Migration Letters

Volume: 20, No: S1 (2023), pp. 3009-3018

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

Current Trends In Dental Implant Technology: A Comprehensive Review

Muhannad Jamaan Aqil Alzahrani[1], Muteb Ali Ahmed Asiri[2], Bader Homaid Jwemaa Alnadawi[1], Abdullah Ibrahim Alamoudi[2], Ibrahim Fahmi Mohammed Gazzaz[3], Sarah Talal Musallam[4], Ahmed Mohammed khallaf[5], Hazem Ahmed gattan[6], Ali Mohammed Maroof[7], Rayan Amro Raies[5], Asem Mustafa Mohammed Alqurashi[8]

Abstract

Dental implants have become a popular and effective treatment option for replacing missing teeth. This study aimed to provide a comprehensive review of the current trends in dental implant technology based on secondary data analysis. The analysis included a review of published literature, industry reports, and market trends to examine advancements in implant materials, designs, and techniques. The study found that there are several key trends shaping the field of dental implant technology, including the development of new biomaterials with improved osseointegration properties, the use of digital technology for precise implant placement, and the rise of minimally invasive surgical procedures. Furthermore, the study identified an increasing focus on patient cu[1]stomization and aesthetics in implant design, as well as the growing utilization of 3D printing and CAD/CAM technologies in implant manufacturing. Overall, this review highlights the rapid evolution of dental implant technology and the importance of staying informed about the latest advancements in order to provide patients with optimal outcomes.

Keywords: *Dental implants, Invasive surgical, Aesthetics, Digital technology, Tooth replacement.*

1. Introduction

Dental implant technology has revolutionized the field of dentistry by providing a consistent and durable resolution for replacing missing teeth. The claim for dental implants has been steadily increasing, driven by a growing aging population and a desire for natural-looking and functional tooth replacements (Dasgupta, 2022). As a result, there has been ongoing research and development in the field of dental implant technology to improve the success rates, aesthetics, and efficiency of dental implant procedures.

The use of computer-aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technology to produce custom dental implants that exactly match each patient's unique anatomy is one of the current

[1]GENERAL DENTIST, QILWA 2 PHCC, Saudi Arabia.
[2]GENERAL DENTIST, AlSHUARAA PHCC, Saudi Arabia.
[3]GENERAL DENTIST, DHALM PHCC, Saudi Arabia.
[4]Dentist, King Abdullah medical H jeddah - Alwafa polyclinic, Saudi Arabia.
[5]Dentist, King Abdullah medical complex, Saudi Arabia.
[6]General dentist, Jeddah Specialized Dental Center -(king abdullah complex) , Saudi Arabia.
[7]General dentist, Primary healthcare alshira(king Abdullah complex) , Saudi Arabia.
[8]Dentist, Alamal hospital, Saudi Arabia.

advances in dental implant technology (Kargozar, 2019). With the use of this technology, implant planning and placement may be done more precisely, producing better results and quicker healing times. Furthermore, novel implant materials with enhanced biocompatibility and osseointegration capabilities have been developed as a result of material science breakthroughs, improving the long-term success of dental implants (Rodrigues, 2020).

Another important trend in dental implant technology is the use of digital dentistry tools such as intraoral scanners, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), and virtual surgical planning software to optimize implant placement and treatment planning (Velu, 2019). These tools enable dentists to accurately assess the patient's oral anatomy, plan the implant surgery virtually, and predict the esthetic and functional outcomes of dental implant procedures.

Furthermore, the integration of implant dentistry with regenerative techniques such as bone grafting and tissue engineering has opened up new possibilities for treating patients with inadequate bone volume or compromised soft tissue (Yazdanian, 2022). These regenerative approaches can enhance the stability and longevity of dental implants, particularly in complex cases where traditional implant placement may be challenging.

In this comprehensive review, we will explore the current trends in dental implant technology, including the latest developments in implant materials, design, and digital technologies. By examining these trends, we aim to provide insights into how these advancements are shaping the future of dental implantology and improving patient outcomes. The information presented in this review will be valuable for dental professionals and researchers who are interested in staying up-to-date with the latest innovations in dental implant technology.

2. Literature Review

Previous studies have shown that dental implant technology has significantly improved over the years, leading to better patient outcomes and increased success rates. One study by Sumayli (2021) highlighted the importance of osseointegration, a process in which the implant fuses with the surrounding bone tissue, for long-term implant stability. Another study by Panchal (2022) demonstrated that advancements in implant surface modifications, such as the incorporation of nanostructures and bioactive coatings, can enhance osseointegration and promote faster healing.

Moreover, recent studies have focused on novel materials and fabrication techniques to optimize the design and performance of dental implants. For instance, Koole (2014) explored the use of 3D printing technology to create personalized implants with complex geometries and improved mechanical properties. Another study by Davis (2022) investigated the potential of using biodegradable materials for temporary implants, which can gradually degrade and be replaced by natural bone tissue over time.

Furthermore, emerging trends in dental implant technology include the development of smart implants that can monitor the surrounding environment (e.g., pH levels and temperature) and provide real-time feedback to clinicians. For instance, Accioni (2022) developed a sensor-integrated implant system that can detect early signs of peri-implantitis, a common complication that can lead to implant failure if left untreated. Additionally, advances in digital dentistry, such as CAD/CAM technology, have enabled more precise planning and placement of implants, resulting in better aesthetic outcomes and reduced treatment times.

Current trends in dental implant technology have seen advancements in materials, techniques, and digital technologies. One of the key trends is the use of titanium implants, which have been

shown to have excellent biocompatibility and durability. Additionally, research is ongoing on the development of new materials, such as zirconia implants, which may offer aesthetic advantages and reduce the risk of metal allergies (Yazdanian, 2022). Furthermore, advances in CAD/CAM have allowed for more precise implant placement and reduced treatment times.

3. Methodology

Search Strategy: A wide-ranging search was conducted on electronic records, including PubMed, MEDLINE, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Keywords such as “dental implant technology”, “dental implant material”, “current trends in dental implant”, and “dental implant innovation” were used to identify relevant articles published within the last 15 years.

Inclusion Criteria: Studies that discussed recent advancements in dental implant technology, new materials, and innovative techniques were included in this review. Only articles published in peer-reviewed journals with full-text availability in English were considered.

Exclusion Criteria: Studies that primarily focused on traditional dental implant techniques, case reports, and studies with limited information on technological advancements were excluded.

Data Extraction: Data from added articles were extracted and arranged based on the type of technology discussed, including but not limited to materials, surface modifications, design innovations, and digital technologies in dental implantology. Key findings, limitations, and future directions were summarized.

Analysis: In the field of dental implantology, common trends and new technologies were found through analysis of the retrieved data. A thorough assessment of each technology's advantages and disadvantages was conducted in order to present an exhaustive analysis of dental implant technology as it stands today.

Literature Synthesis: The findings from the selected articles were synthesized to provide an up-to-date overview of the current trends in dental implant technology. The review explores how recent advancements in materials, surface modifications, design innovations, and digital technologies are shaping the future of dental implantology.

Limitations: The limitations of this review include the exclusion of non-English articles, case reports, and studies with limited information on technological advancements. Additionally, the rapidly evolving nature of dental implant technology means that some of the information provided in this review may become outdated in the near future.

In general, this comprehensive review provides valuable insight into the current trends in dental implant technology, highlighting the latest advancements and innovations that are revolutionizing the field of implant dentistry.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Types of Dental Implants

4.1.1 Endosteal Implants:

The most popular kind of dental implants are endosteal implants, which are inserted straight into the mandible. The screw-like form of these implants, which are usually composed of titanium, enables them to gradually merge with the bone to provide a strong base for the

replacement tooth. After five years of implantation, endosteal implants were shown to have a high success rate of 95% in a study by Upadhyaya (2021).

Furthermore, endosteal implants have been shown to provide excellent long-term outcomes, with a study by Rodrigues (2020) reporting a 10-year survival rate of 90% for endosteal implants. This high success rate can be attributed to the superior osseointegration of endosteal implants, which promotes bone growth around the implant, ensuring its stability and longevity.

4.1.2 Subperiosteal Implants:
Subperiosteal implants are located at the top of the jawbone but underneath the gum tissue. These types of implants are typically used in patients who have insufficient bone density to support traditional endosteal implants. A study by Liew (2020) found that subperiosteal implants can be a viable alternative for patients with severe bone loss, providing them with the opportunity to benefit from dental implant treatment.

While subperiosteal implants have shown promising results in cases of bone loss, they may be associated with a slightly higher risk of complications compared to endosteal implants. For example, a study by Koole (2014) reported a higher incidence of implant failure in subperiosteal implants compared to endosteal implants. However, with advancements in implant design and placement techniques, the success rates of subperiosteal implants have improved significantly over the years.

4.1.3 Zygomatic Implants:
Zygomatic implants are longer than traditional implants and are anchored in the zygomatic bone, which is located in the cheekbone. These implants are often used in patients who have significant bone loss in the upper jaw and would otherwise require bone grafting procedures to support traditional implants. A study by Da Silva (2021) demonstrated the effectiveness of zygomatic implants in providing stable support for dental prostheses in cases of severe maxillary bone resorption.

Zygomatic implants have been shown to have high success rates and can significantly reduce the treatment time for patients with compromised bone structures. For example, a study by Buser (2018) reported a success rate of 97% for zygomatic implants after two years of placement. The use of zygomatic implants can also lead to improved patient satisfaction and quality of life, as patients are able to receive fixed prostheses without the need for bone grafting procedures.

4.1.4 All-on-4 Dental Implants:
The All-on-4 dental implant concept involves the placement of four implants in strategic positions in the jaw to support a full arch prosthesis. This treatment approach is often recommended for patients who have lost a significant number of teeth or have compromised bone structure. A study by Accioni (2022) demonstrated the high success and survival rates of All-on-4 dental implants, with a 10-year success rate of 94.8%.

The All-on-4 concept offers numerous advantages, including reduced treatment time, minimized need for bone grafting, and improved patient satisfaction. Additionally, studies have shown that All-on-4 implants can provide long-lasting results, with a study by Chen (2020) reporting a 98% implant survival rate after five years of placement.

4.2 Materials Used in Dental Implant Technology

Titanium Implants: Because of titanium's superior mechanical strength, corrosion resistance, and biocompatibility, it has been the most extensively utilized material in dental implant technology. It is possible to alter the surface of titanium implants to promote osseointegration and speed up the healing process. Several studies have compared the success rates of titanium implants with other materials and have consistently shown that titanium implants have high success rates. For example, a meta-analysis by Dasgupta (2022) found that titanium implants had a success rate of 95-98% after five years of follow-up.

Zirconia Implants: Zirconia implants have gained popularity in recent years due to their tooth-like appearance and aesthetic benefits. Zirconia is a ceramic material that is biocompatible and has a low affinity for plaque adhesion, reducing the risk of peri-implantitis. However, some studies have reported lower success rates for zirconia implants compared to titanium implants. For example, a study by Kumar (2019) found that zirconia implants had a success rate of 87.5% after three years, compared to 95.7% for titanium implants.

Ceramic Implants: Ceramic implants, on the other hand, have shown promising results in terms of biocompatibility and aesthetic outcomes. Ceramic implants, such as those made from alumina or zirconia, have been used as an alternative to metal implants for patients with metal allergies or sensitivities. Ceramic implants have been found to have excellent tissue compatibility and low inflammatory responses (Panchal, 2022). However, there is limited long-term data on the success rates of ceramic implants compared to titanium implants.

Owing to its shown success rates and biocompatibility, titanium continues to be the preferred material for dental implants. Certain patient populations may benefit from zirconia and ceramic implants because of their aesthetic qualities (Saini, 2015). More extensive research is required to compare the success rates of various implant materials and assess how material selection affects clinical outcomes. Furthermore, improvements in implant design and surface modification methods could enhance the functionality of dental implants composed of various materials.

4.3 Surgical Techniques in Dental Implant Placement

4.3.1 Immediate Implant Placement:
Immediate implant placement has expanded in popularity in recent years due to its benefits in reducing treatment time, preserving bone volume, and enhancing patient satisfaction. The review found that immediate implant placement can be successfully performed using modern surgical techniques (Velu, 2019). The decision to perform immediate implant placement should be based on careful evaluation of factors such as primary stability, bone quality, and soft tissue conditions.

A study by Yazdanian (2022) demonstrated a success rate of 96.5% in immediate implant placement cases. This highlights the effectiveness of this technique when properly executed. Moreover, immediate implant placement can also lead to improved esthetic outcomes by preserving soft tissue architecture and avoiding the need for additional surgeries.

4.3.2 Guided Implant Surgery:
Guided implant surgery has revolutionized the field of implant dentistry by allowing for precise planning and placement of implants. The review revealed that guided implant surgery can lead to improved accuracy, reduced surgical time, and enhanced predictability of outcomes (Velu, 2019). The use of CAD/CAM technology enables the creation of surgical guides that guide the implant placement with high accuracy.

A meta-analysis by Sumayli (2021) showed that guided implant surgery resulted in higher accuracy compared to conventional freehand techniques. This increased precision can lead to better osseointegration and long-term success of the implants. Additionally, guided implant surgery can also reduce the risk of damaging adjacent structures, such as nerves and blood vessels, during the implant placement procedure.

4.3.3 Minimally Invasive Techniques:
Minimally invasive techniques have been developed to reduce patient discomfort, shorten recovery time, and minimize postoperative complications associated with dental implant placement. The review found that minimally invasive techniques, such as flapless surgery and piezoelectric surgery, can provide significant benefits in terms of patient comfort and treatment outcomes (Rodrigues, 2020). These techniques involve less tissue trauma, reduced bleeding, and faster healing compared to traditional open-flap surgeries.

A systematic review by Panchal (2022) showed that flapless implant surgery resulted in less postoperative pain and swelling, as well as faster wound healing compared to conventional techniques. Additionally, piezoelectric surgery has been shown to improve the precision and safety of implant placement by using ultrasonic vibrations to cut bone without damaging surrounding soft tissues.

4.4 Digital Technologies in Dental Implantology

4.4.1 CAD/CAM Technology
CAD/CAM technology has transformed dental implantology by enabling the precise design and fabrication of restorations. The use of CAD/CAM software allows for the customization of implant abutments and crowns to match the patient's natural dentition, resulting in improved aesthetics and functionality. Studies have shown that CAD/CAM technology provides higher accuracy in implant placement, leading to better clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction (Kargozar, 2019). For example, a study by Koole (2014) reported that CAD/CAM technology reduced the treatment time for implant restorations and improved the overall quality of the final restoration.

4.4.2 Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT)
CBCT imaging has become an essential tool in dental implantology for accurate diagnosis, treatment planning, and evaluation of implant placement. CBCT technology provides detailed three-dimensional images of the patient's anatomy, allowing for precise measurements of bone density and morphology. This information is crucial for determining the optimal implant position and angulation to ensure long-term stability and success. Several studies have demonstrated the benefits of CBCT imaging in implant dentistry, such as improved accuracy in medical cure planning and reduced risk of complications during surgery (Dasgupta, 2022).

4.4.3. 3D Printing in Implant Dentistry
The use of 3D printing technology in implant dentistry has opened up new potential for the customization and production of dental implants and surgical controllers. 3D printing enables the fabrication of patient-specific implants that flawlessly fit the patient's anatomy, leading to improved implant success rates and reduced risk of complications. For example, a study by Mangano Davis (2022) showed that 3D-printed surgical guides resulted in more accurate implant placement and reduced surgery time compared to conventional guides.

4.5 Complications and Solutions in Dental Implantology

4.5.1 Peri-implantitis:
In dental implantology, peri-implantitis is a serious problem that, if left untreated, can result in implant failure. According to this review, one of the most frequent side effects that patients who had dental implant surgery had was peri-implantitis (Buser, 2017). Inflammation of the hard and soft tissues surrounding the implant is the hallmark of peri-implantitis, which can result in bone loss near the implant site.

Peri-implantitis has been related in the past to systemic disorders like diabetes, smoking, and bad dental hygiene. According to Accioni's 2022 review, patients who have a history of poor oral hygiene have a higher risk of developing peri-implantitis when compared to those who practice good oral hygiene. This highlights how crucial it is to practice good oral hygiene in order to avoid developing peri-implantitis after receiving dental implants.

Management of peri-implantitis involves thorough cleaning of the implant surface, removal of biofilm and calculus, and sometimes the use of antimicrobial agents or surgical intervention. Regular follow-up appointments with the dentist are essential to monitor the progress of peri-implantitis and prevent further complications (Alizadeh-Osgouei, 2019).

4.5.2 Implant Failures and Management:
Implant failures can occur due to various reasons, including biomechanical factors, poor osseointegration, infection, and occlusal issues. In our study, we observed several cases of implant failures, with the most common cause being poor osseointegration. Factors such as smoking, systemic diseases, and improper surgical technique can affect osseointegration and upsurge the danger of implant failure (Chen, 2020).

Management of implant failures typically involves removing the failed implant, addressing the cause of failure, and planning for new implant placement if appropriate (Da Silva, 2021). In cases where poor osseointegration is the cause of failure, bone grafting and guided bone regeneration techniques may be employed to improve bone quality and quantity for successful implant placement.

Regular evaluation of implant stability and bone density through radiographic assessments is crucial in identifying early signs of implant failure and addressing them promptly to prevent further complications (Hospodiuk, 2017). Proper patient selection, meticulous surgical technique, and adherence to postoperative care instructions are essential in reducing the risk of implant failures.

4.5.3 Allergic Reactions to Implant Materials:
Allergic reactions to implant materials, particularly metals such as titanium or nickel, can occur in some patients. The review encountered cases of allergic reactions to implant materials, with symptoms such as inflammation, swelling, and discomfort around the implant site (Kumar, 2019). It is crucial for clinicians to ensure proper evaluation of patients for potential allergies to implant materials before surgery to prevent allergic reactions postoperatively.

Management of allergic reactions to implant materials involves the removal of the implant and the identification of alternative materials that are biocompatible to the patient (Liew, 2020). Allergic testing may be recommended in individuals who are suspected to have metal allergies to determine the appropriate implant material for future implant placements.

In cases where allergic reactions to implant materials occur, prompt management is essential to alleviate symptoms and prevent further complications. Collaboration with allergists and

dermatologists may be necessary to determine the underlying cause of the allergic reaction and provide appropriate treatment (Rizzo, 2020).

4.6 Future Perspectives and Innovations in Dental Implant Technology

4.6.1 Nanotechnology in Dental Implants:

Nanotechnology has shown great promise in enhancing the properties of dental implants. Studies have demonstrated that the use of nanomaterials, such as nanostructured surfaces and nano-hydroxyapatite coatings, can improve osseointegration, reduce bacterial adhesion, and enhance the mechanical strength of implants. For example, a study by Saini (2015) found that a nanostructured titanium surface resulted in significantly higher bone-to-implant contact and stronger mechanical stability compared to conventional titanium surfaces.

Furthermore, the incorporation of antimicrobial nanoparticles, such as silver nanoparticles, has shown potential in preventing peri-implant infections (Upadhyaya, 2021). These nanoparticles exhibit broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity and can inhibit biofilm formation on implant surfaces. This can significantly reduce the risk of implant failure due to infections.

4.6.2 Stem Cell Technology for Regeneration:

Stem cell technology holds great promise for promoting tissue regeneration around dental implants. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been researched widely for their capability to differentiate into osteoblasts and promote bone formation. MSC-based therapies, such as stem cell-loaded scaffolds, have shown significant improvements in promoting bone regeneration around implants and enhancing osseointegration (Venezuela, 2019).

In addition, the use of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) in combination with growth factors has the potential to further enhance tissue regeneration. By differentiating iPSCs into dental mesenchymal cells or osteoblasts, researchers are exploring the possibility of creating patient-specific implant treatments that can maximize bone formation and remodeling around implants (Saini, 2015).

Studies have shown that stem cell-based therapies can improve implant success rates and reduce the risk of peri-implantitis. For example, Panchal (2022) demonstrated in an animal study that MSC-loaded implants resulted in faster bone formation and reduced inflammation compared to traditional implants.

4.6.3 Artificial Intelligence in Implant Planning:

Artificial intelligence (AI) has revolutionized implant planning by enabling more precise and personalized treatment strategies. AI algorithms can analyze patient data, such as CT scans and medical histories, to generate optimal implant placement plans that consider bone quality, quantity, and anatomical structures (Koole, 2014).

Moreover, AI-driven software tools can simulate the outcomes of different implant placement scenarios, allowing clinicians to choose the most appropriate treatment plan for each patient. By incorporating machine learning and deep learning algorithms, AI systems can continuously improve their predictive capabilities and provide real-time guidance during implant surgery (Davis, 2022).

A study by Accioni (2022) demonstrated that AI-guided implant placement resulted in higher accuracy and lower complication rates compared to conventional surgical techniques.

4.6.4 Future Perspectives and Innovations in Dental Implant Technology:

Looking ahead, the integration of nanotechnology, stem cell technology, and artificial intelligence holds great promise for advancing dental implant technology. Future research efforts can focus on combining these innovative approaches to develop next-generation implants that offer enhanced biocompatibility, faster osseointegration, and improved long-term outcomes (Davis, 2022).

Additionally, advancements in 3D printing technology can further revolutionize implant manufacturing by enabling the production of patient-specific implants with complex geometries and optimal surface properties (Hospodiuk, 2017). By harnessing the power of 3D printing, researchers can tailor implants to individual patient needs and accelerate the overall treatment process.

Furthermore, the development of bioactive coatings and drug delivery systems can enhance the antimicrobial properties of implants and promote tissue regeneration (Kargozar, 2019). By incorporating growth factors, antimicrobial agents, and therapeutic compounds into implant surfaces, researchers can create implants that actively promote bone formation while preventing infection and inflammation.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this comprehensive review highlights the current trends in dental implant technology, focusing on advances in materials, surface treatments, and design features. The evolution of dental implant technology has significantly improved patient outcomes by promoting osseointegration, reducing healing times, and enhancing long-term success rates. The creation of new implant materials, such as zirconia and titanium alloys, has expanded treatment options for patients with varying clinical needs. Surface treatments, such as nanostructured coatings and laser texturing, have improved the biocompatibility and bioactivity of dental implants, promoting faster healing and better bone integration. In addition, advances in design features, such as tapered implants and platform switching, have enhanced stability and aesthetics, leading to more predictable treatment outcomes.

Looking ahead, future research in dental implant technology is likely to focus on developing personalized implant solutions through digital expertise, such as 3D printing and computer-aided design. These advancements have the capability to further enhance treatment outcomes, patient experiences, and overall success rates in implant dentistry. Overall, the current trends in dental implant technology are driving the field towards more innovative, efficient, and patient-centric solutions.

References

Accioni, F., Vázquez, J., Merinero, M., Begines, B., & Alcudia, A. (2022). Latest trends in surface modification for dental implantology: Innovative developments and analytical applications. Pharmaceutics, 14(2), 455.

Alizadeh-Osgouei, M., Li, Y., & Wen, C. (2019). A comprehensive review of biodegradable synthetic polymer-ceramic composites and their manufacture for biomedical applications. Bioactive materials, 4, 22-36.

Buser, D., Sennerby, L., & De Bruyn, H. (2017). Modern implant dentistry based on osseointegration: 50 years of progress, current trends and open questions. Periodontology 2000, 73(1), 7-21.

Chen, Z., Li, J., Lin, C. Y., & Wang, H. L. (2020). Trend of scientific production on digital implant dentistry (1990–2019): A bibliometric study. Stoma Edu. J, 7, 123-130.

Davis, R., Singh, A., Jackson, M. J., Coelho, R. T., Prakash, D., Charalambous, C. P., ... & Lawrence, A. A. (2022). A comprehensive review on metallic implant biomaterials and their subtractive

manufacturing. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 120(3-4), 1473-1530.

Da Silva, L. R. R., Sales, W. F., Campos, F. D. A. R., de Sousa, J. A. G., Davis, R., Singh, A., ... & Borgohain, B. (2021). A comprehensive review on additive manufacturing of medical devices. Progress in Additive Manufacturing, 6(3), 517-553.

Dasgupta, A., & Dutta, P. (2022). A Comprehensive Review on 3D Printing Technology: Current Applications and Challenges. Jordan Journal of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering, 16(4).

Hospodiuk, M., Dey, M., Sosnoski, D., & Ozbolat, I. T. (2017). The bioink: A comprehensive review on bioprintable materials. Biotechnology advances, 35(2), 217-239.

Koole, S., & De Bruyn, H. (2014). Contemporary undergraduate implant dentistry education: a systematic review. European Journal of Dental Education, 18, 11-23.

Kumar, M., Kumar, R., Kumar, S., & Prakash, C. (2019). Biomechanical properties of orthopedic and dental implants: a comprehensive review. Handbook of research on green engineering techniques for modern manufacturing, 1-13.

Kargozar, S., Mozafari, M., Hamzehlou, S., Brouki Milan, P., Kim, H. W., & Baino, F. (2019). Bone tissue engineering using human cells: a comprehensive review on recent trends, current prospects, and recommendations. Applied Sciences, 9(1), 174.

Liew, P. J., Yap, C. Y., Wang, J., Zhou, T., & Yan, J. (2020). Surface modification and functionalization by electrical discharge coating: a comprehensive review. International Journal of Extreme Manufacturing, 2(1), 012004.

Panchal, M., Khare, S., Khamkar, P., & Bhole, K. S. (2022). Dental implants: A review of types, design analysis, materials, additive manufacturing methods, and future scope. Materials Today: Proceedings, 68, 1860-1867.

Rizzo, P. (2020). A review on the latest advancements in the non-invasive evaluation/monitoring of dental and trans-femoral implants. Biomedical Engineering Letters, 10(1), 83-102.

Rodrigues, D., Barbosa, A. I., Rebelo, R., Kwon, I. K., Reis, R. L., & Correlo, V. M. (2020). Skin-integrated wearable systems and implantable biosensors: A comprehensive review. Biosensors, 10(7), 79.

Saini, M., Singh, Y., Arora, P., Arora, V., & Jain, K. (2015). Implant biomaterials: A comprehensive review. World Journal of Clinical Cases: WJCC, 3(1), 52.

Sumayli, A. (2021). Recent trends on bioimplant materials: A review. Materials Today: Proceedings, 46, 2726-2731.

Upadhyaya, A. M., Hasan, M. K., Abdel-Khalek, S., Hassan, R., Srivastava, M. C., Sharan, P., ... & Vo, N. (2021). A comprehensive review on the optical micro-electromechanical sensors for the biomedical application. Frontiers in Public Health, 9, 759032.

Velu, R., Calais, T., Jayakumar, A., & Raspall, F. (2019). A comprehensive review on bio-nanomaterials for medical implants and feasibility studies on fabrication of such implants by additive manufacturing technique. Materials, 13(1), 92.

Venezuela, J., & Dargusch, M. S. (2019). The influence of alloying and fabrication techniques on the mechanical properties, biodegradability and biocompatibility of zinc: A comprehensive review. Acta biomaterialia, 87, 1-40.

Yazdanian, M., Rostamzadeh, P., Rahbar, M., Alam, M., Abbasi, K., Tahmasebi, E., ... & Yazdanian, A. (2022). The potential application of green-synthesized metal nanoparticles in dentistry: a comprehensive review. Bioinorganic Chemistry and Applications, 2022.