Migration Letters

Volume: 20, No: S1 (2023), pp. 1823-1835

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

Associated Between Knowledge Of Protection For Patients And Risks Factors To Use Of Plain X-Ray And Magnetic Resonance Imaging Among Emergency Physicians And Health Care Working In X-Ray Department In Public Sector Hospitals At Saudi Arabia 2023

Sulaiman Mohammed Moed Alqahtani¹, Najeeb Hamed², Fouad Hamdan Mohammed Al-Sahafi³, Abdullah Muslat Alboqami⁴, Abdulmonem Abbad O Almuhalbidi⁵, Meshari mosa alqasmi⁶, Jameel Saud AlKhdedie⁷, Meshal Eid Abdul Rahman Al-Osaimi Al-Otaibi⁸, Jamal Abdulalih Mohammed Alsheik⁹, Shagran Mohammed D Aldajani¹⁰, Wessal Ahmad Abdulkareem Alkhoudair¹¹

Abstract

Background

The use of X-rays and Magnetic Resonance Imaging in medical diagnostic radiology has increased globally. The medical use of X-ray and Magnetic Resonance Imaging for diagnosis of illness is subject to the principles of justification and optimization for the protection of exposed individuals. Medical X-ray and Magnetic Resonance Imaging accounts for the largest exposure of humans to man-made ionizing radiation. Exposure of individuals can lead to long-term stochastic effects. Over exposure of humans in interventional procedures can also lead to deterministic effects such as skin burns in the short term. Even though, measures are put in place to protect the operators of the X-ray and Magnetic Resonance Imaging equipment, including radiologists, there are no systems to protect patients undergoing radiological examinations. To circumvent this problem therefore, this study was conducted to determine whether safety precautionary measures necessary for shielding patients contact to unsafe dose of radioactivity were being adhered to or not. Aim of the study: To assessment Associated between knowledge of protection for patients and risks factors to Use of Plain X-Ray and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Among Emergency Physicians and health care working in X-Ray department in Public Sector Hospitals at Saudi Arabia 2023. Method¹s: This cross-sectional study was conducted among 300 participants from Public Sector Hospitals kingdom of Saudi Arabia. A validated self-administered questionnaire was used, emergency Physicians and health care working in X-Ray, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging department It includes questions on socio demographic variables, knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use about radiations imaging, during the August to September 2023. Results: show the remaining sociodemographic characteristics of the participant regarding age most of participants 40-50

¹Radiography technician, Taif health cluster-AlWashhaa Primary Health Care Center, Saudi Arabia.

²Radiography technician, Khulies PHC MOHAMMED ALTAYYARI, Saudi Arabia.

³X- Ray technician. Primary health care center in Talaa, Saudi Arabia.

⁴X-Ray Technical, Al Thagar Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

⁵Radiography technician, Khulies PHC, Saudi Arabia.

⁶X-RAY Technician, MOH MAKKAH, Saudi Arabia.

⁷X-RAY Technician, MOH TAIF, Saudi Arabia.

⁸x-ray Technician, Quality officer for radiology departments in the Dawadmi area, Saudi Arabia.

⁹Radiography Technician, AL NOOR SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, Saudi Arabia.

¹⁰Ray technician, Assistant management for health affairs compliance, Saudi Arabia.

¹¹Radiologist, king salman bin abdulaziz medical cityNon-doctoral gynecologist, Saudi Arabia.

years were (33.0%) the gender majority of participants were(59.0%) were male the department the most of participant radiology were (44.0%).**Conclusion:** The emergency Physicians and health care working in the public sector tertiary care hospitals of Saudi Arabia seem to lack the knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging regarding the hazards of radiations and the necessary safety measures required to be undertaken during radiations imaging.

Keywords: Associated, knowledge, protection, patients, risks factors X-Ray, Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Emergency Physicians, Hospitals, Saudi Arabia.

Introduction

Emergency Physicians and health care working in X-Ray department in Public Sector Hospitals at Saudi Arabia 2023 In November 1895, Von Rontgen of Wurzburg, Germany, discovered the X-ray while working with a Crookes' tube [1]. In 1896, Henri Becquerel also discovered radioactivity while working on X-ray [2]. Radiology is the scientific use of X-rays and other high energy radiations for diagnosis and treatment [3]. Radioactive material or device is any substance that emits electromagnetic waves. [4] The emission of electromagnetic particles is called radiation and the disintegration or breaking down of the atoms into ions is called ionization.[5] Electromagnetic waves that are capable of disintegrating atoms into ions are known as ionizing radiations. The knowledge of the harmful effects of ionizing radiation has since been documented.[6] However, accidents that result in people getting injured still persist irrespective of the considerable development in radiation safety [7]. Every material in nature has energy called the latent energy, which is an inherent property of the material. All materials have a fundamental elementary unit known as the atom. Each atom has subdivisions know as protons, neutrons and electrons.[8] When the atoms of a particular substance have an unequal number of protons and neutrons, the substance is rendered unstable in electromagnetic energy and will therefore emit the excess energy in order to become stable. A radioactive material is therefore any substance that is unstable due to an unequal number of protons and neutrons and will release energy in a process called radioactive decay in order to be stable. [9] The increasing use of imaging methods has led to discussions regarding excessive and unnecessary use. The discussions are mostly centered on increased healthcare cost, exposure to radiation, reactions to contrast material (allergy, contrast-induced nephropathy, nephrogenic systemic fibrosis), and crowding in hospitals related to tests [10] Knowledge among patients regarding the effects of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Among emergency Physicians and health care working X-ray imaging, therefore, becomes important. This awareness may help to necessitate the development of a more complete doctor patient dialogue and effective patient participation in the clinical decision-making process [11]. By having the awareness of the effects of imaging procedure that is being conducted, the patient will tend to force the physician to explain the rationale behind his decision which will encourage a more justified use of imaging in patient evaluation (where benefits outweigh the risks).[12] In addition, more elaborate doctorpatient interaction due to better awareness may also diminish the tendency of physicians to avoid seeking informed consent, a tendency which has been reported frequently in the literature. Surveying patients' knowledge and experiences, and documenting their views regarding the services provided to them would, therefore, provide valuable insight which can help to improve the quality and safety of the healthcare system [13]

Literature Review

While radiations are extremely useful diagnostically, a study conducted in the UK estimated that up to 20% of medical X-rays ordered are not beneficial and only add to the

unnecessary exposure in patients, contributing to 100-250 cases of cancer each year in the region [14]

According to the study published by Papanicolas et al.2018, in high-income countries the average number of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and mean computed tomography (CT) scans were 82 and 151 per 1000 persons, respectively. These numbers were 118 and 245 in the United States, respectively, and in terms of the number of radiological imaging, the United States is the second country with the highest rate of MRI and CT technology use, following Japan [15]

Study by OECD et al, 2015 in Turkey shows similar characteristics to the high-income countries in terms of overuse of radiological imaging methods. According to the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development European Union (EU) Health Statistics report, between 2011 and 2014, Turkey ranked first in the number of MRI scans and 8th in the number of CT scans. The EU average increase in the use of CT was 49%, while the increase was 60% in Turkey. The EU average increase in the use of MRI was 38%, while Turkey had a 134% increase [16]

Study by Johary et al., 2018, reported an excess of radiation-induced cataracts for technologists who received an eye lens dose of 55.7 mGy on average with the interquartile range from 23.6 to 69.0 mGy. The excess risk for cataract associated with radiation exposure from low-dose and low dose-rate occupational exposures [17]

In Pakistan no study has yet been conducted to evaluate knowledge of X-ray imaging among the patients. also need to evaluate the necessary safety measures undertaken during X-ray imaging in these hospitals, and the perception of patients regarding the importance of these measures.[18] Currently, there is a lack of data on radiation exposure delivered to patients in Saudi Arabia, although radiobiology researchers and other researchers have addressed the association between the relatively high doses from CT and stochastic and deterministic effects. Nevertheless, still, there is a need to optimize the dose by introducing the diagnostic reference level .[19]

Staff exposure has a high amount of variability, according to Morcillo et al. 2022, probably due to the varied level of complexity [20]. According to the linear no-threshold (LNT), any radiation dose can cause biological effects (DNA damage) that may be harmful to the exposed person, and the magnitude or probability of these effects is directly proportional to the dose (delayed effects).[21] Somatic, genetic, and teratogenicity effects are the three types of effects. [22]

Rational .

Exposure to radiation deposits energy that can ionise the media and cause tissue reactions at specific thresholds, and the intensity of the tissue reaction rises as the doses rise. The radiation damage at higher doses can lead to observable early effects and clinical symptoms. Cell death or dysfunction is a biological process for tissue responses. The overall knowledge of the patients visiting tertiary care government hospitals of Saudi Arabia regarding radiation and its hazards is unsatisfactory. Safety protocols are less implemented in these hospitals, probably due to limited of the Knowledge, to ensure the protection of patients from unnecessary repeated radiation exposure, educating patients as well as emergency Physicians and health care working may prove to be beneficial. Public awareness programs should be conducted on a regular basis, where electronic media could play a central role. Healthcare providers should be taught to make a justified decision of exposing their patient to radiation only when the benefit outweighs the risk.

Aim of the study

To assessment the knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging among emergency Physicians and health care working in X-Ray department in Public Sector Tertiary Hospitals at Saudi Arabia 2022.

Methodology

Study Design

A Cross-sectional descriptive study

Study area

The study was carried out in Public Sector Tertiary Hospitals at Saudi Arabia, Saudi Arabia It has a holy value for all Muslims worldwide who travel to it annually to perform Hajj and to visit the Holy Masjid and Kaaba towards which Muslims turn in prayers .

Study Population

The study was conducted among emergency Physicians and health care working in X-Ray department in Public Sector Tertiary Hospitals at Saudi Arabia during the period of study in 2022 .

Selection criteria:

A- Inclusion criteria:

- The study included emergency Physicians and health care working who in the radiology department to X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging and were willing to participate in the study.
- Both males and females.
- All nationalities.

Exclusion criteria:

• We excluded emergency Physicians and health care working who refused to participate, had neurological disease (which made them unable to understand and answer our questions), did not have the capacity to give informed consent, and/or if they were unable to understand the communication language.

Sampling technique:

The researcher used Multi-stage random sampling technique, by using random number generator. Then simple random sampling technique was applied to select the Public Sector Tertiary Hospitals. Also, convenience sampling technique was utilized to select the participants in the study.

Data collection tool:

The questions which were used in the survey were based on similar studies in the literature and on past experience. The questionnaire was designed to find the answers to the following three questions:

- 1. Do emergency doctors and health care working consider their level of knowledge sufficient on imaging methods?
- 2. Do emergency doctors and health care working evaluate the risks associated with the radiological test, before ordering an imaging method?
- 3. Do emergency doctors and health care working explain the risks associated with the imaging method to the patients, and discuss the risks and benefits of the imaging with the patients?

Data collection technique:

The questionnaire consists of parts .

The **first part** of the survey contained data socio-demographic characteristics on the specialties of physicians and health care working the total duration of their work in the relevant specialties .

In the **second part** of the questionnaire, physicians were asked to evaluate their knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging "little", "moderate", "good" and "very good."

In the **third part** of the survey, the physicians were asked to indicate one or more sources from which they obtained information on imaging methods.

The comprehensibility and clarity of the items in the questionnaire were tested by emergency Physicians and health care working, physicians from any specialty of internal sciences, physicians from any specialty of surgical sciences, radiologists by face-to-face interview. In order not to affect the results, the answers of these physicians were excluded from the study. Those who did not complete the questionnaire.

Data entry and analysis:

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 24.0 was used for data entry and analysis. Descriptive statistics (e.g., number, percentage) and analytic statistics using Chi-Square tests (χ^2) to test for the association and the difference between two categorical variables were applied. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Pilot study:

Was piloted among 20 participants, after permission was taken through from the researcher, with some modification and preamble letter was issued to explain the aim of the study, request to participate, and appreciation for a response. Then, the questionnaire was validated by three consultants. A pilot study was conducted in one PHC in the same sector due to the similarity to the target group using the same questionnaire to test the methodology of the study. As a feedback, the questionnaire was clear and no defect was detected in the methodology.

Ethical considerations:

The ethical approval for this study was obtained from the ethical committee for health research (2022). The objectives of the study were explained to the participants and confidentiality was assured. Participation was voluntary. A written consent was obtained from the participants. Permission from the X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging was obtained; permission from the Directorate Public Sector Tertiary Hospitals.

Budget: Self-funded

Result

	Ν	%			
Age					
<30	57	19			
30-40.	81	27			
40-50.	99	33			
>50	63	21			
Gender	Gender				
Male	177	59			
Female	123	41			
Marital status					
Single	81	27			

Table 1: Distribution of socio-demographic characteristics of participant .(n-300)

Married	156	52		
Divorced	33	11		
Widow	30	10		
Department				
Radiology	132	44		
Emergency department	117	39		
Radiotherapy	51	17		
Medical specialty (cadre)				
Doctor	57	19		
Nurse	63	21		
Imaging scientist	81	27		
Radiographer	33	11		
Physicist	39	13		
Biomedical engineer	27	9		
Length of practice (in years)				
<10 Years	117	39		
>10 Years	183	61		

The study included 300 patients, table 1 show the remaining socio-demographic characteristics of the participant regarding age most of participants 40-50 years were (33.0%) followed by 30-40 years were (27.0%) while , regarding the gender majority of participants were(59.0%) were male while female were (41.0%), regarding the marital status the most of participant were (52.0%) married while single were (27.0%) , regarding the department the most of participant radiology were (44.0%) while emergency department were (39.0%) while radiotherapy were (17.0%), regarding medical specialty most of participant imaging scientist were (27.0%) while nurse were (21.0%) while doctor were (19.0%) but the radiographer were (11.0%), regarding the length of practice (in years) most of participant > 10 Years were (61.0%) while <10 years were (39.0%).

Table 2: Distribution of knowledge	of Risks	Associated	with	the Us	se of	Plain	X-Ray,
and Magnetic Resonance Imaging							

Knowledge of Risks Associated	Ν	%		
How can you assess your own level of knowledge on imaging methods?				
Very little	36	12		
Moderate	99	33		
Good	144	48		
Very good	21	7		
What is the source of your information on im	aging methods? You	ı can select		
multiple choices.				
Medicine school training	36	12		
Specialty training	96	32		
Individual interest- based research	54	18		
Radiological courses or seminars	87	29		
Other	27	9		
Do you routinely consider the risks associated	d with direct radiogr	aphy for the		
patient before ordering	0			

Yes	213	71			
No	87	29			
Do you routinely consider the risks associated with computed tomography for the					
patient before ordering					
Yes	201	67			
No	99	33			
Do you routinely consider the risks associated with mag	gnetic resonan	ce imaging			
for the patient before ordering	1				
Yes	195	65			
No	105	35			
Do you routinely pay attention to radiation exposure be	efore you orde	r a direct			
radiography	1				
Yes	231	77			
No	69	23			
Do you routinely pay attention to whether the examination	tion was perfo	rmed			
already for the same indication before ordering direct	radiography				
Yes	207	69			
No	93	31			
Do you routinely pay attention to radiation exposure, b	efore you orde	er a			
computed tomography scan	1				
Yes	243	81			
No	57	19			
Do you routinely pay attention to contrast-induced nep	hropathy, befo	ore you order			
a computed tomography scan					
Yes	231	77			
No	69	23			
Do you routinely pay attention to contrast agent allergy, before you order a					
computed tomography scan					
Yes	255	85			
No	45	15			

The results presented in table (2) showed distribution of knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging regarding can you assess your own level of knowledge on imaging methods the majority of participant answer good were (48.0%) followed by moderate were (33.0%) while very little were (12.0%) but very good were (7.0%), regarding the source of your information on imaging methods the majority of participant answer Specialty training were (32.0%) followed by radiological courses or seminars were (29.0%) while individual interest-based research were (18.0%) while medicine school training were (12.0%), regarding routinely consider the risks associated with direct radiography for the patient before ordering the majority of participant answer Yes were (71.0%) followed by No were (29.0%), regarding routinely consider the risks associated with computed tomography for the patient before ordering the majority of participant answer Yes were (67.0%) followed by No were (33.0%), regarding routinely consider the risks associated with magnetic resonance imaging for the patient before ordering the majority of participant answer Yes were (65.0%)followed by No were (35.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to radiation exposure before you order a direct radiography the majority of participant answer Yes were (77.0%) followed by No were (23.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to whether the examination was performed already for the same indication before ordering direct radiography the majority of participant answer Yes were (69.0%) followed by No were (31.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to radiation exposure, before you order a computed tomography scan the majority of participant Answer Yes were (81.0%) followed

by No were (19.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to contrast-induced nephropathy, before you order a computed tomography scan the majority of participant answer Yes were (77.0%) followed by No were (23.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to contrast agent allergy, before you order a computed tomography scan the majority of participant answer Yes were (85.0%) followed by No were (15.0%)

Table 2 continued Knowledge of Risks Associa	ted
--	-----

Table 2 continued Knowledge of Risks Associated	Ν	%			
Do you routinely pay attention to whether the examin	ation was perf	formed			
already for the same indication before ordering computed tomography?					
Yes	177	59			
No	123	41			
Do you routinely pay attention to radiation exposure	, before you re	equest a			
magnetic resonance imaging?					
Yes	198	66			
No	102	34			
Do you routinely pay attention to contrast-induced ne	phropathy, be	efore you			
request a magnetic resonance imaging?.					
Yes	225	75			
No	75	25			
Do you routinely pay attention to contrast agent aller	gy, before you	request a			
magnetic resonance imaging					
Yes	201	67			
No	99	33			
Do you routinely pay attention to whether the examin	ation was per	formed			
already for the same indication before requesting mag	gnetic resonan	ce imaging			
Yes	147	49			
No	153	51			
Do you routinely inform the patient regarding the rist	ks associated v	with the			
imaging method and discuss the risks and necessity w	ith the patient	before			
ordering direct radiography?	7	1			
Yes	213	71			
No	87	29			
Do you routinely inform the patient regarding the risk	ks associated v	with the			
imaging method and discuss the risks and necessity w	ith the patient	before			
ordering computed tomography?					
Yes	186	62			
No	114	38			
Do you routinely inform the patient regarding the ris	ks associated v	with the			
imaging method and discuss the risks and necessity w	ith the patient	before			
ordering magnetic resonance imaging					
Yes	222	74			
No	78	26			

Table 2 continued Knowledge of Risks Associated showed regarding routinely pay attention to whether the examination was performed already for the same indication before

ordering computed tomography the majority of participant answer Yes were (59.0%) followed by No were (41.0%), regarding routinely consider the risks associated with computed tomography for the patient before ordering the majority of participant answer Yes were (67.0%) followed by No were (33.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to radiation exposure, before you request a magnetic resonance imaging the majority of participant answer Yes were (66.0%) followed by No were (34.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to contrast-induced nephropathy, before you request a magnetic resonance imaging the majority of participant answer Yes were (75.0%) followed by No were (25.0%) , regarding routinely pay attention to contrast agent allergy, before you request a magnetic resonance imaging the majority of participant answer Yes were (67.0%) followed by No were (33.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to whether the examination was performed already for the same indication before requesting magnetic resonance imaging the majority of participant Answer No were (51.0%) followed by Yes were (49.0%), regarding routinely inform the patient regarding the risks associated with the imaging method and discuss the risks and necessity with the patient before ordering direct radiography the majority of participant answer Yes were (71.0%) followed by No were (29.0%), regarding routinely inform the patient regarding the risks associated with the imaging method and discuss the risks and necessity with the patient before ordering computed tomography the majority of participant answer Yes were (62.0%) followed by No were (38.0%), regarding routinely inform the patient regarding the risks associated with the imaging method and discuss the risks and necessity with the patient before ordering magnetic resonance imaging the majority of participant answer Yes were (74.0%) followed by No were (26.0%).

		Knowledge		Score		
		Ν	%	Range	Mean±SD	
Weak Average High Total		57	19			
		111	37	6 15	10 011 2 271	
		132	44	0-13.	10.011±2.271	
		300	100			
Chi- square	X ²	29.94				
	P-value	< 0.001*				

 Table 3: Distribution of knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray,

 Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging

This table shows the majority of participant (44.0%) have high of the knowledge towards risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging followed by (37.0%) of participant average but weak were (19.0%) while Range(6 -15) and Mean \pm SD(10.011 \pm 2.271) X² 29.94 and a significant relation P=0.001.

Figure (1): Distribution of knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging



Discussion

Through this study, we aimed to highlight Knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging among Emergency Physicians and health care working in X-Ray department in Public Sector Tertiary Hospitals

In Public Sector Tertiary Hospitals at Saudi Arabia 2022, almost half of all ED visits in the Saudi Arabia resulted in at least one imaging examination, and about 1 in 6 patients were ordered to undergo CT [23]. MRI, which is one of the advanced imaging modalities, has been recently used by the emergency services especially in neuroimaging [24], similar In the study by Rosenkrantz et al., it was found that the use of CT as an advanced imaging method increased without any significant reduction in ultrasonography and plain radiography in the diagnosis of some diseases such as pneumonia and appendicitis. Furthermore, it was determined that use of diagnostic modalities including multiple imaging methods such as CT and ultrasonography or CT, radiography, and ultrasonography in the diagnosis of urinary calculi increased at the same visit [25]. EMPs should have a good knowledge of the imaging methods often used. [26] The study included 300 participant show the remaining socio-demographic characteristics of the participant age most of participants 40-50 years were (33.0%), regarding the gender majority of participants were (59.0%) were male, marital status the most of participant were (52.0%) married, department the most of participant radiology were (44.0%) while emergency department were (39.0%), medical specialty most of participant imaging scientist were (27.0%), length of practice (in years) most of participant > 10 Years were (61.0%) (See table 1)

Our results show that a high percentage of the study participants was aware of the term knowledge of Risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging, the study population demonstrated a high level of knowledge regarding the procedure and the harmful effects of X-ray imaging. (See table 2) regarding can you assess your own level of knowledge on imaging methods the majority of participant answer good were (48.0%), the source of your information on imaging methods the majority of participant answer Specialty training were (32.0%), regarding routinely consider the risks associated with direct radiography for the patient before ordering the majority of participant answer Yes were (71.0%), regarding routinely consider

the risks associated with computed tomography for the patient before ordering the majority of participant answer Yes were (67.0%), routinely consider the risks associated with magnetic resonance imaging for the patient before ordering the majority of participant answer Yes were (65.0%, routinely pay attention to radiation exposure before you order a direct radiography the majority of participant answer Yes were (77.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to contrast-induced nephropathy, before you order a computed tomography scan the majority of participant answer Yes were (77.0%) followed by No were (23.0%), regarding routinely pay attention to contrast agent allergy, before you order a computed tomography scan the majority of participant answer Yes were (85.0%) followed by No were (15.0%)

These results are differing from those that we found in the literature. A study conducted in Hong Kong reported that 87.9% of the local patients were unaware of the fact that plain X-rays contain radiations [27]. Another study reported similar results, where 34% of participants did not know that imaging may expose them to radiations [28]. As opposed to these, a study reported 70.8% of participants showing an overall understanding of the imaging technique that they were undergoing [29]. Our study also demonstrated that the high were (44.0%) emergency Physicians and health care working in Saudi Arabia were Knowledge to the hazards of X-rays, showing of Knowledgeable to the risks of having cancer, anemia, burns, cataract, and fertility problems (Table 3). Similar findings have been reported in the literature. A study conducted in Nigeria reported a relatively higher percentage of participants (86.7%) who did not know about the dangers of X-ray imaging [30]. Other studies have reported underestimation of cancer risk by the patients associated with imaging [31, 32], in our study shows the majority of participant (44,0%) have high of the knowledge towards risks Associated with the Use of Plain X-Ray, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging followed by (37.0%) of participant average but weak were (19.0%) while Range(6-15) and Mean ±SD(10.011±2.271) X2 29.94 and a significant relation P=0.001. (See label 3)

Conclusion

This study demonstrated average radiation protection practices despite good knowledge of radiation hazards among the participants, but radiation exposure and there is therefore need for periodic in-service training and regular monitoring of occupationally exposed health workers to ensure compliance with radiation safety regulations. The overall knowledge of the participants in the Public Sector Tertiary Hospitals at Saudi Arabia regarding radiation and its hazards is satisfactory. Safety protocols are less implemented in these hospitals, probably due to limited resources. To ensure the protection of participants from unnecessary repeated radiation exposure, educating patients as well as the health care providers may prove to be beneficial. Public awareness programs should be conducted on a regular basis, where electronic media could play a central role. Healthcare providers should be taught to make a justified decision of exposing their patient to radiation only when the benefit outweighs the risk. It has been suggested that participants exposure history must be maintained and updated after each exposure. Informed consent should be sought and a clear explanation of the imaging and its associated risks should be provided to each patient prior to the procedure.

References

- 1. Prevots, D. R., Marshall, J. E., Wagner, D., & Morimoto, K. (2023). Global epidemiology of nontuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease: A review. Clinics in Chest Medicine.
- 2. Prevots, D. R., Marshall, J. E., Wagner, D., & Morimoto, K. (2023). Global epidemiology of nontuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease: A review. Clinics in Chest Medicine.
- 3. Jacoby, D. (2018). From Bogotá to Beijing: Development and life after globalization. Rowman & Littlefield.
- 4. Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2022). Medical 4.0 technologies for healthcare: Features, capabilities, and applications. Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems, 2, 12-30..

- 5. Fasham, J. (2023). Clinical and molecular delineation of neurodevelopmental disorders within genetically isolated communities. University of Exeter (United Kingdom).
- 6. Barragán-Montero, A., Bibal, A., Dastarac, M. H., Draguet, C., Valdes, G., Nguyen, D., ... & Lee, J. A. (2022). Towards a safe and efficient clinical implementation of machine learning in radiation oncology by exploring model interpretability, explainability and data-model dependency. Physics in Medicine & Biology, 67(11), 11TR01.
- Li, C., Dos Reis, A. A., Ansari, A., Bertelli, L., Carr, Z., Dainiak, N., ... & Zhang, J. (2022). Public health response and medical management of internal contamination in past radiological or nuclear incidents: A narrative review. Environment international, 163, 107222.
- Albuquerque, G., Cruz, A., Carvalho, D., Mayrink, N., Pinheiro, B., Campos, A., ... & Valentim, R. (2022). A method based on non-ionizing microwave radiation for ancillary diagnosis of osteoporosis: a pilot study. BioMedical Engineering OnLine, 21(1), 1-14.
- Obrador, E., Salvador-Palmer, R., Villaescusa, J. I., Gallego, E., Pellicer, B., Estrela, J. M., & Montoro, A. (2022). Nuclear and radiological emergencies: biological effects, countermeasures and biodosimetry. Antioxidants, 11(6), 1098.
- Broder, J. S., Oliveira J. e Silva, L., Bellolio, F., Freiermuth, C. E., Griffey, R. T., Hooker, E., ... & Carpenter, C. R. (2022). Guidelines for reasonable and appropriate Care in the Emergency Department 2 (GRACE-2): low-risk, recurrent abdominal pain in the emergency department. Academic Emergency Medicine, 29(5), 526-560.
- 11. Emmelhainz, I. (2023). The Sky Is Incomplete: Travel Chronicles in Palestine. Vanderbilt University Press.
- 12. Baloescu, C. (2018). Diagnostic imaging in emergency medicine: how much is too much?. Annals of emergency medicine, 72(6), 637-643.
- 13. Tai, H. Y., & Wu, S. H. (2022). Infrastructure of the Medical Information System. In Digital Health Care in Taiwan: Innovations of National Health Insurance (pp. 111-128). Cham: Springer International Publishing..
- Yurt, A., Çavuşoğlu, B., & Günay, T. (2014). Evaluation of awareness on radiation protection and knowledge about radiological examinations in healthcare professionals who use ionized radiation at work. Mol Imaging Radionucl Ther, 23(2), 48-53.
- 15. Papanicolas, I., Woskie, L. R., & Jha, A. K. (2018). Health care spending in the United States and other high-income countries. Jama, 319(10), 1024-1039.
- 16. Demir, M. C., & Akkas, M. (2019). Awareness of risks associated with the use of plain X-Ray, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging among emergency physicians and comparison with that of other physicians: a survey from Turkey. Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research, 25, 6587.
- Johary, Y. H., Aamry, A., Albarakati, S., AlSohaim, A., Aamri, H., Tamam, N., ... & Bradley, D. (2022). Staff radiation exposure at four radiology departments in the Aseer region of Saudi Arabia. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 200, 110302.
- Naqvi, S. T. S., Batool, S. W., Rizvi, S. A. H., & Farhan, K. (2019). Awareness of hazards of X-ray imaging and perception regarding necessary safety measures to be taken during X-ray imaging procedures among patients in public sector tertiary hospitals of Karachi, Pakistan. Cureus, 11(5).
- Sulieman, A., Elnour, A., Mahmoud, M. Z., Alkhorayef, M., Hamid, O., & Bradley, D. A. (2020). Diagnostic reference level for computed tomography abdominal examinations: A multicentre study. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 174, 108963.
- Morcillo, A. B., Huerga, C., Bayón, J., López, A., Corredoira, E., Hernández, T., ... & Alejo, L. (2022). Assessment of occupational exposure in the main paediatric interventional radiology procedures. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 198(7), 386-392.
- 21. Costantini, D., & Borremans, B. (2019). The linear no-threshold model is less realistic than threshold or hormesis-based models: An evolutionary perspective. Chemico-biological interactions, 301, 26-33.
- Balog, M., Anderson, A. C., Heffer, M., Korade, Z., & Mirnics, K. (2022). Effects of psychotropic medication on somatic sterol biosynthesis of adult mice. Biomolecules, 12(10), 1535.
- Alalshaikh, A., Alyahya, B., Almohawes, M., Alnowiser, M., Ghandour, M., Alyousef, M., ... & Alageel, M. (2022). Emergency Medicine Physicians' Views on Providing Unnecessary Management in the Emergency Department. Open Access Emergency Medicine, 183-193..

- Hussain, S., Mubeen, I., Ullah, N., Shah, S. S. U. D., Khan, B. A., Zahoor, M., ... & Sultan, M. A. (2022). Modern diagnostic imaging technique applications and risk factors in the medical field: A review. BioMed Research International, 2022.
- 25. Rosenkrantz, A. B., Hanna, T. N., Babb, J. S., & Duszak Jr, R. (2017). Changes in emergency department imaging: perspectives from national patient surveys over two decades. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 14(10), 1282-1290.
- Dasanayaka, C. H., Gunarathne, N., Murphy, D. F., & Nagirikandalage, P. (2022). Triggers for and barriers to the adoption of environmental management practices by small and medium-sized enterprises: A critical review. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 29(4), 749-764.
- 27. Rathan, R., Hamdy, H., Kassab, S. E., Salama, M. N. F., Sreejith, A., & Gopakumar, A. (2022). Implications of introducing case based radiological images in anatomy on teaching, learning and assessment of medical students: a mixed-methods study. BMC Medical Education, 22(1), 723..
- 28. Kwok, C., Degen, C., Moradi, N., & Stacey, D. (2022). Nurse-led telehealth interventions for symptom management in patients with cancer receiving systemic or radiation therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Supportive Care in Cancer, 30(9), 7119-7132.
- Paech, D., Nagel, A. M., Schultheiss, M. N., Umathum, R., Regnery, S., Scherer, M., ... & Niesporek, S. C. (2020). Quantitative dynamic oxygen 17 MRI at 7.0 T for the cerebral oxygen metabolism in glioma. Radiology, 295(1), 181-189.
- Mitchell, E., Abdur-Razzaq, H., Anyebe, V., Lawanson, A., Onyemaechi, S., Chukwueme, N., ... & Ubochioma, E. (2022). Wellness on Wheels (WoW): Iterative evaluation and refinement of mobile computer-assisted chest x-ray screening for TB improves efficiency, yield, and outcomes in Nigeria..
- Pooli, A., Johnson, D. C., Shirk, J., Markovic, D., Sadun, T. Y., Sisk Jr, A. E., ... & Reiter, R. E. (2021). Predicting pathological tumor size in prostate cancer based on multiparametric prostate magnetic resonance imaging and preoperative findings. Journal of Urology, 205(2), 444-451.
- 32. Alhashem, R., Byrne, S., & Hall, D. (2022). Fifteen-minute consultation: Diagnosing serious pathology in children with headaches presenting to the emergency department. Archives of Disease in Childhood-Education and Practice.