

Association Between Of Socioeconomic Status And Health-Related Expenditure On The Quality Primary Healthcare System Reform Saudi Arabia 2023

Saleh Matar Jarow Alanazi¹, Bahijah Nassar Alobaidi², Mohammed Saeed Bahussain³, Abdullah Omraan Althemaly⁴, Abdullah Atallah Ibrahim Alnahed⁵, Ahmed Muhymeed Alqurashi⁴, Saleh Abdalnaser Saleh Sayah Alahmad¹, Reem Adnan Aied Sulimany⁶, Manal Hassan Hasen Alqurashi⁶, Nouf Marzoq Hamed Alsofyani⁶, Muteb Saeed Almalki⁷

Abstract

Background

Aside from the formal governmental reports, there is a lack of studies that focus on the rate of per capita and family spending on the individual's health; and studies that compare the consumption patterns and the rate of expenditure across Saudi regions. Health care services in Saudi Arabia have been given a high priority by the government. During the past few decades, health and health services have improved greatly in terms of quantity and quality, has stated that: "Although many nations have seen sizable growth in their health care systems, probably no other nation (other than Saudi Arabia) of large geographic expanse and population has, in comparable time, achieved so much on a broad national scale, with a relatively high level of care made available to virtually all segments of the population, as a result of the continued attention to and support from the government, Saudi health services have advanced greatly over recent years in all levels of health services: primary, secondary and tertiary. As a consequence, the health of the Saudi population has improved marked. Global economic, climate, and environmental changes are among the main factors affecting the health economies of developing and developed countries and they have a significant impact on the rate of individual and family expenditure on health services¹ and adherence to a healthy lifestyle. **Aim of the study:** To assessment association between of socioeconomic Status and Health-Related Expenditure on the quality primary healthcare system reform Saudi Arabia 2023. **Method:** cross-sectional study to determine the relationship between socioeconomic Status and Health-Related Expenditure on the quality primary healthcare system reform Saudi Arabia and the satisfaction of Saudi people .The study was conducted at Health Centers that implemented the reform of the Saudi healthcare system and more specifically in Saudi Arabia. **Results :** Age majority of participants (57.5%) were within the age group (18-30) years, The Female's gender was (53%) , Satisfaction to your visit with the provider The testing tool included 7 objective questions about your visit with the provider . these questions were analysed using the percentage of approval (% of wt) and Chi square (X²) analysis and (P-value) total (200) participant **Conclusion:** The transformation of the health care system in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia must take into consideration the low trends on healthcare consumption among Saudi families and design policies that ensure that healthcare coverage include all households in different regions regardless of their socio-economic status. The MOH has introduced many reforms to its services, with substantial emphasis

¹Health Administration specialist, Directorate of Health Affairs in Qurayyat, Saudi Arabia.

²Administrator hospital, Health of Medina, Saudi Arabia.

³Health ADMIMSTRATION, King faisal M MACCa, Saudi Arabia.

⁴Health Administration specialist, Administrator hospital, Saudi Arabia.

⁵Health Service Management specialist ,Qurayyat - Mental Health Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

⁶Health Administration specialist, King abdullaziz specialists hospital Taif, Saudi Arabia.

⁷Senior Specialist-Health Administration, Children hospital in Taif, Saudi Arabia.

on PHC. Despite these achievements, health services, and in particular public sector health services, are still facing many challenges.

Keywords: *socioeconomic, Health-Related, Expenditure, quality, system ,reform.*

Introduction

Healthcare services in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia are mainly provided through public, private, and other governmental sectors. The largest part of health care services in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is provided by the public health care sector through the Ministry of Health and its affiliated health clusters.(1)The Ministry of Health, which is funded annually from the total government budget, is the main provider of public health care services, operating nearly 60% of hospitals and primary health care centers across the Kingdom, which puts a heavy burden on them since it constitutes a large part of the government budget (2). Recent years in the Kingdom have witnessed efforts to improve health care services, with a significant increase in the allocated budget, which ranges from 5.9% of the Kingdom's GDP in 2006 to 7.0% in 2014. The apparent success of the healthcare system in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia could be attributed to this high level of funding (3). Health-care providers, patients, and policy makers are increasingly recognizing the importance of quality in health care. However, these groups use different measures to evaluate the quality of care. Patient experience is a measurement concept introduced for the evaluation of patient centered care, which itself is defined as “a respectful of and response to individual patient preferences, needs, and values, and ensures that patient values guide all clinical decisions” (4).

Physicians and nurses are the first point of contact for patients at primary health-care centers (PHCs). In Saudi Arabia, health services can be accessed through 2 routes. Patients can first use the health services provided by PHCs and subsequently be referred to secondary care. Alternatively, patients can bypass the PHCs to receive care at the emergency department , followed by visits to outpatient clinics for further secondary care (5). A study (6) found that in Saudi Arabia, 65% of patients' visits to the ED were for no urgent cases. Patients were asked if they have regular PHCs, 63% of these patients indicated that they did not have a regular PHC and 44% reported that the ED provided better care than other health services. Therefore, this study raised questions about the effectiveness of PHCs in Saudi Arabia (7)

Assessment of health care quality might occur on two completely different levels: that of the individual patient which of populations. At the amount of the individual patient, or micro-level, assessment focuses on services at the purpose of delivery and its subsequent effects. At the population level, or macro-level, assessments of health care quality embody indicators like anticipation, death rate rates, incidence, and prevalence of bound health conditions. (8) .

Delay of care could be a persistent and undesirable feature of current health care systems. Though delay looks to be inevitable and joined to resource limitations, it usually is neither. Rather, it's typically the results of unplanned, irrational planning and resource allocation. Application of queuing theory and principles of business engineering, custom-made suitably to clinical settings, will cut back delay well, even in tiny practices, while not requiring extra resources. (9).

Six parts of advanced access are vital in its application: equalization offer and demand, reducing backlog, reducing the range of appointment varieties, developing contingency plans for uncommon circumstances, operating to regulate demand profiles, and increasing the supply of bottleneck resources. though these principles are powerful, they're counter to deeply command beliefs and established practices in health care organizations. Adopting these principles needs robust leadership investment and support . (10) . you must be have New strategy for health care services To meet the challenges of the Saudi health care system and to improve the quality of health care services, the MOH has set a national strategy for health care services. This strategy was approved by the Council of Ministers in April 2009. It focuses on diversifying funding sources; developing information systems; developing the human workforce; activating the supervision and monitoring role of the MOH over health

services; encouraging the private sector to take its position in providing sector to take its position in providing health services; improving the quality of preventive, curative and rehabilitative care; and distributing health care services equally to all regions. (11)The national strategy for health care services is to be implemented by the MOH in cooperation with other health care providers and it will be supervised by the Council of Health Services. A 20-year timeframe for achieving the objectives of this strategy has been identified. (12) This study examines know the impact of Saudi healthcare system reform on the quality of services providing in primary healthcare and their willingness to contribute to financing the system through reforming the Saudi healthcare system.

Literature review

Saudi health care system reform definition Health care in Saudi Arabia is classified as a national health care system during which the government provides health care services through variety of state agencies. Within the context of the state the role of the Saudi Arabia, there's a growing role and enlarged participation from the personal sector within the provision of health care services. (13). Describes the essential role of quality within the delivery of health care services . As nations arrange to achieve universal health coverage by 2030, there's a growing acknowledgement that optimum health care cannot be delivered by merely making certain existence of infrastructure, medical providers and health care suppliers. (14) Improvement in health provision needs a deliberate concentrate on quality of health services, that involves providing effective, safe, people-centred care that's timely, equitable, integrated and economical. Quality of care is that the degree to that health services for people and populations increase the probability of desired health outcomes and are in line with current professional information. (15).

Globally, healthcare systems are evolving constantly, becoming ever more complex in terms of the interrelatedness of system components. Healthcare system reform frequently involves complex challenges, primarily because there is a significant need to review the underlying policies and regulations associated with the sources of these challenges. A major concern for large healthcare systems worldwide has been how to eliminate or limit, recurrent challenges. In addition, population growth and the consequent escalating healthcare demand, poses a major threat to the Saudi government by increasing healthcare expenditure which will ultimately force the government to create new legislation to finance the extended coverage. (16)

Saudi Arabia's ambitious programmer of social and economic renewal, Vision 2030 (17), has attention squarely in its sights. Vision 2030 (Vision) is informed by a basic ought to diversify the country removed from the organic compound sector, aiming at the same time to revitalize the economy and to rationalize/reduce state disbursal. The key economic driver remains the market value for oil, that continues to hover within the USD 50-55 per barrel vary .The challenges in attention are important. Taking only 1 key indicator of health, in keeping with a 2013 report by the Saudi Ministry of Health, regarding twenty five percent of Saudis are diabetics.(18).

The population of Saudi Arabia has expanded rapidly in the past few decades from approximately 7.3 million people in 1975 to approximately 24.6 million in 2005.2 Two factors that affect healthcare services are the large present of foreign workers in the country and the high percentage of young people. About 25% of the population, or about 6.1 million people, are considered foreign nationals. Also, 40% of the population is under the age of 15 years and only 3.5% of the population over the age of 65.3 As will be seen, the presence and number of these foreign nationals and demographics profoundly affect the future shape and direction of the Saudi healthcare system. (19).

The struggle to supply quality aid for a nation's population at an inexpensive price may be a challenge for any nation. The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, like several nations, is seeking to reconfigure its existing aid system to boost the standard of care its citizens receive and management the burdensome, escalating prices. To do such they're embarking on a free market strategy to realize these changes by introducing healthcare insurance and privatizing public hospitals. (20).

Healthcare in Saudi Arabia currently is provided freed from charge to all Saudi citizens and expatriates operating within the public sector, primarily through the Ministry of Health and increased by different governmental health facilities. The govt. needs that expatriates operating within the personal sectors have some level of tending coverage paid by their employers. tending has been seen as a “right”. Healthcare in Saudi Arabia has been funded primarily by public (75%) or out-of-pocket expenditures (about 25%) . The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) may be a high-income developing country with a landmass. It has experienced rapid urbanization. The vastness of the country impacts the accessibility, quality and equity of tending service delivery. Oil-derived wealth has funded free public sector services, together with Saudi health care system reform. (21)

At \$680 per capita overall health expenditure Saudi Arabia (SA) spends abundant but different countries on tending. The cash that is presently spent on healthcare is from private spending from non-public defrayal, either due expense, non-public insurance or from leader schemes. This lower level of paying within the Certainly Saudi Arabia (SA) provides care which can match higher quality healthcare systems. (SA) provides care which may match higher quality tending systems. for instance, in terms of its immunisation rates, the region mean Saudi over (SA) is healthier than different countries examined. This might reflect the stress placed on up rates of childhood and infectious diseases within the region mean Saudi over the past few decades. (22).

What has been distinctive has been the low level of private insurance involved in the provision of healthcare, the majority of the private expenditures are due payments for services in private hospitals and clinics. Governmental funding is allotted through annual budgets to individual ministries and programs. Royal decrees are also issued for allocations of further funding for special health programs and comes . (23).

Sometime known as statutory health insurance (SHI) – may be a system of health insurance that ensures a national population against the prices of health care. It is also administered by the general public sector, the non-public sector, or a mixture of each. Funding mechanisms vary with the actual program and country. National or Statutory insurance doesn't equate to government-run or government-financed health is typically established by national legislation. (24).

several countries currently struggle to provide cost-effective, quality healthcare services to their citizens. Saudi Arabia has toughened high prices at the side of issues about quality of care in its public facilities, to deal with these problems the country is presently restructuring their challenges in radically changing a country's healthcare system denationalize public hospitals. And introduce insurance coverage for both foreign workers and citizens. The changes provide an interesting and insightful case for the challenges in radically changing a country's healthcare system. The situation also demonstrates a unique case in the Middle East for greater reliance of the private sector to address rapidly escalating healthcare costs and deteriorating quality. The complexity of changing a health care system is discussed with the many challenges associated with the change . (25)

Aim and objectives

To assessment of impact of socioeconomic Status and Health-Related Expenditure on the quality primary healthcare system reform Saudi Arabia 2023

Objectives:

To assessment of impact of socioeconomic Status and Health-Related Expenditure on the quality primary healthcare system reform Saudi Arabia 2023

Materials and methods

Study design:

Cross-sectional study to determine the relationship between socioeconomic Status and Health-Related Expenditure on the quality primary healthcare system reform Saudi Arabia and the satisfaction of Saudi people

Study Area

There is a lack of reliable population data in Saudi Arabia. This lack precludes a fully representative sample. Thus, to achieve as representative a sample as possible, was selected randomly sampled a varied sample of the participants. The questionnaire results has been collected in the period from 2023 the total (200) participated.

Study Population

The study has be conducted among primary healthcare system reform Saudi Arabia .

Inclusion criteria:

Age 18 -65

Male and female .

Exclusion criteria:

Primary healthcare not have reform system.

Primary healthcare refused to participate in the research

The sample size

The sample size has been calculated by applying Raosoft sample size calculator based on (The margin of error: 5%, Confidence level: 95%, and the response distribution was considered to be 20%) accordingly to sample size from medical practitioners by the required sample size; (200). (male and female) and adding 10 more to decrease margin of error. After adding 5% oversampling, the minimum calculated sample has been 200. Computer generated simple random sampling technique was used to select the study participants. Data collection was done by the researcher during the October to December, 2023.

Sampling technique:

Systematic random sampling technique is adopted. After that, by using random number generator, then simple random sampling technique was applied to select the health care providers. Also, convenience sampling technique will be utilized to select the participants in the study. By using systematic sampling random as dividing the total health care providers by the required sample size; (200).

Data collection tools of the study:

The self-administered questionnaire was adopted and modified Questionnaire.5 The questionnaire consists of two main parts, socio-demographic and personal characteristics including age, gender, nationality, grade and associated determinants. The questionnaire was then translated from English to Arabic. Then it was independently retranslated into English to ensure the linguistic quality. The final questionnaire was validated by three consultants .

The study was approved by the local research committee, and permitted by the Joint Program of Family Medicine. Permission to conduct the study in the PHC was also obtained from the Ministry of health . Written consent was obtained from each participant. All collected data from the health care workers are kept confidential, accessed only for scientific research.

Data entry and analysis:

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 24.0 has be used for data entry and analysis. Descriptive statistics (e.g., number, percentage) and analytic statistics using Chi-Square tests (χ^2) to test for the association and the difference between two categorical variables were applied. A p-value ≤ 0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

Pilot study

A pilot study has been conducted in primary health care patients in the same sector due to the similarity to the target group using the same questionnaire to test the methodology of the study, the questionnaire has been clear and no defect has been detected in the methodology.

Ethical considerations

Permission from the joint program Family Medicine program has been obtained. Permission from the Directorate of health, verbal consents from all participants in the questionnaire were obtained. All information was kept confidential, and results have been submitted to the department as feedback.

Budget: Self-funded

Result

Table (1) the distribution of Socio-demographic data in study group

	N	%
Age		
18-30	72	36
31-45	68	34
46-55	50	25
56-65	10	5
Gender		
Male	94	47
Female	106	53
Level of education		
primary	66	33
intermediate	60	30
secondary	44	22
high education	30	15
Occupation		
Work	110	55
Not work	90	45
Economic level		
Low	70	35
Average	50	25

In our study, it showed that the majority of participants (57.5%) were within the age group (18-30) years, while the age (56-65) years was (5%), the Female's gender was (53%) while Male were (47%) of participants, the majority of our participants were at Primary level which constitutes (33%). but high education (15%), work participants constituted (55%), While not work (45%) of our study, Economic level high participants constituted (44%) in our study.

Table (2) the distribution of Patient Satisfaction to your visit with the provider:

(Doctor, Physician Assistant, Nurse Practitioner). Willingness to listen carefully to you , Taking time to answer your questions , Explaining things in a way you could understand , Advice given to you on ways to stay healthy, the thoroughness of the examination, amount of time spent with you, instruction's regarding medication/follow-up care .

All the question no statistically significant , the your visit with the provider there was high percentage answer in Willingness to listen carefully to you the % of wt (79.100%) , While percentage answer said "Poor, Fair"(13.5%) . The % of wt (84.60%) and the answer said "Very Good, Excellent"(66.0%) . While percentage answer said "Poor, Fair"(10.0%) , question addressed the Explaining things in a way you could understand there was high percentage answer Explaining things in a way you could understand the % of wt (91.40%) , While percentage answer said "Poor, Fair"(10.0%) , the Advice given to you on ways to stay healthy there was high percentage answer Advice given to you on ways to stay healthy the % of wt (81.60%) , While percentage answer said "Poor, Fair"(11.5%) ,The most of question addressed the thoroughness of the examination there was high percentage answer The thoroughness of the examination the % of wt (78.90%) , While percentage answer said "Poor, Fair"(14.0%), amount of time spent with you there was high percentage answer Amount of time spent with you the % of wt (86.20%) and the answer said "Very Good, Excellent"(86.0%) , While percentage answer said "Poor, Fair"(9.0%) , Instructions regarding medication/follow-up care there was high percentage answer Instructions regarding medication/follow-up care the % of wt (86.60%) , While percentage answer said "Poor, Fair"(10.0%).

YOUR VISIT WITH THE PROVIDER: (Doctor, Physician Assistant, Nurse Practitioner)		Satisfaction					Wt	% of wt	P-value	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent				
1	Willingness to listen carefully to you	تكرار	12	15	34	48	91	791	79.100	0.000
	%	6.0%	7.5%	17.0%	24.0%	45.5%				
2	Taking time to answer your questions	تكرار	8	12	28	30	122	846	84.600	0.000
	%	4.0%	6.0%	14.0%	15.0%	61.0%				
3	Explaining things in a way you could understand	تكرار	10	10	6	4	170	914	91.400	0.000
	%	5.0%	5.0%	3.0%	2.0%	85.0%				
4	Advice given to you on ways to stay healthy	تكرار	11	12	16	72	89	816	81.600	0.000
	%	5.5%	6.0%	8.0%	36.0%	44.5%				
5	The thoroughness of the examination	تكرار	8	22	24	65	81	789	78.900	0.000
	%	4.0%	11.0%	12.0%	32.5%	40.5%				
6	Amount of time spent with you	تكرار	9	9	10	55	117	862	86.200	0.000
	%	4.5%	4.5%	5.0%	27.5%	58.5%				
7	Instructions regarding medication/follow-up care	تكرار	10	10	22	20	138	866	86.600	0.000
	%	5.0%	5.0%	11.0%	10.0%	69.0%				

Table (3) the distribution of Patient Satisfaction about our staff.
All questions no statistically significant.

OUR STAFF			Satisfaction					Wt	% of wt	P-value
			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent			
1	Doctors using computerized medical records	تكرار	8	11	22	51	108	840	84.000	0.000
		%	4.0%	5.5%	11.0%	25.5%	54.0%			
2	The courtesy of the person who took your call	تكرار	7	12	18	110	53	790	79.000	0.000
		%	3.5%	6.0%	9.0%	55.0%	26.5%			
3	The friendliness and courtesy of the receptionist	تكرار	10	13	6	20	151	889	88.900	0.000
		%	5.0%	6.5%	3.0%	10.0%	75.5%			
4	The helpfulness of the people who assisted you with	تكرار	11	10	9	108	62	800	80.000	0.000
		%	5.5%	5.0%	4.5%	54.0%	31.0%			
5	billing or insurance	تكرار	12	11	1	30	146	887	88.700	0.000
		%	6.0%	5.5%	0.5%	15.0%	73.0%			
6	Doctors and nurses working closely as teams, with an expanded role for nurse	تكرار	9	12	35	70	74	788	78.800	0.000
		%	4.5%	6.0%	17.5%	35.0%	37.0%			
7	healthcare system reform your and your family's	تكرار	8	9	10	72	101	849	84.900	0.000
		%	4.0%	4.5%	5.0%	36.0%	50.5%			
8	Getting care on nights, weekends, or holidays without going to the hospital emergency room	تكرار	7	10	55	72	56	760	76.000	0.00
		%	3.5%	5.0%	27.5%	36.0%	28.0%			
9	The caring concern of our nurses/medical assistants	تكرار	8	11	96	64	21	679	67.900	0.000
		%	4.0%	5.5%	48.0%	32.0%	10.5%			
10	Doctors being able to share information electronically with other doctors	تكرار	12	12	15	71	90	815	81.500	0.000
		%	6.0%	6.0%	7.5%	35.5%	45.0%			
11	Doctors practicing with other doctors in groups, rather than practicing on his or her own	تكرار	10	8	32	71	79	801	80.100	0.000
		%	5.0%	4.0%	16.0%	35.5%	39.5%			
12	The professionalism of our lab or x-ray staff	تكرار	9	9	11	79	92	836	83.600	0.000
		%	4.5%	4.5%	5.5%	39.5%	46.0%			

Table (4) the distribution of Patient Satisfaction about your appointment

The testing tool included 9 objective questions about your Satisfaction of your appointment the questions had answers limited to Poor, Fair or Good, Very Good , Excellent , these questions were analyzed using the percentage of approval (% of wt)

YOUR APPOINTMENT			Satisfaction					Wt	% of wt
			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
1	Ease of making appointments by phone.	تكرار	8	10	11	70	101	846	84.600
		%	4.0%	5.0%	5.5%	35.0%	50.5%		
2		تكرار	7	8	16	74	95	842	84.200

	Appointment available within a reasonable amount of time.	%	3.5%	4.0%	8.0%	37.0%	47.5%		
3	Getting care for illness/injury as soon as you wanted it.	تكرار	10	13	15	82	80	809	80.900
		%	5.0%	6.5%	7.5%	41.0%	40.0%		
4	Getting after-hours care when you needed it.	تكرار	11	18	20	106	45	756	75.600
		%	5.5%	9.0%	10.0%	53.0%	22.5%		
5	The efficiency of the check-in process.	تكرار	12	3	105	67	13	666	66.600
		%	6.0%	1.5%	52.5%	33.5%	6.5%		
6	Waiting time in the reception area.	تكرار	12	8	30	109	41	759	75.900
		%	6.0%	4.0%	15.0%	54.5%	20.5%		
7	Waiting time in the exam room.	تكرار	10	10	41	95	44	753	75.300
		%	5.0%	5.0%	20.5%	47.5%	22.0%		
8	Keeping you informed if your appointment time was delayed.	تكرار	11	8	14	85	82	819	81.900
		%	5.5%	4.0%	7.0%	42.5%	41.0%		
9	Ease of getting a referral when you needed one.	تكرار	12	6	28	6	148	872	87.200
		%	6.0%	3.0%	14.0%	3.0%	74.0%		

Table (5) the distribution of Patient Satisfaction about our communication with you. The testing tool included 8 objective questions about your Satisfaction about our communication with you the questions had answers limited to Poor, Fair or Good, Very Good , Excellent , these questions were analyzed using the percentage of approval (% of wt) .

OUR COMMUNICATION WITH YOU			Satisfaction					Wt	% of wt
			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
1	Your phone calls answered promptly	تكرار	13	12	44	88	43	736	73.600
		%	6.5%	6.0%	22.0%	44.0%	21.5%		
2	Getting advice or help when needed during office hours	تكرار	11	6	18	71	94	831	83.100
		%	5.5%	3.0%	9.0%	35.5%	47.0%		
3	Explanation of your procedure (if applicable)	تكرار	14	11	22	40	113	827	82.700
		%	7.0%	5.5%	11.0%	20.0%	56.5%		
4	Your test results reported in a reasonable amount of time	تكرار	12	9	30	46	103	819	81.900
		%	6.0%	4.5%	15.0%	23.0%	51.5%		
5	Effectiveness of our health information materials	تكرار	11	12	32	46	99	810	81.000
		%	5.5%	6.0%	16.0%	23.0%	49.5%		
6	Our ability to return your calls in a timely manner	تكرار	10	15	36	60	79	783	78.300
		%	5.0%	7.5%	18.0%	30.0%	39.5%		
7	Your ability to contact us after hours	تكرار	12	10	22	62	94	816	81.600
		%	6.0%	5.0%	11.0%	31.0%	47.0%		
8		تكرار	13	14	24	64	85		

Your ability to obtain prescription refills by phone	%	6.5%	7.0%	12.0%	32.0%	42.5%	79 4	79.40 0
---	---	------	------	-------	-------	-------	---------	------------

Table (6) the distribution of Patient Satisfaction about our facility.

The testing tool included 7 objective questions about your Satisfaction about our facility the questions had answers limited to Poor, Fair or Good, Very Good , Excellent , these questions were analyzed using the percentage of approval (% of wt)

OUR FACILITY			Satisfaction					Wt	% of wt
			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
1	The amount of time I wait for my appointment's is acceptable	تكرار	12	12	26	68	82	79 6	79.60 0
		%	6.0%	6.0%	13.0%	34.0%	41.0%		
2	The clerical staff/ secretary is courteous	تكرار	14	13	36	46	91	78 7	78.70 0
		%	7.0%	6.5%	18.0%	23.0%	45.5%		
3	Adequate parking	تكرار	11	10	44	40	95	79 8	79.80 0
		%	5.5%	5.0%	22.0%	20.0%	47.5%		
4	Signage and directions easy to follow	تكرار	12	9	3	30	146	88 9	88.90 0
		%	6.0%	4.5%	1.5%	15.0%	73.0%		
5	Overall comfort	تكرار	11	8	3	20	158	90 6	90.60 0
		%	5.5%	4.0%	1.5%	10.0%	79.0%		
6	Hours of operation convenient for you	تكرار	13	7	23	36	121	84 5	84.50 0
		%	6.5%	3.5%	11.5%	18.0%	60.5%		
7	The ease of the check –in process	تكرار	11	9	16	22	142	87 5	87.50 0
		%	5.5%	4.5%	8.0%	11.0%	71.0%		

Table (7) the distribution of Satisfaction about your overall with.

Our practice The most of question addressed the Satisfaction about your overall with our practice there was high percentage answer Satisfaction about your overall with our practice the % of wt (88.90%) ,The quality of your medical care

The most of question addressed the Satisfaction about your overall with The quality of your medical care there was high percentage answer Satisfaction about your overall with The quality of your medical care the % of wt (84.90%) ,Overall rating of care from your provider or nurse The most of question addressed the Satisfaction about your overall with The Overall rating of care from your provider or nurse there was high percentage answer Satisfaction about your overall with Overall rating of care from your provider or nurse the % of wt (88.00%) .

YOUR OVERALL SATISFACTION WITH			Satisfaction					Wt	% of wt
			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
1	Our practice	تكرار	12	8	4	31	145	889	88.90 0
		%	6.0%	4.0%	2.0%	15.5%	72.5%		
2		تكرار	9	11	30	22	128	849	

Table (8) the	3	The quality of your medical care	%	4.5%	5.5%	15.0%	11.0%	64.0%		84.900
		Overall rating of care from your provider or nurse	تكرار	8	10	20	18	144		88.000
			%	4.0%	5.0%	10.0%	9.0%	72.0%	880	

distribution of Total Patient Satisfaction about your overall with.

Satisfaction about		Weak	Average	High	Range	Mean+SD
Visit with the provider	N	26	80	94	10-33.	25.88±6.25
	%	13	40	47		
Staff	N	40	62	98	15-60	49.45±8.221
	%	20	31	49		
Appointment	N	30	88	82	12-40.	32.54±4.98
	%	15	44	41		
Communication	N	18	42	140	10-40.	33.86±6.91
	%	9	21	70		
Facility	N	22	32	146	9-35.	29.670±6.997
	%	11	16	73		
Overall satisfaction with	N	36	50	114	4-14.	11.215±2.054
	%	18	25	57		
Total satisfaction	N	38	44	118	50-200	175.931±22.438
	%	19	22	59		

Discussion

The reform method of the Saudi health sector is difficult to measure the outcomes of the reforms and their impact and in health sector specially the present study deciding the amount of patients' satisfaction with reform Primary Health Care Center in Saudi Arabia. in another study within the Majmaah at Kingdom of Saudi of Saudi country the amount of satisfaction with the services provided by PHC centers was high (81.7%). These findings are over satisfaction of care of patients in London, India, state and Asian country wherever satisfaction with health care were sixty one.3%, 66%, 73.5% and 50.9% severally.(Kingdom of Asian country, Ministry of the inside, Emirate .2014) this is often higher than the finding from Riyadh (64.2%) in Saudi health .(26) The patients' satisfaction was lower than findings from Kuwait. the government has endeavored to provide good, affordable health care to its citizens, within the economic means of the country. it's offered comprehensive, universal access to health take care of several decades inside the welfare arrangements of the dominion. These endeavors have resulted in improved population health as compared therewith of alternative nations of the globe, together with the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries. (26) . The total (200) participant the first section of the interview collected general information about each participant's. The questions were related to demographic and socio-economic characteristics of the respondent.

The second section This section included questions on the advantages and disadvantages of the system, participants' level of satisfaction a comparison between healthcare services in the public and private sectors, and the characteristics and quality of public sector healthcare services. Satisfaction to your visit with the provider .The testing tool included 7 questions. The reasons behind high level of satisfaction may be partly due to the fact with of large population which is represented in relatively of large number of patients attending the PHC centers, which in turn enable of large health care providers to provide satisfactory health services for patients. This of large number of patients also facilitates good ties and relations between the care providers and the patients. The health care provision policy adopted by the kingdom which is based on the catchment areas also helps in strengthening this relationship. These results are in agreement with findings from Qatar the foremost necessary issue that drives patients' satisfaction is that the cleanliness, technical competencies of the employees of PHC centers and sensible handling. (27).

Satisfactions were competence of the staff along with respect and good handling. These findings are in line with other studies conducted in Saudi Arabia. (28) The study showed that The Satisfaction about Visit with the provider the respondents were more satisfied, followed by the Satisfaction about staff, Appointment, Communication. These findings are in line with results from Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.(29)

Responses to a question about 'overall satisfaction with the appointment system' this provided data on patient satisfaction with the practice appointment system. Today, due to the increased competition in providing favorable outpatient health-care services by providers, the patient satisfaction has become one of the priorities of health centers. (30). It has been previously found that appointment scheduling systems are potentially useful tools for enhancing patient satisfaction. Appointment scheduling system as the first step in health-care provision process in outpatient setting plays an important role in patient's view. (31)

When an appointment revealed that using a phone appointment scheduling system could basically increase patient satisfaction and decrease waiting time. (32) The testing tool included 9 objective questions about your Satisfaction of your appointment the questions had answers limited to Poor, Fair or Good, Very Good, Excellent, these questions were analyzed using the percentage of approval (% of wt). Regarding the relation between Satisfaction about Visit with the provider and demographic data (age, gender, Level of education, occupation, economic level). is significant relation. see Table (9)

The most important factor that drives patients' satisfaction is the cleanliness, technical competencies of the staff of PHC centers and good handling. (33).

Conclusion

Reform is an on-going process; in some cases, reforms transform the health system into one with a higher quality of organization; and improvement in the provision of health services through an evolutionary process. The level of satisfaction with the services provided by primary healthcare centers is high. The gender, marital status and income have no effect on the level of satisfaction with the services provided by PHC; however. Cleanliness, competence of the staff along with respect and good handling are the drivers behind the high level of satisfaction. Areas in which quality improvement is required, mainly provision of increasing number of nurses and providing. Several countries in the world, have been trying to bring provisions of better quality, and efficient, effective, affordable, and sustainable health care to their citizens. In many countries, stakeholders have advocated for structural as well as management reforms. However, the focus of these reforms has always been on upgrading the quality and addressing the economic and financial issues.

REFERENCES

1. Alkhamis, A. A. (2017). Critical analysis and review of the literature on healthcare privatization and its association with access to medical care in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of infection and public health*, 10(3), 258-268.
2. Alhajri, S. M., Aljehani, N. M., El Dalatony, M. M., Alsuwayt, S. S., Alhumaidany, T. M., Aldossary, M. S., ... & Alhumaidany, T. (2023). Patients' Satisfaction With the Quality of Services at Primary Healthcare Centers in Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*, 15(9).
3. Alkhamis, A., Hassan, A., & Cosgrove, P. (2014). Financing healthcare in Gulf Cooperation Council countries: a focus on Saudi Arabia. *The International journal of health planning and management*, 29(1), e64-e82.
4. Carlsson-Szlezak, P., Reeves, M., & Swartz, P. (2020). What coronavirus could mean for the global economy. *Harvard business review*, 3(10), 1-10.
5. Albahrani, S., Albidy, H., Alomar, N., Mutreb, L. B., Alkhofi, A., Alsaleh, Z., ... & Alarbash Sr, A. (2022). Patient satisfaction with primary healthcare services in Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*, 14(11).
6. Kumah, E. (2017). Patient experience and satisfaction with a healthcare system: connecting the dots. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*.

7. Al-Hanawi, M. K. (2017). The healthcare system in Saudi Arabia: How can we best move forward with funding to protect equitable and accessible care for all?. *International Journal of Healthcare*,
8. Senitan, M., & Gillespie, J. (2020). Health-care reform in Saudi Arabia: patient experience at primary health-care centers. *Journal of Patient Experience*, 7(4), 587-592.
9. Alghamdi, F. S. (2014). The impact of service quality perception on patient satisfaction in government hospitals in southern Saudi Arabia. *Saudi medical journal*, 35(10), 1271.
10. Almoajel A, Fetohi E, Alshamrani A. Patient satisfaction with primary health care in Jubail City, Saudi Arabia. *World Journal of Medical Sciences*, 2014;11(2):255-264.
11. Albahrani, S., Albidy, H., Alomar, N., Mutreb, L. B., Alkhofi, A., Alsaleh, Z., ... & Alarbash, A. (2022). Corrected: Patient Satisfaction With Primary Healthcare Services in Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia..
12. Alkabba, A. F., Hussein, G. M., Albar, A. A., Bahnassy, A. A., & Qadi, M. (2012). The major medical ethical challenges facing the public and healthcare providers in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Family and Community Medicine*, 19(1), 1.
13. Alrazeeni, D. M., Sheikh, S. A., Mobrad, A., Al Ghamdi, M., Abdulqader, N., Al Gabgab, M., ... & Al Khaldi, B. (2016). Epidemiology of non-transported emergency medical services calls in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi medical journal*, 37(5), 575.
14. Rabbani, U., Saigul, A. A., Sulaiman, A., Ibrahim, T. H., & Al Saigul, A. M. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on antenatal care utilization among pregnant women in Qassim, Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*, 13(11).
15. Balharith, M., Alghalyini, B., Al-Mansour, K., Tantawy, M. H., Alonezi, M. A., Almasud, A., & Zaidi, A. R. Z. (2023). Physical accessibility, availability, financial affordability, and acceptability of mobile health clinics in remote areas of Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 12(9), 1947-1956.
16. Sokang, Y. A., Westmaas, A. H., & Kok, G. (2019). Jakartans' perceptions of health care services. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 7, 277.
17. Alqahtani, T. (2023). The Persuasive Use of Public Relations in Saudi Arabia 2030 Vision.
18. Balabel, A., & Alwetaishi, M. (2021). Towards sustainable residential buildings in Saudi Arabia According to the conceptual framework of "Mostadam" rating system and vision 2030. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 793.
19. AlzaidAlsharif, H. I. (2022). The Transition of Saudi Arabia from a Resource-based Economy to a Knowledge-based Economy: Developing Human, Social, and Moral Capital in the 21st Century. LINCUM GmbH.
20. Alsudairi, M., Hai, S. J., & Alahmad, K. (2023). How Saudi Arabia Bent China to Its Technoscientific Ambitions.
21. Rexhepi, J. (2019). Reading Freire in the Middle East: vision 2030 and the reimagining of education in Saudi Arabia. *The wiley handbook of Paulo Freire*, 199-219.
22. Alazemi, R. (2022). Accounting for national business models' adaptation and restructuring evidence from Saudi Arabia (Doctoral dissertation, Brunel University London).
23. Alattiq, M. J. (2023). Evolving role of higher education & leadership development in a knowledge-based economy: Analysing the Saudi Arabian context. *Industry and Higher Education*, 09504222231225194.
24. Alreshidi, H. A., Al-Dhlan, K. A., & ZahidaParaveen, S. A. M. A. (2022). A Depiction Of Community Services In Saudi Arabia Through The Point Of View Of The Citizens. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(9), 4385-4394.
25. Mahmoud, F. F. (2022). Requirements for the development of a professional social work practice with groups in light of Saudi Vision 2030. *International Social Work*, 65(5), 955-968.
26. Habibi, M. R. M., Abadi, F. M., Tabesh, H., Vakili-Arki, H., Abu-Hanna, A., & Eslami, S. (2018). Evaluation of patient satisfaction of the status of appointment scheduling systems in outpatient clinics: Identifying patients' needs. *Journal of Advanced Pharmaceutical Technology & Research*, 9(2),
27. Figus, A. (2020). Coronavirus COVID-19, a complex issue between health, economy, politics, and communication. *Geopolitical, Social Security and Freedom Journal*, 3(1), 1-13.
28. Aldahmash, A. M., Ahmed, Z., Qadri, F. R., Thapa, S., & AlMuammar, A. M. (2019). Implementing a connected health intervention for remote patient monitoring in Saudi Arabia and Pakistan: explaining 'the what' and 'the how'. *Globalization and health*, 15, 1-7.
29. Saber, A. (2023). Financial Literacy and Retirement Planning in Saudi Arabia. *Remittances Review*, 8(4).
30. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Ministry of the Interior, Emirate of Riyadh region, Province of Majmaah. On line.(Accessed on 30.12.2014). From: <http://www.moi.gov.sa>

31. Kamimura, A., Ashby, J., Myers, K., Nourian, M. M., & Christensen, N. (2015). Satisfaction with healthcare services among free clinic patients. *Journal of community health*, 40(1), 62-72.
32. Altassan, K. K. (2020). Environmental and Population Factors Influencing Dengue Fever Emergence and Spread in Saudi Arabia (Doctoral dissertation).
33. Mazaheri, M. H., Abadi, F. M., Tabesh, H., Vakili-Arki, H., Abu-Hanna, A., & Eslami, S. (2018). Evaluation of patient satisfaction of the status of appointment scheduling systems in outpatient clinics: Identifying patients' needs. *Journal of advanced pharmaceutical technology & research*, 9(2), 51-55.