

The Role Of Physical Therapy In Improving The Quality Of Life For Elderly People With Chronic Diseases In The Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia 2023

Sami Awadh Saleh AlNikhali¹, Abdullah Furaij Alotaibi¹, Nashmi Hamad Alhuzali², Jamal Hulyyel S Alzayed³, Majed Mohammed AL-Jabri⁴, Bashayer Ebraheem Al-Wagdi⁵, Tahani Abdullah Mohammed Altheeb⁵, Aisha Abdullah Alshahrani⁵, Faisal Abdullah Ali Alqahtani⁶, Ahmed Abdullah Sharahili⁷, Meshal abdullah Ali Alqahtani⁶

Abstract:

Background: In all age groups, physical inactivity is one of the most significant lifestyle factors associated with the development of chronic diseases and non-communicable diseases (NCDs). The impact of physical inactivity on the well-being of individuals is particularly interesting to investigate, considering its amenability to change; appropriate education and training can modify this unhealthy lifestyle factor. In the Lancet published its first series on physical activity topics in order to increase awareness of the importance of physical activity in the prevention of NCDs. Kohl and colleagues, in one of the articles in The Lancet series, noted that, considering the prevalence and detrimental effects of physical inactivity on health, this should be defined as a pandemic. As such, it has sweeping damaging health, social, and economic consequences. **Aim of this study:** To Assessment Association between Physical Activity and Health-Related Quality of Life with Chronic Diseases among Adult People attending Primary Health Care Centers in Makah-Almokarramah, Saudi Arabia 2023. **Methodology:** Cross sectional design has be adopted .The study has be conducted in Makah city, Saudi Arabia. The present study was conducted at primary health care centres In Makah city. Systematic random sampling was be adopted to select persons during the March to June, 2019.The sample (300). **Results:** showed that the majority of participants¹ (35.0%) were within the age group >55 and (40-45) years, marital status: The majority of participants are married were (66.00%) while single was (34.0%) of participants. Level of education: The majority of our participants primary certificate were constitute (20%), **Conclusion:** The results showed a direct and curvilinear relationship between Physical activity promotion and HRQoL, with even the insufficient active participants reporting higher HRQoLs than those who were inactive. Higher levels of Physical activity promotion were also correlated with better scores on HRQoL , Physical inactivity among Arab adults and children/adolescents is high. Studies using harmonized approaches, rigorous analytic techniques and a deeper examination of context are

¹Senior Registrar-Preventive Medicine, Executive Administration of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Saudi Arabia.

²Physiotherapist, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

³Physiotherapist, Hera General Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

⁴Physiotherapist, King Faisal Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

⁵Physiotherapist, Ahad Rufaidah General Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

⁶Senior Physical therapist, KFMCity, Saudi Arabia.

⁷Physiotherapist, Khamis mushait general hospital, Saudi Arabia.

needed to design appropriate interventions. Physical activity likely has a greater role in promoting health in disease populations than previously thought .

Keywords: *Association, Physical, Activity, Health-Related Quality, People, Chronic, Diseases ,Primary Health, Makah, Saudi Arabia .*

Introduction

In actual fact, physical inactivity has become a pandemic in all age groups around the world, especially youngsters, leading to a significant increase in NCDs even in that age-range. Indeed, among other increases in NCDs, type 2 diabetes mellitus is overtaking type 1 diabetes as the predominant form of diabetes in children in Asia and Saudi Arabia [1]. Recent estimates [2] forecast a decline in life expectancy in the next few decades due to the increasing growth of NCDs, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, stroke, cancer, and obesity.

Furthermore, worldwide data suggest a decline in life expectancy in the next few decades due to a rise in chronic diseases [3], which have recently become an emerging pandemic worldwide [4]. A previous report found that in 2016, more than 39 million (72.3%) of all global deaths were caused by chronic diseases [5]. The NCDs also represent the leading cause of premature deaths, accounting for approximately 60% of the mortality rate globally [6]. Chronic diseases result from a combination of behavioral, environmental, genetic, and physiological factors [7]. The main types of chronic diseases are cardiovascular diseases (e.g., stroke and heart attack), cancer, chronic respiratory diseases (e.g., asthma and obstructive pulmonary disease), and diabetes.

Chronic diseases are not only debilitating and burdensome for both patients and their family members, but they are also financially onerous [8]. Furthermore, they impose a considerable economic burden that is expected to become even bigger over the next few decades. Although the health and medicine community are concerned about the burden and expected increase in chronic diseases, policy-makers are less attentive to their effects on well-being, quality of life, development, and economic growth [9]. Nonetheless, the heavy burden of chronic diseases might be contained by devoting resources to their prevention, screening, and treatment. Such an investment would yield a considerable rate of return, as compellingly highlighted by Bloom and colleagues [10]. People with chronic diseases often report decreased functional health and emotional balance, fatigue, decreased exercise capacity, and mental distress [11]. Furthermore, research indicates that individuals with chronic diseases typically report decreased health-related quality of life (HRQoL), which might be in part a consequence of comorbidities and complications associated with their disease [12]. HRQoL is a multidimensional concept that incorporates physical, social, and psychological well-being [13]

Fortunately, numerous studies have shown that interventions could improve HRQoL in people with chronic disease [14]. One of the most promising interventions is physical activity, which has been found to be associated with good HRQoL and various physiological and psychological health benefits [15]. Exploring the role of physical activity is particularly relevant, since it represents a modifiable factor capable of improving HRQoL in individuals with chronic diseases.

Several studies have explored the relationship between physical activity and HRQoL in people with NCDs, showing positive associations between meeting recommended physical activity and HRQoL [16]. However, most of those studies included small sample sizes and did not adjust for covariates that may confound this association. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, none of the previous studies have investigated the dose–response relationship between physical activity and HRQoL. In order to gain health benefits, current physical activity guidelines consistently recommend engaging in at least 150 min per week of moderate-intensity

physical activity, 75 min per week of vigorous-intensity physical activity, or an equivalent combination of both [17]

Previous research explored the dose–response relationship between physical activity and HRQoL in the general population [11], but there is still a need for a descriptive study including a large representative sample of people with chronic diseases. Such data will help in informing policy makers for developing effective physical activity interventions for improving HRQoL in people with chronic disease. The purpose of this study is to investigate the dose–response relationship between physical activity and HRQoL in a large population-based sample of people with chronic disease.[18]

Literature Review

According to the WHO's 2016 diabetes country profile, 58.5% of the adult Saudi population were found to be physically inactive (52.1% of men and 67.7% of women) [19]. In another study, the prevalence of physical inactivity was found to be 66.6% for the overall Saudi Arabia population (60.1% for men and 72.9% for women) [20]. However, it was found that 16.8% of the population engaged in a moderate level of PA and 16.6% engaged in a high level of PA [21]. The estimated population-attributable fractions (PAF) in Saudi Arabia were calculated using adjusted relative risks and were reported to be 11.4% for coronary heart disease, 14.1% for type 2 diabetes, 19.9% for breast cancer, 20.4% for colon cancer, and 18.4% for all-cause mortality associated with physical inactivity[22]. The estimated gains in life expectancy by eliminating physical inactivity are 1.51 years [23]

Haskell et al reported that American Heart Association (AHA) recommends “at least one hundred fifty minutes per week of moderate intensity aerobic activity or seventy five minutes of vigorous activity for optimum health. Clinical observe tips establish a considerable therapeutic role for physical activity in coronary cardiovascular disease, peripheral vascular un wellness, hypertension, obesity, elevated cholesterol, osteoporosis, pulmonary disease, claudication, chronic obstructive, and osteoarthritis[24].

Al-Zalabani and colleagues (2015) applied a cross-sectional national survey to determine the degree of physical activity and its socio-demographic correlates within the Saudi population. The Global Physical Activity form (GPAQ) version of 2.0 was utilized for knowledge assortment. The prevalence of physical inactivity was 66.6%. It absolutely was higher in females than males (72.9% versus 60.1%). The prevalence of time off physical inactivity was 87.9%. Also, it absolutely was higher in females than males (90.2% versus 85.6%). The central and northern regions reportable the very best prevalence of no physical activity at work, leisure and transportation.[19]

Nikniaz et al carried out a study to spot the socio-demographic and style determinants for physical activity among urban and residential area adults. The Persian type of International Physical Activity form utilized for evaluating physical activity level. The results of the study disclosed that 28.47% of the respondents were inactive, 27.96% were minimally active, and 43.55% had health-enhancing physical activity. Residents of residential area areas and normal-weight adults ($P < 0.001$) were considerably a lot of doubtless to participate in an exceedingly high intense physical activity. Compared with girls, men had considerably higher odds of being physically active. As compared with residents of sub urban areas, residents of urban areas were considerably had lower odds of being physically active.[25]

Rationale

Sedentary life style and consequent obesity prevail in both developed and developing nations; gender- and age-independently. Physical inactivity in a population in a life style transition–like Saudi Arabia–causes metabolic syndrome with its immediate and long-term complications . Healthcare workers are in a better position for role modeling and counseling of appropriate

health behaviors. Personal physical activity and body built among physicians influences to some degree their exercise counseling. Despite this public health importance of physical activity, there are few studies investigating its profile among general population, particularly in Makkah.

Aim of the study:

To Assessment Association between Physical Activity and Health-Related Quality of Life with Chronic Diseases among Adult People attending Primary Health Care Centers in Makah-Almokarramah, Saudi Arabia 2023

Objectives

To Assessment Association between Physical Activity and Health-Related Quality of Life with Chronic Diseases among Adult People attending Primary Health Care Centers in Makah-Almokarramah, Saudi Arabia 2023 .

Methodology

Study design:

Cross sectional design has be adopted.

Study area:

The study will be conducted in Makah city, Saudi Arabia. It is a holy city located in Western Region of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia with an estimated population of more than two million. In Makah city, there are 82 primary health care centers, belonging to Ministry of Health (MOH) distributed over 7 sectors; three are inside Makah and four outside Makah. The study has be carried out in the two sectors inside Makah city .

Study population:

The study population has be consist of adult patients aged 18 years and above who will attend primary health care (PHC) centers in Makah city throughout the period of the study and accept to participate in the study by signing an informed consent.

Inclusion criteria:

- Adults (18years and above) who attend PHC centers in Makah city
- Both genders.
- All nationalities.

Exclusion criteria:

- Those aged below 18.
- Those refuse to sign the informed consent
- Those who attend to primary health care belong to outside Makah sectors

Sample size:

The study population scheduled for research 2 health centers and the average number of undecided during the last three months is 32000 Person and therefore was used the equation of Steven K. Thompson with type one error (significant level) 5% and confidence level 95% and power of test 90% we found the smallest sample size we can depend on 300 person. We select 300 people sufficient to detect the prevalence of physical activity.

Sampling technique

Simple random technique has been applied on the two main sectors inside Makah city. From each sector, one PHC center will be chosen by a simple random technique. Thus, two centers have been selected. The sample size has been distributed over the two centers proportional to the total number of population in each sector. Then, this sample has been equally distributed between male and females in each center. Systematic random sampling has been adopted to select persons (sampling interval will be changed according to the total number of persons attend each center).

Data collection tool:

Self-administered questionnaire has been used for data collection. It consisted of three parts: The first part contains questions about socio-demographic characteristics of the participants (age, gender, marital status, educational level, job, smoking history, history of chronic diseases). The weight and height measurements will be collected by trained nurses. The second part inquired about participants' physical activity. The short form of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) that provides a common instrument to estimate the level of physical activity has been utilized in this regard.[23] The IPAQ short version estimates how much health-enhancing physical activity, including daily life activities and exercise, the person has undertaken over the previous 7 days. The questionnaires were distributed to all participants. The reliability and validity of the questionnaire were tested across 12 countries (14 sites) in 2000[26] The findings suggest that it has an acceptable tool for use in many settings and in different languages, and is suitable for use in regional, national and international monitoring and surveillance systems and for use in research projects and public health program planning and evaluation.[27]

The IPAQ included questions about physical activity of 3 intensities (vigorous physical activity, moderate physical activity, and walking). The physicians had to estimate how many days (frequency) he/she was physically active and the average time (duration) that he/she spent being physically active on these days. IPAQ classifies the subjects into three categorical (ordinal) levels based on intensity, duration and the frequency of the physical activity.[28]

Category 1 is the lowest level of physical activity. Those individuals who do not meet criteria for categories 2 or 3 are considered low/inactive, category 2 is Moderate if any one of the following 3 criteria:

3 or more days of vigorous activity of at least 20 minutes per day

5 or more days of moderate-intensity activity or walking of at least 30 minutes per day

5 or more days of any combination of walking, moderate-intensity or vigorous intensity activities achieving a minimum of at least 600 MET-min/week.

Category 3 is high if any one of the following 2 criteria:

Vigorous-intensity activity on at least 3 days and accumulating at least 1500 MET-minutes/week

7 or more days of any combination of walking, moderate-intensity or vigorous

The third part of the questionnaire inquires about barriers for being physically active (12 items) as well as reasons for being physically active (7 items). Respondents who had low physical activity were asked to mention the barriers for being physically active while those who had moderate or high physical activity were asked to mention the reasons for that.

Body mass index (BMI) has been calculated and classified according to WHO criteria into:

Underweight (BMI <15.8 kg/m²)

Normal (BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m²)

Overweight (BMI 25–29.9 kg/m²)

Obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²)

Data collection technique:

The researcher has been distributing the self-administered questionnaire to the target population in waiting area during working hours. Care was taken to not disturb the work in the primary health care center. The researcher has be assisted by medical interns in male side and a trained nurse in female side to distribute and collecting the questionnaires soon after encounter. The data has be verified by hand then coded and entered to a personal computer.

Data entry and analysis:

The statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 24.0 has be used for data entry and analysis. Descriptive statistics (e.g. frequency, percentage, mean, range, standard deviation) and analytic statistics using appropriate statistical tests, according to the collected data has be applied. P-values <0.05 has be considered as statistically significant.

Pilot study

The questionnaire has be first tested in a pilot study group of 40 participants (about 10%), selected from one primary health care center of the selected four, whose results has be excluded from the final research. The aim of the pilot study will be to test for the comprehensibility of the questionnaire as well as to estimate the time needed to fill in the questionnaire and feasibility of the methods.

Ethical consideration:

The researcher will fulfill all the required official approvals prior to study conduction. Official approval from administration of public health, sector supervisor, and primary health care director will be conducted.

Verbal consent to participate in the study has be asked for each participant. All participants will have the right not to participate in the study or to withdraw from it prior to completion. The researcher will explain the purpose to all respondents. Confidentiality and privacy has be guaranteed for all participants throughout all steps of the research.

Budget:

This study has be carried out at the full expense of the researcher.

Result

Table (1) distribution of Socio-demographic data in study group regarding (Gender, age, amrita status, education, Occupation, Income)(n-300)

	N	%
Age		
<25	33	11
25-35	45	15
35-45	60	20
45-55	57	19
>55	105	35
Gender		
Male	216	72
Female	84	28
Marita status		

Married	198	66
Single	102	34
Education		
Illiterate	48	16
Primary certificate	60	20
Middle School certificate	54	18
Secondary certificate	48	16
diploma	33	11
BA	15	5
Postgraduate	42	14
Occupation		
Yes	195	65
No	105	35
Income		
Non	60	20
Less than 3000SR	36	12
3000-10000SR	99	33
More than 10000SR	105	35
BMI		
Underweight	75	25
Normal	78	26
Overweight	42	14
Obese	105	35
Chronic disease		
No	219	73
Yes	81	27
Smoking		
Non	198	66
Yes	102	34

Age: In our study, showed that the majority of participants (35.0%) were within the age group >55 and (40-45) years, while the age group (35-45) year was represented (20%) but the participants within the age group (45-55) were 19% of participants.

Gender: The majority of participants are male were (72.0%) while female's was (28.0%) of participants.

Marital status: The majority of participants are married were (66.00%) while single was (34.0%) of participants.

Level of education: The majority of our participants primary certificate were constitute (20%).

Occupation: In our study, work participants constituted (65%) of our study. While not work (35%) of our study .

Income level: In our study, income level range with more than 10000SR of participants constituted (35%) of our study. While income level range(3000-10000 SR) were (33%).

BMI: The majority of our participants were at overweight and obese (35.0%). Participants was normal weight (26.00%) while underweight was (25 %). Chronic disease: The majority of our participants no chronic disease were (73%) while were the answer yes they have chronic disease (27.0%)

Smoking: The majority of our participant's answers were non-smoker (66%) while smoker was (34.0 %.)

Table (2) distribution of Socio-demographic data and all the vigorous activities that you did in the last 7 days in study group .

	N	%
During the last 7 days, on how many days did you do vigorous physical activities like heavy lifting, digging, aerobics, or fast bicycling?		
No	144	48
one day	102	34
Two days	30	10
More than 2days	24	8
How much time did you usually spend doing vigorous physical activities on one of those days?		
Range (hours)	1.25-5	
Mean±SD (hours)	3.215±1.845	
During the last 7 days, on how many days did you do moderate physical Activities like carrying light loads, bicycling at a regular pace, or doubles tennis? Do not include walking.		
No	96	32
one day	60	20
Two days	45	15
More than 2days	99	33
How much time did you usually spend doing moderate physical activities on one of those days?		
Range (hours)	1-3.	
Mean±SD (hours)	1.88±0.888	
During the last 7 days, on how many days did you walk for at least 10 minutes?		
No	75	25
One day	48	16
Two days	96	32
More than 2days	81	27
How much time did you usually spend walking on one of those days?		
Range (hours)	0.5-2	
Mean±SD (hours)	0.511±0.321	
During the last 7 days, how much time did you spend sitting on a week day?		
Range (hours)	35-55	
Mean±SD (hours)	42.153±10.5547	

During the last 7 days, on how many days did you do vigorous physical activities like heavy lifting, digging, aerobics, or fast bicycling?. The majority of participants answer" No" not do vigorous physical activities was (48.0%) while one day do vigorous physical activities were (34.0%) and do vigorous physical activities two days were (10%) but the do vigorous physical activities more than 2dayswas (8%). How much time did you usually spend doing vigorous physical activities on one of those days: The participants answer you usually spend doing vigorous physical activities Range (hours) (1.25-5) while Mean± SD (hours) (3.215±1.845).

During the last 7 days, on how many days did you do moderate physical Activities like carrying light loads, bicycling at a regular pace, or doubles tennis? Do not include walking. The majority of participants answer did you do moderate physical Activities was (33%) while NO did you do moderate physical Activities were (32%) and more than 2 days were (15%)

How much time did you usually spend doing moderate physical activities on one of those days: The participants answer you usually spend doing moderate physical activities Range (hours) (1-3) while Mean± SD (hours) (1.88±0.888)

During the last 7 days, on how many days did you walk for at least 10 minutes: The majority of participant's answer two days walk for at two days was (32%), while walk for at least 10 minutes one day were (16%) but NO walk for at least 10 minutes were (25%). How much time did you usually spend walking on one of those days: The participants usually spend doing walking on one of those days Range (hours) (0.5-2) while Mean± SD (hours) (0.511±0.321). During the last 7 days, how much time did you spend sitting on a week day: The participants usually time did you spend sitting Range (hours) (35-55) while Mean± SD (hours) (42.153±10.5547).

Table (3) distribution of all the Perceived barrier to do physical activities (Exercise milieu sub-scale)

Items	Mean	SD	One sample T-test (test value=2.5)	
			t	P-value
Exercise milieu				
Places for me to exercise are too far away	2.650	1.571	1.653	0.099
I am too embarrassed to exercise	3.780	1.240	17.884	<0.001*
It costs too much money to exercise	2.167	1.371	-4.212	<0.001*
Exercise facilities do not have convenient schedules for me	1.697	0.970	-14.343	<0.001*
I think people in exercise clothes look funny	1.630	0.801	-18.808	<0.001*
There are too few places for me to exercise	1.673	1.041	-13.755	<0.001*
Time expenditure				
Exercising takes too much time	3.687	1.278	16.079	<0.001*
Exercise takes too much time family relations	3.753	1.210	17.941	<0.001*
Exercise takes too much time from my family responsibilities	3.703	1.520	13.714	<0.001*
Physical exertion				
Exercise tires me	3.547	1.758	10.311	<0.001*
I am fatigued by exercise	3.720	1.410	14.986	<0.001*
Exercise is hard work for me	3.987	1.191	21.625	<0.001*
Family discouragement sub-scale				
My spouse (or significant other) does not encourage exercising	4.310	1.293	24.239	<0.001*
My family members do not encourage me to exercising	4.447	0.929	36.287	<0.001*

The testing tool included 6 objective questions about the barrier of prevent you to do physical activities according to the (Exercise milieu sub-scale) the questions had answers were analyzed using the Mean, SD, analysis and (P-value)

Places for me to exercise are too far away: In our study no statistically significant were $P < 0.099$ (20%) while $T(1.653)$, Mean (2.650) while SD were (1.571).

I am too embarrassed to exercise: Show that is a significant relation between embarrassed to exercise and exercise milieu sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 and $T 17.884$, Mean (3.780) while SD were (1.240).

It costs too much money to exercise: Show that is a significant relation between costs much money to exercise and Exercise milieu sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 and $T -4.212$, Mean (2.167) while SD were (1.371).

Exercise facilities do not have convenient schedules for me: Show that is a significant relation between not have convenient schedules for me and Exercise milieu sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 and $T -14.343$, Mean (1.697) while SD were (0.970).

I think people in exercise clothes look funny: Show that is a significant relation between exercise clothes look funny for me and Exercise milieu sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 and $T 18.808$, Mean (1.630) while SD were (0.801).

There are too few places for me to exercise: Show that is a significant relation between few places for me to exercise and Exercise milieu sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 and $T -13.755$, Mean (1.630) while SD were (1.041).

Distribution of the entire Perceived barrier to do physical activities (Time expenditure sub-scale) .

The testing tool included 3 objective questions about the barrier of prevent you to do physical activities according to the (Time expenditure sub-scale) questions were analyzed using the Mean, SD and (P-value).

Exercising takes too much time: Show that is a significant relation between exercising takes much time and Time expenditure sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 while $T(16.079)$, Mean (3.687) while SD were (1.271).

Exercise takes

Too much time family relations: Show that is a significant relation between exercising takes much time family relations and Time expenditure sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 while $T(17.941)$, Mean (3.753) while SD were (1.210).

Exercise takes too much time from my family responsibilities: Show that is a significant relation between exercising takes much time family responsibilities and Time expenditure sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 while $T(13.714)$, Mean (3.703) while SD were (1.5201).

Distribution of the entire Perceived barrier to do physical activities (Physical exertion sub-scale)

The testing tool included 3 objective questions about the barrier of prevent you to do physical activities according to the (Physical exertion sub-scale) the questions, were analyzed using Mean, SD, analysis and (P-value)

Exercise tires me: Show that is a significant relation between exercising tires me and Physical exertion sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 and while $T(10.311)$, Mean (3.547) while SD were (1.758).

I am fatigued by exercise: Show that is a significant relation between I am fatigued by exercise and Physical exertion sub-scale where p -value < 0.001 and while $T(14.986)$, Mean (3.720) while SD were (1.410).

Exercise is hard work for me: Show that is a significant relation between exercising is hard work for me and Physical exertion sub-scale where p-value <0.001 while T(21.625), Mean (3.987) while SD were (1.191).

Distribution of the entire Perceived barrier to do physical activities (Family discouragement sub-scale)

The testing tool included 2 objective questions about the barrier of prevent you to do physical activities according to the (Family discouragement sub-scale analysis (P-value), Mean, SD.

My spouse (or significant other) does not encourage exercising: Show that is a significant relation between does not encourage exercising and Family discouragement sub-scale where p-value <0.001 T 24.239, Mean (4.310) while SD were (1.293).

My family members do not encourage me to exercising: Show that is a significant relation between family members do not encourage me to exercising and Family discouragement sub-scale where p-value <0.001 and T 36.287, Mean (4.447) while SD were (0.929).

Table (4) Distribution of the items of Perceived barrier to do physical activities in study (Exercise milieu. Time expenditure . Physical exertion . Family discouragement) in our study

	Negative		Positive		Score	
	N	%	N	%	Range	Mean±SD
Exercise milieu	114	38	186	62	8-22.	13.254±3.215
Time expenditure	207	69	93	31	6-13.	10.812±2.77
Physical exertion	228	76	72	24	10-14.	9.882±2.088
Family discouragement	243	81	57	19	2-10.	8.154±1.087

Regarding Exercise milieu these study results showed that Positive Exercise milieu proportions (62.0%), and Negative Exercise milieu proportions (38.0%) while the Range (8-22) Mean +SD (13.254±3.215) while Time expenditure the most of them negative were(69.0%)but positive (31.0%)while the Range (6-13) Mean +SD (10.812±2.77) regarding the Physical exertion the most of them negative were(76.0%)but positive (24.0%)while the Range (10-14) Mean +SD (9.882±2.088) regarding the Family discouragement most of them negative were(81.0%)but positive (19.0%)while the Range (2-10) Mean +SD (8.154±1.087)

Figure (1) distribution of the items of Perceived barrier to do physical activities (Exercise milieu. Time expenditure . Physical exertion . Family discouragement) in our study




Table (5) distribution of correlation between the BMI and items of Perceived barrier to do physical activities in study

		BMI				Total	Chi-square	
		Under-weight	Normal	Over-weight	Obese		X ²	P-value
Exercise milieu	Negative	24	3	37	50	114	102.992	<0.001*
	Positive	21.05	2.63	32.46	43.86	38		
Time expenditure	Negative	66	17	37	87	207	107.759	<0.001*
	Positive	31.88	8.21	17.87	42.03	69		
Physical exertion	Negative	64	50	34	80	228	10.110	0.018*
	Positive	28.07	21.93	14.91	35.09	76		
Family discouragement	Negative	11	28	8	25	72	8.919	0.03*
	Positive	15.28	38.89	11.11	34.72	24		
	Negative	63	54	36	90	243	8.919	0.03*
	Positive	25.93	22.22	14.81	37.04	81		
		12	24	6	15	57		
		21.05	42.11	10.53	26.32	19		

Table 5 Show that is a significant relation between BMI and Exercise milieu were p-value <0.001 and Chi square (102.992) increased in positive in normal BMI were(40.32%) while increased in negative in obese were(43,86%), regarding the time expenditure a significant relation between BMI and the time expenditure were p-value <0.001 and Chi square (107.759)

increased in positive in normal BMI were(65.59%) while increased in negative in obese were(42,03%), regarding the Physical exertion a significant relation between BMI and the Physical exertion were p-value <0.018 and Chi square (10.110) increased in positive in normal BMI were(38.89%) while increased in negative in obese were(35,09%), regarding the Family discouragement a significant relation between BMI and the Family discouragement were p-value <0.03 and Chi square (8.919) increased in positive in normal BMI were(42.11%) while increased in negative in obese were(37,04%).

Table (6) distribution of correlation between the chronic disease and items of Perceived barrier to do physical activities in study

		Chronic disease						Chi-square	
		No		Yes		Total		X ²	P-value
		N	%	N	%	N	%		
Exercise milieu	Negative	41	18.72	73	90.12	114	38.00	135.030	<0.001*
	Positive	178	81.28	8	9.88	186	62.00		
Time expenditure	Negative	162	73.97	45	55.56	207	69.00	9.048	0.003*
	Positive	57	26.03	36	44.44	93	31.00		
Physical exertion	Negative	171	78.08	57	70.37	228	76.00	1.872	0.171
	Positive	48	21.92	24	29.63	72	24.00		
Family discouragement	Negative	172	78.54	71	87.65	243	81.00	3.424	0.064
	Positive	47	21.46	10	12.35	57	19.00		

Table 6 Show that is a significant relation between chronic disease and Exercise milieu were p-value <0.001 and Chi square (135.030) increased positive in No were(81.28%) while increased in negative in Yes were(90,12%), regarding the time expenditure a significant relation between chronic disease and the time expenditure were p-value <0.003 and Chi square (9.048) increased in positive Yes were(44.44%) while increased in negative No were(73,97%), regarding the Physical exertion no significant relation between chronic disease and the Physical exertion were p-value <0.0171 and Chi square (1.872) increased in positive in Yes were(29.63%) while increased in negative in No were(78,08%), regarding the Family discouragement no significant relation between chronic disease and the Family discouragement were p-value <0.064 and Chi square (3.424) increased in positive in No were(21.46%) while increased in negative in Yes were(87,65%).

Discussion

The current study confirmed the presence of low physical activity prevalence among the Saudi population. In several of the examined studies during this study, physical activity prevalence among Saudi adults was outlined as any movement of the body that needs energy. "At least 150 minutes per week of moderate intensity aerobic activity or 75 minutes of vigorous activity for optimum health.[29]

Studies have shown the benefits of regular physical activity in preventing diseases and promoting health. Benefits of physical activity include the prevention of hypertension, stroke, Heart disease, type II diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia and obesity.[30]. Our participants with no chronic disease were (73%). In 1995 the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) published a preventive recommendation that "Every US adult should accumulate 30 minutes or more of moderate-intensity physical activity on most, preferably all, days of the week"

in our study The majority of participants answer "No" not do vigorous physical activities, while one day do vigorous physical activities and do vigorous physical activities two days were but the do vigorous physical activities more than 2 days.

Among Saudi adults, the most important reason for being physically active was to maintain health or to lose weight, while time constraint and lack of space or facilities seem to be the major factors for not being physically active. The Perceived barrier to do physical activities, also, about too embarrassed exercise, about exercising takes much time family relations .

This systematic review is considered as comprehensive review in Makkah at Saudi Arabia, covering Prevalence of Physical Activity among Adult Patients, reasons for based on the number of published papers in this systematic review, it is clearly apparent research in Saudi Arabia has grown substantially over the past decade. In western Saudi Arabia, students from the Health Colleges at King Khalid University indicated were time limitation, followed by lack of suitable sport places, lack of social support (from family and friends). In our study lack of motivation, and finally lack of sport skills. also Perceived barrier to do physical activities In addition, among patients attending primary care clinic, the major barriers in our study were said to be lack of resources, especially among low-income people and lack strong agree about I am fatigued by exercise also major barriers Family discouragement. But in our study show that is a significant relation between age, gender, Occupation, Income level, and Physical activities where p-value <0.001 also Show that is a significant relation between BMI, Chronic disease, Smoking and Physical activities. (see table 6)

Table 6 Show that is a significant relation between chronic disease and Exercise milieu were p-value <0.001 and Chi square (135.030) increased positive in No were(81.28%) while increased in negative in Yes were(90,12%), regarding the time expenditure a significant relation between chronic disease and the time expenditure were p-value <0.003 and Chi square (9.048) increased in positive Yes were(44.44%) while increased in negative No were(73,97%), regarding the Physical exertion no significant relation between chronic disease and the Physical exertion were p-value <0.0171 and Chi square (1.872) increased in positive in Yes were(29.63%) while increased in negative in No were(78,08%), regarding the Family discouragement no significant relation between chronic disease and the Family discouragement were p-value <0.064 and Chi square (3.424) increased in positive in No were(21.46%) while increased in negative in Yes were(87,65%).

Conclusion

Technology-based interventions to promote physical activity are effective; using further methods to promote participant adherence is associated with greater benefit. Further research should look into strategies to enhance adherence and sustainability in order to increase the effectiveness of technology-based physical activity intervention care. It is recommended that a national policy encouraging active living and discouraging inactivity be established. Health-care providers have an important role in promoting and adopting healthy lifestyle habits among all Saudi people. Virtually all older adults should be physically active. In addition, a Saudi adult people with medical conditions should engage in physical activity in the manner that reduces risk of developing other chronic diseases.

References

1. Katzmarzyk, P. T., Friedenreich, C., Shiroma, E. J., & Lee, I. M. (2022). Physical inactivity and non-communicable disease burden in low-income, middle-income and high-income countries. *British journal of sports medicine*, 56(2), 101-106.

2. Santos, A. C., Willumsen, J., Meheus, F., Ilbawi, A., & Bull, F. C. (2023). The cost of inaction on physical inactivity to public health-care systems: a population-attributable fraction analysis. *The Lancet Global Health*, 11(1), e32-e39.
3. Mehdad, S., Benaich, S., & Bouhaddou, N. (2020). Magnitude of Overweight, Obesity and Physical Inactivity as Risk Factors of Major Non-communicable Diseases in North African Countries. *Disease Prevention and Health Promotion in Developing Countries*, 73-84.
4. Monsalve, F. A., Delgado-López, F., Fernández-Tapia, B., & González, D. R. (2023). Adipose tissue, non-communicable diseases, and physical exercise: an imperfect triangle. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24(24), 17168.
5. Katzmarzyk, P. T., Lee, I. M., Martin, C. K., & Blair, S. N. (2017). Epidemiology of physical activity and exercise training in the United States. *Progress in cardiovascular diseases*, 60(1), 3-10.
6. Wu, J., Fu, Y., Chen, D., Zhang, H., Xue, E., Shao, J., ... & Ye, Z. (2023). Sedentary behavior patterns and the risk of non-communicable diseases and all-cause mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 146, 104563.
7. Pereira, Z. S., da Silva, A. S., Melo, J. C. D. N., Dos Santos, J. C., Sewo Sampaio, P. Y., Silva, R. J. D. S., ... & Sampaio, R. A. C. (2023). Differential Factors Are Associated with Physical Activity in Older Adults in Brazil with and without Non-Communicable Chronic Diseases: A Cross-Sectional Analysis of the 2019 National Health Survey. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(14), 6329.
8. Lämsitie, M., Kangas, M., Jokelainen, J., Venojärvi, M., Timonen, M., Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi, S., & Korpelainen, R. (2022). Cardiovascular disease risk and all-cause mortality associated with accelerometer-measured physical activity and sedentary time—a prospective population-based study in older adults. *BMC geriatrics*, 22(1), 1-9.
9. World Health Organization. (2019). *Global action plan on physical activity 2018-2030: more active people for a healthier world*. World Health Organization.
10. Lear, S. A., Hu, W., Rangarajan, S., Gasevic, D., Leong, D., Iqbal, R., ... & Yusuf, S. (2017). The effect of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130 000 people from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: the PURE study. *The Lancet*, 390(10113), 2643-2654.
11. Ahmadi, M. N., Lee, I. M., Hamer, M., del Pozo Cruz, B., Chen, L. J., Eroglu, E., ... & Stamatakis, E. (2022). Changes in physical activity and adiposity with all-cause, cardiovascular disease, and cancer mortality. *International Journal of Obesity*, 46(10), 1849-1858.
12. World Health Organization. (2016). *World health statistics 2016: monitoring health for the SDGs sustainable development goals*. World Health Organization.
13. Memish, Z. A., El Bcheraoui, C., Tuffaha, M., Robinson, M., Daoud, F., Jaber, S., ... & Al Rabeeah, A. A. (2014). Peer reviewed: obesity and associated factors—Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2013. *Preventing chronic disease*, 11.
14. Kraus, W. E., Bittner, V., Appel, L., Blair, S. N., Church, T., Després, J. P., ... & Whitsel, L. (2015). The National Physical Activity Plan: a call to action from the American Heart Association: a science advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 131(21), 1932-1940.
15. del Pozo Cruz, B., Ahmadi, M., Inan-Eroglu, E., Huang, B. H., & Stamatakis, E. (2022). Prospective Associations of Accelerometer-Assessed Physical Activity With Mortality and Incidence of Cardiovascular Disease Among Adults With Hypertension: The UK Biobank Study. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 11(6), e023290.
16. DeNicola, E., Aburizaiza, O. S., Siddique, A., Khwaja, H., & Carpenter, D. O. (2015). Obesity and public health in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Reviews on environmental health*, 30(3), 191-205..
17. Forbes, M., & Taylor, M. P. (2015). A review of environmental lead exposure and management in Mount Isa, Queensland. *Reviews on environmental health*, 30(3), 183-189..
18. Alghafri, T. S., Alharthi, S. M., Al-Balushi, S., Al-Farsi, Y., Al-Busaidi, Z., Bannerman, E., ... & Anderson, A. S. (2017). Health professionals' perceptions about physical activity promotion in diabetes care within primary health care settings in Oman. *Heliyon*, 3(12), e00495.

19. Al-Zalabani, A. H., Al-Hamdan, N. A., & Saeed, A. A. (2015). The prevalence of physical activity and its socioeconomic correlates in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional population-based national survey. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*, 10(2), 208-215
20. Smith, A. W., Borowski, L. A., Liu, B., Galuska, D. A., Signore, C., Klabunde, C., ... & Ballard-Barbash, R. (2011). US primary care physicians' diet-, physical activity-, and weight-related care of adult patients. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 41(1), 33-42.
21. World Health Organization. (2006). *Global strategy on diet, physical activity and health: a framework to monitor and evaluate implementation*.
22. Connelly, J., Kirk, A., Masthoff, J., & MacRury, S. (2013). The use of technology to promote physical activity in Type 2 diabetes management: a systematic review. *Diabetic Medicine*, 30(12), 1420-1432.
23. Craig, C. L., Marshall, A. L., Sjöström, M., Bauman, A. E., Booth, M. L., Ainsworth, B. E., ... & Oja, P. (2003). International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Medicine & science in sports & exercise*, 35(8), 1381-1395.
24. Haskell, W. L., Lee, I. M., Pate, R. R., Powell, K. E., Blair, S. N., Franklin, B. A., ... & Bauman, A. (2007). Physical activity and public health: updated recommendation for adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 116(9), 1081.
25. Nikniaz, L., Nikniaz, Z., Tabrizi, J. S., Sadeghi-Bazargani, H., & Farahbakhsh, M. (2017). Correlates and pattern of physical activity among urban and suburban Iranian adults: a population-based study. *Sport Sciences for Health*, 13(3), 599-605.
26. Booth, M. (2000). Assessment of physical activity: an international perspective. *Research quarterly for exercise and sport*, 71(sup2), 114-120.
27. Ainsworth, B. E., Macera, C. A., Jones, D. A., Reis, J. P., Addy, C. L., Bowles, H. R., & Kohl 3rd, H. W. (2006). Comparison of the 2001 BRFSS and the IPAQ Physical Activity Questionnaires. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 38(9), 1584-1592.
28. Ainsworth, B. E., Haskell, W. L., Leon, A. S., Jacobs Jr, D. R., Montoye, H. J., Sallis, J. F., & Paffenbarger Jr, R. S. (1993). Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 25(1), 71-80.
29. Warburton, D. E., Nicol, C. W., & Bredin, S. S. (2006). Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *Cmaj*, 174(6), 801-809.
30. Nelson, M. E., Rejeski, W. J., Blair, S. N., Duncan, P. W., Judge, J. O., King, A. C., ... & Castaneda-Sceppa, C. (2007). Physical activity and public health in older adults: recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 116(9), 1094.