ethical considerations
Belo	cher ¹⁶ Australia; metropolitan	To explore and Q describe	ualitative, exploratory and	Semi-structured	Audiotape recordi	ng Confidentiality assured
	experie	uate nurse perceptions and ences of developing trust in nurse–patient relationship	1		the data ontent analysis	Informed consent obtained
Benker	t et al. ¹⁷ United States; M	· ·	Qualitative, interpretive	Interviews recor	Audiotape ding	Approval from Institutional
practitio	ners and patients incross- primary care re	racial relationships developed elationship 4	interactionism nurse practitioners and 20 black patients	Demographic sheel Adapted version of the family economics tool	of construction and contextualisatio	Review Board Anonymity assured
richer ¹⁸	Australia; acute care paediatric setting	To explore paediatric nurses' experiences of trust	Hermeneutic, phenomenological design 5 nurses	Unstructured interviews	Research	s Approval from Human Ethics Committee ymity assured (continued)

Donnelly and Wiechula ¹⁹ Australia; ICU of a metropolitan acute care hospital	To investigate the lived experience patients have of atracheostomy tube change	He Intenvirtiv s phenomenological design 4 patients with tracheostomy		ording Hermeneutic analysis	Approval from Hospital's Ethics Committee Informed consent obtained
Eriksson and Sweden; primary health- Nilsson ²⁰ care districts	To examine the preconditions needed by district nurses tobuild a trusting relationship during health counselling of patients with hypertension	Descriptive qualitati design 10 nurses	rec and verbat	erviews Audiotape cording im transcription nt analysis	Informed consent obtained Written permission from the head of health-care centres obtained Anonymity assured
Fareed ²¹ United Kingdom; a local general hospital	To examine reassurance from the perspective of patients	Phenomenological design 8 patients	Unstructured interviews	Audiotape recording and verbatim	Approval from Hospital's Research and Ethics
	transcri Colaizzi's procedura	•			Committee Participants' autonomy ensured
Fosbinder ²² United States, California;	To discover from patients'	Ethnographic design	245 observations	•	rison Human subjects' approval
private acute care hospital	perspectives what is important to them in theirinteractions with nurses	40 patients and 12 nurses	85 semi-structured interviews	Daily field notes	met

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

Country;					
Author(s) care setting	Aim of the study	Design and sample	Data collection	Data analysis	Ethical considerations
Goldberg ²³ Canada; obstetrical hospital	To explore an experiential understanding of the	Feminist phenomenological design	Interviews Participant observation	Audiotape recording and verbatim	Approval from Research Ethics Review Board
	relationships that perinatal	8 perinatal nurses and 8 post-	of nurses	transcription	
	nurses fostered with birthing women	partum women		Thematic analysis	
Harstäde and Sweden; hospital	To describe what patients with	Grounded theory approach	Semi-structured	Audiotape recording	Approval from Medical
Andershed ²⁴	cancer at the end of life	9 patients in palliative care	interviews	and verbatim	Research Ethics
	consider to be good palliative			transcription	Committee
	end-of-life care			Thematic analysis	Informed consent obtained
Hem et al. ²⁵ Norway; acute psychiatric	To investigate how various	Ethnographic design	Interviews		Approval from Regional
department	occupational ideals, including trust, challenge psychiatric	5 patients and 6 nurses	Participant observation		Committee for Medical Research Ethics
	nurses				Informed consent obtained
Hilliard and Ireland; paediatric hospital	To explore the emotions	Phenomenological design	In-depth, unstructured	Audiotape recording	Approval from Hospital's

O'Neill ²⁶	experienced by children's nurses when caring for children with burns	10 nurses	interviews	and verbatim transcription Thematic analysis	Research Ethics Committee Informed consent obtained
Langley and South Africa; psychiatric	To develop a practice- level	Qualitative, interpretive	Informal,	Audiotape recording	Approval from University
Klopper ²⁷ community services	model for the facilitation of	descriptive approach	conversational	and verbatim	Ethics Committee
	patients diagnosed as having	6 patients and 4 clinicians	interviews	transcription	Informed consent obtained
	borderline personality dis- order by the community psychiatric nurse			Thematic analysis	
Liu et al. ²⁸ China; two oncology	To develop an understanding of	Descriptive design	Semi-structured	Audiotape recording	Approval from Ethics
hospitals	caring in nursing from the	20 cancer patients	interview	Content analysis	Committees of the
	perspective of cancer patients in the Chinese cultural context				University and the hospitals ethics
Mok and Chiu ²⁹ China; home and hospital	To explore aspects of nurse–	Phenomenological design	Unstructured	Thematic analysis	Approval from the
-	patient relationships in the	10 hospice nurses and 10	interviews		University Human
	context of palliative care	terminally ill patients			Subjects Ethics Committee
	I	nformed consent obtained	1		(continued)

To explore

patients'

Table 2. (continued)

Thorne and

Canada

න 			Co	untry;		
Author(s)	care setting	Aim of the study		• .	lection Data and	alysis Ethical considerations
Morse ³⁰	Canada explanatory	To provide an	Grounded t	heory approach I	nterviews 2	Audiotape recording
model for the	e development of various relationships	• • •	86 nurses and 59 informants		and transcribing	Content analysis
Sacks and Nelson ³¹	United States; three h partici experiences of non-	pants'	Grounded theory approach 10 women and 8 men	Semi-structured interviews	Audiotape recordi and verbatim transcription	Institutional ReviewBoard
Shepherd ³²	Australia; a regional city and	To explore the nature of child	Ethnographic design	Interviews	Thematic	analysis Approval from the
rural		es' home visiting practice hey address the health of mothers	12 Observationurses	ons of home visits	Unive	rsity HumanResearch Ethics Committee
Thompsonet al. 33		To investigate the development of trust in parents of hospitalised children	Grounded theory approach 15 parents of hospitalised children	Semi-structured f interviews	Constant compara analysis of then	* *

Grounded theory approach Field notes and

Robinson ³⁴	1	perceptions of their relationships with professional health-care providers when chronicillness was involved	7 patients with chronic illness		intervie	ws
Trojan and		facility To explore the opment of	Grounded theory	Semi-	Audiotape recording	Informed consent obtained
Yonge ³⁵	1	trusting relationships 7 between home care nurses and elderly clients	home care nurses and 6elderly clients	interviews	and verbatim Eth transcription	ical approval from the faculty of nursing
Wadell and Skarsater ³⁶	Sweden; psychiatric wards of two general hospitals	To describe Intentaldwa lth nurses' experiences of caring for persons with the dual disorders of major depression and alcohol abuse	Descriptive qualitative design 11 nurses	Content analysis	Approval fro Universi Informed consen	•
	United Kingdom; a district	To understand how people	Grounded theory a	pproach Narrative Audiotap	interviews e recording	Informed consent obtained
general	1	ate and make sense of their erience of hospital care	18 patients following discharge from hospita	I	and trans	cription

40 Trust In Nurse-Patient Relationships With Applying Medical Sociology Key Concepts; Review					
ICU: intensive care unit.					