### **Migration Letters**

Volume: 21, No: S6 (2024), pp. 1811-1829 ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

# **Intensity Of Drug Consumption In Inpatients In Therapeutic Communities Of The Ecuadorian Austro**

Dolores Amparito Rodríguez Sánchez<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Carmen López-Sánchez<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Fernando García-Castillo<sup>3</sup>

### Abstract

Introduction: The intensity of the consumption of narcotic substances constitutes a serious social problem that affects the quality of life of those who consume them consecutively, with implications for physical and mental health. It is important to approach it from an informative and preventive perspective to understand the associated risks and promote healthy decisions. Objective: Identify the intensity of drug consumption in inpatients in Therapeutic Communities of Southern Ecuador. Methodology: A quantitative descriptive association study was carried out, the sample was non-probabilistic, 480 inmates, an express questionnaire prepared by the researcher was applied to collect the sociodemographic variables that allow characterizing the population and the drug consumption detection test. alcohol, tobacco and substances (ASSIST), for the analysis contingency tables and the Chi-square technique were used, the significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ was selected for the investigation. Results: It is shown that the variables age, sex, origin, education, marital status, occupation, economic income and length of confinement, with respect to the intensity of consumption of tobacco, alcohol, coca, tranquilizers, hallucinogens and opiates, for all cases The level of significance (p) (0.00) is less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis is accepted that the variables are dependent and there is a significant relationship between them. Conclusions: The consumption of substances such as alcohol, tobacco, coca, tranquilizers, hallucinogens and opiates in all consumer patients is dependent on sociodemographic variables and is significantly associated.

Keywords: Intensity, drug use, adult.

### Introduction

According to the Technical Secretariat for Comprehensive Drug Prevention (SETED) (2018) in 2015, 8,693 (13.42%) <sup>1</sup>of the deaths occurred were related to drug use, of these, 5.18% are attributed to direct deaths, while the remaining 94.82% correspond to indirect deaths. This estimate concludes that 72.94% of the deaths were related to tobacco use, 25.38% to alcohol consumption, and 1.68% to the consumption of other drugs. From January 2017 to July of the same year, the 15,914 first outpatient visits were related to the consumption or prevention of drug use and consumption, with a greater representativeness in men with a difference between them of 56%.

With regard to the number of outpatient visits according to the type of drug, it is indicated that in the aforementioned period, 27.97% of heroin use cases were concentrated in the age range of 15 to 17 years (46.14%) and 18 to 25 years (46.88%). In cases of polydrug use, these represent 16.72% of the total (2,662), and are concentrated in the groups of 15 to 17 years of age (31.14%) and 18 to 25 years of age (48.84%); Finally, visits for alcohol

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup><u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1473-7885</u>Universidad de Cuenca-Ecuador

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup><u>http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3411-5546</u> Universidad de Alicante-España

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup><u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0787-132X</u> Universidad de Alicante-España

consumption accounted for 14.40% (2,292 visits) (Garcés, n.d. 2018). In the same vein, it is recorded that hospital discharges related to drug consumption for the year 2015 were 3,519 cases, of which discharges related to alcohol consumption represented 48%.

Regardless of the high figures that are exhibited regarding the consumption of one drug or another, in the case of Ecuador, despite the advances in the country's constitution, there is a prohibitionist and exclusionary view towards people who consume drugs, mainly illegal drugs. In this sense, the presence of differences in the attitudes of health professionals towards people who use drugs becomes like stigma that labels (Otálvaro et al., 2019).

From the above analysis, the importance of the problem of drug use in the global attention is revealed, which is why several researchers: López and Segarra (2015); Gaete & Araya (2017); Coll Capdevila and Villanueva Blasco (2019); Botero and Delfino (2019); Rojas Jara et al (2019) and Segarra Saavedra and Villena Alarcón (2020) have taken on the task of addressing the issue from different areas of knowledge, some related to psychology, education, social sciences and even the analysis of scientific production around addictions and the factors associated with their consumption where different factors related to the environment such as the characteristics of teaching entities (urban-rural location; composition by sex), as well as parental consumption, in addition to their level of education, are related to differential possibilities of drug use.

Nowadays, when we talk about addictions, we think directly of drugs, without taking into account that the pathognomonic symptom of all addictive disorders is a certain behavior without restraint or control, which is established as stereotyped and self-destructive for the subject in any of its different fundamental dimensions. This complex symptom, such as the spontaneity of some behavior that may initially be a pleasurable habit, immediately mutates into a dismissive one and, gaining ground in the individual's life, becomes unique and dominant capable of triggering violent acts (Noroña Salcedo et al., 2022).

In non-toxic addictions, where there is no substance involved, there is also an addiction to a certain behavior that the subject cannot control, as is the case with compulsive gambling. On the contrary, in toxic addictions there is not only the addiction to the substance itself, but also an underlying behavioral addiction that is always present and that will become noticeable, especially in long-term treatments or cessation. The progress of any addiction or dependence can be understood as part of a brain learning process, in the sense that the interactions between behaviors, psychoactive substances, the brain pathways involved and the associated stimuli, produce lasting changes that are externalized in psychic, emotional and behavioral symptoms.

An individual who has a behavioral addiction and/or consumes a substance, whether activating or depressive of the CNS, feels a pleasurable psycho-emotional effect associated with the biological reward and, to the extent that it is repeated, it reinforces, via activation, brain circuits that increase the probability that this behavior will be repeated. The brain has systems that have progressed and become more complex to govern and direct behavior toward stimuli essential for survival. Proof of this are the primary emotions and their related stimuli, representatives of these systems indissolubly linked to the survival of the individual and the species. Thus, the primary emotions represented by aspects such as hunger, thirst, fear, aggressiveness and sex have their own specific pre-established pathways, do not go through cognition and always have a psychic and/or somatic correlate that, at the same time, are determinants of complex behaviors for the realization of the respective purposes (Rey 2019)

In toxic and non-toxic addictions, then, these same pathways are artificially activated, but with greater intensity, producing an increase in motivation to continue with this behavior. In this way, dependence is derived from a complex interaction of the

physiological effects of behaviors and substances of abuse on these brain systems associated with motivation, primary emotions and the reward system, leading to a reinforcement of psychobiological gratification as a brain learning process, which originates an automatic, irrational and exaggerated connection. between addictive behaviors, substances, and signals related to them (Franco et al., 2021).

Regarding toxic addictions, it is known that there are a large number of different drugs of abuse that have great molecular diversity and two mechanisms of action: primary or direct and secondary or indirect. The first mechanism is specific to each drug, whether psychostimulant or depressant, and the second mechanism is the result of the activation of the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway, located in the midbrain and committed to gratification and reward as the main circuit in the development of emotional dependence and withdrawal in both types of addictions (Momeñe et al., 2021). The mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway begins in the ventral tegmental area and its activation during an acute episode causes an increase in the rate of dopamine release and an upward regulation in the levels of Cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate (cAMP) in the nucleus accumbens and the extended amygdala, areas that are strongly related to reward and learning for consumption in the nervous system (Sequeira-Cordero et al., 2022)

According to (Jerez B. & Acosta M., 2022; Momeñe et al., 2021) The direct effect of psychostimulants such as amphetamine and cocaine, inhalants, directly increases the release of dopamine in this pathway by inhibiting its transporter or by increasing exocytosis, only in the case of amphetamine. Opioids act on mu-like opioid receptors and inhibit GABAergic interneurons and excite dopaminergic neurons from the midbrain cross-section to the level of the superior colliculus. Alcohol and nicotine activate the local opioid enkephalin circuits in this area and stimulate your neurons. Nicotine also acts directly on nicotinic receptors located in neurons in the midbrain cross-section at the level of the superior colliculus and nucleus accumbens, and stimulates mesolimbic dopaminergic activity and serotiniin production (Corvalán B., 2017)

On the other hand, cannabinoids operate on CB1-like receptors located in dopaminergic neurons in the cross-section of the midbrain at the level of the superior colliculus and the nucleus accumbens; and phencyclidine and ecstasy increase the release of glutamate in this area which, in turn, stimulates dopaminergic neurons responsible for the mechanisms of adaptation, response, and reward to cholinergic discharge (Nexar-QH & Caleiro Seixas, 2019). Benzodiazepine anxiolytics and alcohol act on GABA A-type receptors in the nucleus accumbens and the prefrontal cortex, which, in turn, modulate the dopaminergic action coming from the midbrain cross-section to the level of the higher colliculi in these areas, causing dysfunctions in some brain systems (Méndez-Díaz et al., 2017)

#### METHODOLOGY

#### **Study Design and Sample Selection**

A quantitative descriptive association study was carried out, the sample was nonprobabilistic, the inmates were invited to participate in the study after having given a clear and concrete explanation as a group, each inmate individually was transferred to a room for the application of the instruments after signing the informed consent, always keeping the privacy and confidentiality of the information.

#### Instrument

Each of the inmates participating in the research was given a questionnaire developed by the researcher for the collection of sociodemographic variables to characterize the population and the Alcohol, Tobacco and Substance Consumption Screening Test (ASSIST), an instrument developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) (Higgins Biddle and Babor, 2018), the same one that made it possible to determine the intensity of drug use.

## Statistical analysis

To analyze the relationship between the variables age, sex, education, origin, marital status, occupation, length of internment and economic income with the intensity of consumption of tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, coca, amphetamines, inhalants, tranquilizers, hallucinogens, opiates and other drugs, contingency tables and the Chi-square technique are used to determine whether the proportions with which the qualitative variables observed in the sample appear whether or not they have a significant relationship with each other, i.e., they are not attributed to chance. The significance level  $\alpha$ =0.05 is selected for the research, or in other words, a significance of 5%. Based on this, the corresponding hypotheses are formulated:

- Null hypothesis (H0): The variables (sociodemographic characteristics and intensity of consumption) are independent and there is no significant relationship between them.
- Alternative hypothesis (H1): The variables (sociodemographic characteristics and intensity of consumption) are dependent and there is a significant relationship between them.

Thus, depending on the significance value obtained from the Chi-square (p) test, the results are interpreted as follows:

- If the significance value of the test  $(p) \le 0.05$ , the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative of dependence and significant relationship between the variables is accepted.
- $\circ$  If the significance value of the test (p) > 0.05, the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative is rejected

## Results

## Variable Age

Table 1 shows that the age variable in their respective ranges, with respect to the intensity of consumption of tobacco, alcohol, coca, tranquilizers, hallucinogens and opiates, for all cases the level of significance (p) (0.00) is less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis that the variables are dependent and there is a significant relationship between them is accepted.

## Table 1

Chi-square Significance for Age Variable (Early, Middle and Late Adulthood) and Intensity of Consumption

Variables		Significance
adulthood)	Intensity of Tobacco Use	0.00
Age (early, middle, and late	Alcohol Consumption Intensity	0.00
adulthood)		
Age (early, middle, and late	Intensity of Cannabis use	0.08
adulthood)	-	
Age (early, middle, and late	Intensity of Coca consumption	0.00
adulthood)		
Age (early, middle, and late	Intensity of amphetamine use	0.06
adulthood)		
Age (early, middle, and late	Intensity of use Inhalants	0.06
adulthood)	•	

Age (early, middle, and late	Intensity of consumption	0.00
adulthood)	Tranquilizers	
Age (early, middle, and late	Intensity of consumption	0.00
adulthood)	Hallucinogens	
Age (early, middle, and late adulthood)	Intensity of Opiate use	0.00

**Table 2**. In general, there is a marked intensity of consumption associated with a younger age, so for all substances patients between the ages of 18 and 30 years (early adulthood) show a greater intensity in the strong desire to consume.

### Table 2

Contingency	Tables for the	Variables Age and	Intensity of	f Consum	otior
0 1		0	2		

		Intensity strong desire to use tobacco					
		mens	ity subli	ig ucone	daily		ai
	_	never	every mont h	every week	or almost daily		
Early, middle, and late adulthoo d	Between 18 and 30 years old Early Adulthoo d	74	4	6	120	1	205
	Between 31 and 45 years old Middle Adulthoo d	131	0	0	37	0	168
_	46 & + Late Adulthoo d	81	0	0	26	0	107
Total		286	4	6	183	1	480
		Intens: alcoho	ity: Stro ol	ng desire	e to consu	me	Tot al
Early,	Between	never	1 or 2 times	every month	every week	daily or almost daily	
middle, and late adulthood	18 and 30 years old Early Adulthoo d	47	1	3	27	127	205
	31 and 45 years old Middle Adulthoo d	58	0	1	0	109	168

	46 & + Late Adulthoo d	36	0	0	1	70	107	
Total		141	1	4	28	306	480	
		Strong	desire t	to consum	ne coca		Total	
Early,	Between	never	1 or 2 times	every month	every week	daily or almost daily		
and late adulthoo d	years old Early Adulthood Between 31 and 45	129	1	1	11	61	2	205
	years old Middle Adulthood 46 & +	96	0	1	0	71	0	168
	Late Adulthood	59	0	0	0	47	1	107
Total		284	1	2	11	179	3	480
		÷						Tot
		Intense	e strong	desire to	use tranc	uilizers		al
Early,	Between	never	1 or 2 times	every month	every week	or almos t daily		
middle, and late adulthoo d	18 and 30 years old Early Adulthood Between 31 and 45	141	2	23	21	13	5	205
	years old Middle Adulthood 46 & +	142	0	0	4	22	0	168
	Late Adulthood	88	0	1	3	15	0	107
Total		371	2	24	28	50	5	480
		Intense	e strong	desire for	r hallucir	logenic u	se	Tot al
		never	1 or 2 times	every month	every week	daily or almos t daily		
Early, middle, and late	Between 18 and 30 years old	164	3	13	9	13	3	205

adulthoo d	Early Adulthood Between 31 and 45 years old Middle Adulthood 46 & + Late	144 97	0	1	3	20	0	168 107
	Adulthood							
Total		405	4	15	12	41	3	480
		Strong	desire	for opioic	l use		Total	
Forly	Potwoon	never	1 or 2 times	every month	every week	daily or almost daily		
middle, and late adulthoo d	18 and 30 years old Early Adulthood Between	184	1	4	12	3	1	205
	years old Middle Adulthood 46 & +	157	0	0	0	11	0	168
	Late Adulthood	98	0	0	0	9	0	107
Total		439	1	4	12	23	1	480

#### Variable Sex

**Table 3** shows that the level of significance (p) (0.04, 0.00 and 0.00 respectively) is less than or equal to 0.05 with respect to the intensity of alcohol, cannabis and tranquilizer consumption, with respect to the intensity of alcohol, cannabis and tranquilizer consumption, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis that the variables are dependent and that there is a significant relationship between them is accepted.

## Table 3

Chi-squa	re Significanc	the for the Sex	Variable and	Intensity	of Consumpti	ion

Variables		Significance
		value(p)
Sex	Intensity of Tobacco Use	0.17
Sex	Alcohol Consumption Intensity	0.04
Sex	Intensity of Cannabis use	0.00
Sex	Intensity of Coca consumption	0.15
Sex	Intensity of amphetamine use	0.48
Sex	Intensity of use Inhalants	0.81
Sex	Intensity of consumption Tranquilizers	0.00
Sex	Intensity of consumption Hallucinogens	0.22
Sex	Intensity of Opiate use	0.07
	—	

**Table 4** shows a significant incidence of male sex in a higher intensity of consumption for each of the substances.

## Table 4

Contingency Tables for the Variables Sex and Intensity of Consumption

Intensity: Strong desire to consume alcohol							
						daily or	
			1 or 2	every	every	almost	
		never	times	month	week	daily	
Sex	Male	104	1	3	28	239	375
	Female	37	0	1	0	67	105
Total		141	1	4	28	306	480
		Intensi	ty: Strong	desire to	use cannal	ois	Total
						daily or	
			1 or 2	every	every	almost	
		never	times	month	week	daily	
Sex	Male	180	2	1	5	187	375
	Female	72	0	0	1	32	105
Total		252	2	1	6	219	480
		Intense	strong de	sire to use	tranquiliz	zers	
						daily or	
			1 or 2	every	every	almost	
		never	times	month	week	daily	
Sex	Male	298	2	23	22	26	4
	Female	73	0	1	6	24	1
Total		371	2	24	28	50	5

## **Education variable (patient's educational level)**

**Table 5** shows that the education variable, with respect to the intensity of consumption of alcohol, cannabis, tranquilizers and hallucinogens, the level of significance (p) (0.00, 0.00, 0.00 and 0.02 respectively) is less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis that the variables are dependent and there is a significant relationship between them is accepted.

### Table 5

Chi-square Significance for the Education and Consumption Intensity Variable

Variables		Significance
		value(p)
Education	Intensity of Tobacco Use	0.40
Education	Alcohol Consumption Intensity	0.00
Education	Intensity of Cannabis use	0.00
Education	Intensity of Coca consumption	0.43
Education	Intensity of amphetamine use	0.78
Education	Intensity of use Inhalants	0.44
Education	Intensity of consumption Tranquilizers	0.00
Education	Intensity of consumption Hallucinogens	0.02
Education	Intensity of Opiate use	0.27

**Table 6** In general, it was found that the lower the educational level, the greater the intensity of consumption, so for all these substances the intensity of the strong desire to consume was associated with the primary and secondary level.

## Table 6

Contingency Tables for the Education and Consumption Intensity Variables

	Intonsity	Steena d	acina ta a	0.00.00000	alaahal		Tota	
	Intensity:	Strong d	esire to c	ousume	alconor		1	
				V		daily or		
			1  or  2	y mont	ovorv	almost		
		never	times	h	week	daily		
Education	Primary	10	$\hat{\Omega}$	2	6 WCCK	101	128	
Luucation	High school	85	1	0	18	101	245	
	Lunivonsity	0J 27	1	0	10	141	102	
		5/	0	2	4	60	105	
	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	-
Total		141	1	4	28	306	480	-
	Intensity:	Strong de	eire to us	a canna	bis		Tota	
	Intensity.	buong ut			.015		1	-
				V		daily or		
			1  or  2	y mont	ovorv	almost		
		never	times	h	week	daily		
Education	Primary	02	1	1	0	34	128	
Luucation	High school	92 100	1	1	5	120	245	
	University	109	1	0	J 1	130	102	
		49	0	0	1	55	105	
	4	2	0	0	0	2	4	-
Total		252	2	1	6	219	480	_
Intense stro	ng desire to use	e tranqui	lizers					Total
				ever				
				У		daily or		
			1  or  2	mont	every	almost		
	D.:	never	times	h	week	daily	-	
Education	Primary	70	1	13	12	30	2	128
	High school	215	1	8	6	13	2	245
	University	84	0	3	9	6	1	103
	4	2	0	0	1	1	0	4
Total		371	2	24	28	50	5	480
	Intense strong	g desire f	for halluc	inogeni	c use			Total
				ever				
				У		daily or		
			1 or 2	mont	every	almost		
		never	times	h	week	daily		
Education	Primary	114	2	2	1	7	2	128
	High school	209	2	8	10	15	1	245
	University	78	0	5	1	19	0	103
	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Total		405	4	15	12	41	3	480

**Origin Variable** 

**Table 7** shows that the origin variable, with respect to the intensity of consumption of alcohol, cannabis, tranquilizers and hallucinogens, for all cases the level of significance (p) (0.00) is less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis that the variables are dependent and there is a significant relationship between them is accepted.

## Table 7

Chi-square Significance for the Variable Origin and Intensity of Consumption

Variables		Significance
		value(p)
Origin	Intensity of Tobacco Use	0.29
Origin	Alcohol Consumption Intensity	0.00
Origin	Intensity of Cannabis use	0.00
Origin	Intensity of Coca consumption	0.52
Origin	Intensity of amphetamine use	0.08
Origin	Intensity of use Inhalants	0.20
Origin	Intensity of consumption	0.00
-	Tranquilizers	
Origin	Intensity of consumption	0.00
	Hallucinogens	
Origin	Intensity of Opiate use	0.65

**Table 8** It is worth noting that for daily or almost daily intensity, alcohol and tranquilizers show a higher frequency of consumption in patients from rural areas.

### Table 8

Contingency Tables for the Variables Origin and Intensity of Consumption

Intensity: Strong desire to consume alcohol							
				ever			
				У		daily or	
			1 or 2	mont	every	almost	
		never	times	h	week	daily	
Origin	Rural	34	0	2	8	155	199
	Urban	107	1	2	20	151	281
Total		141	1	4	28	306	480
	Intens	ity: Stron	g desire t	o use ca	nnabis		Total
				ever			
				У		daily or	
			1 or 2	mont	every	almost	
		never	times	h	week	daily	
Origin	Rural	130	1	1	1	66	199
	Urban	122	1	0	5	153	281
Total		252	2	1	6	219	480
	Intense	e strong d	esire to u	se tranq	uilizers		
				ever			
				У		daily or	
			1 or 2	mont	every	almost	
		never	times	h	week	daily	
Origin	Rural	122	2	16	18	39	2

	Urban	249	0	8	10	11	3	281		
Total		371	2	24	28	50	5	480		
Intense strong desire for hallucinogenic use										
				ever						
				У		daily or				
			1 or 2	mont	every	almost				
		never	times	h	week	daily				
Origin	Rural	181	2	4	3	7	2	199		
	Urban	224	2	11	9	34	1	281		
Total		405	4	15	12	41	3	480		

### Marital Status Variable

**Table 9** shows that the marital status variable, with respect to the intensity of consumption of alcohol, cannabis, coca and tranquilizers, the level of significance (p) (0.00, 0.00, 0.04 and 0.00 respectively) is less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis that the variables are dependent and there is a significant relationship between them is accepted.

### Table 9

Chi-square Significance for the Variable Marital Status and Intensity of Consumption

Variables		Significance
		value(p)
Marital	Intensity of Tobacco Use	0.95
status		
Marital	Alcohol Consumption Intensity	0.00
status		
Marital	Intensity of Cannabis use	0.00
status		
Marital	Intensity of Coca consumption	0.04
status		
Marital	Intensity of amphetamine use	0.97
status		
Marital	Intensity of use Inhalants	0.51
status		
Marital	Intensity of consumption Tranquilizers	0.00
status		
Marital	Intensity of consumption	0.10
status	Hallucinogens	
Marital	Intensity of Opiate use	0.21
status		

**Table 10** In general, it is noteworthy that, with the exception of daily alcohol consumption and weekly and daily consumption of tranquilizers, where the highest values are shown in married patients, for all substances the greatest intensity in the strong desire to consume is associated with single marital status, which shows that this can be considered a risk to increase the intensity of consumption.

## Table 10

Contingency Tables for the Variables Marital Status and Intensity of Consumption

Intensity: Strong desire to consume alcohol Total

		never	1 or 2 times	ever y mont h	every week	daily or almost daily		
Marital	married	28	0	2	6	135	171	
status	bachelor divorced widower	99 7 0	1 0 0	1 1 0	20 2 0	110 38 11	231 48 11	
	common- law marriage	7	0	0	0	12	19	
Total		141	1	4	28	306	480	
		Intensit	y: Strong	desire	to use cani	nabis	Total	
		never	1 or 2 times	ever y mont h	every week	daily or almost daily		
Marital status	married	110	1	0	2	58	171	
	bachelor	85	1	1	4	140	231	
	divorced widower	39 7	0	0	0	9 1	48 11	
	common- law	11	0	0	0	8	19	
	marriage							
Total	marriage	252	2	1	6	219	480	
Total	marriage	252 Strong	2 desire to	1 consum	6 le coca	219	480	Total
Total	marriage	252 Strong never	2 desire to 1 or 2 times	1 consum ever y mont h	6 e coca every week	219 daily or almost daily	480	Total
Total Marital	marriage	252 Strong never 108	2 desire to 1 or 2 times 0	1 consum ever y mont h 1	6 e coca every week 3	219 daily or almost daily 59	480	Total 171
Total Marital status	marriage married bachelor divorced widower	252 Strong never 108 140 20 11	2 desire to 1 or 2 times 0 1 0 0	1 ever y mont h 1 1 0 0	6 e coca every week 3 5 1 0	219 daily or almost daily 59 82 26 0	480 0 2 1 0	Total 171 231 48 11
Total Marital status	marriage married bachelor divorced widower common- law marriage	252 Strong never 108 140 20 11 5	2 desire to 1 or 2 times 0 1 0 0 0	1 ever y mont h 1 1 0 0 0	6 every week 3 5 1 0 2	219 daily or almost daily 59 82 26 0 12	480 0 2 1 0 0	Total 171 231 48 11 19
Total Marital status	marriage married bachelor divorced widower common- law marriage	252 Strong never 108 140 20 11 5 284	2 desire to 1 or 2 times 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 ever y mont h 1 1 0 0 0 2	6 e coca every week 3 5 1 0 2 11	219 daily or almost daily 59 82 26 0 12 12	480 0 2 1 0 0 3	Total 171 231 48 11 19 480
Total Marital status Total	marriage married bachelor divorced widower common- law marriage	252 Strong never 108 140 20 11 5 284 Intense	2 desire to 1 or 2 times 0 1 0 0 0 1 strong de	1 ever y mont h 1 1 0 0 0 2 esire to	6 e coca every week 3 5 1 0 2 11 use tranqu	219 daily or almost daily 59 82 26 0 12 179 ilizers	480 0 2 1 0 0 3	Total 171 231 48 11 19 480 Total
Total Marital status Total	marriage married bachelor divorced widower common- law marriage	252 Strong never 108 140 20 11 5 284 Intense	2 desire to 1 or 2 times 0 1 0 0 0 1 strong de 1 or 2 times	1 ever y mont h 1 1 0 0 0 2 esire to ever y mont h	6 e coca every week 3 5 1 0 2 11 use tranqu every week	219 daily or almost daily 59 82 26 0 12 129 179 ilizers daily or almost daily or	480 0 2 1 0 0 3	Total         171         231         48         11         19         480         Total
Total Marital status Total	marriage married bachelor divorced widower common- law marriage	252 Strong never 108 140 20 11 5 284 Intense never 111	2 desire to 1 or 2 times 0 1 0 0 0 1 strong de 1 or 2 times 1	1 ever y mont h 1 1 0 0 0 2 esire to ever y mont h 10	6 e coca every week 3 5 1 0 2 11 use tranqu every week 15	219 daily or almost daily 59 82 26 0 12 179 illizers daily or almost daily 33	480 0 2 1 0 0 3 1	Total 171 231 48 11 19 480 Total 171

	common- law marriage	18	0	1	0	0	0	19
Total		371	2	24	28	50	5	480

#### **Variable Occupancy**

**Table 11** shows that the occupation variable, with respect to the intensity of consumption of tobacco, alcohol, coca, amphetamines, inhalants, tranquilizers, hallucinogens and opiates, the level of significance (p) (0.00 for all but: alcohol 0.02; amphetamines 0.01; and inhalants 0.05) is less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis that the variables are dependent and there is a significant relationship between them is accepted.

#### Table 11

Chi-square Significance for the Variable Occupation and Intensity of Consumption

Variables		Significance	
		value(p)	
Occupation	Intensity of Tobacco Use	0.00	
Occupation	Alcohol Consumption Intensity	0.02	
Occupation	Intensity of Cannabis use	0.43	
Occupation	Intensity of Coca consumption	0.00	
Occupation	Intensity of amphetamine use	0.01	
Occupation	Intensity of use Inhalants	0.05	
Occupation	Intensity of consumption	0.00	
	Tranquilizers		
Occupation	Intensity of consumption	0.00	
	Hallucinogens		
Occupation	Intensity of Opiate use	0.00	

**Table 12** shows that for all substances, the highest intensity in the strong desire to consume is associated with patients who are privately employed, followed by those with other occupations and the unemployed.

#### Table 12

Contingency Tables for the Variables Occupancy and Intensity of Consumption

Intensity Strong Desire for Consumption												
		Every Daily almos Tobac	week/ or t daily co	Wee Dail almo Alco	ekly/ y or ost daily ohol	Week Daily almos daily Coca	cly/ v or st	Wee Daily almo daily Amp mine	kly/ y or ost wheta	Week Daily almos daily Inhala	ly/ or t unts	
Occupati on	Public Employee	1	21	3	21	4	9	0	0	0	0	
Private Employe	Private Employee	4	74	11	131	6	95	2	2	1	5	
	unemploye d	1	46	12	47	1	21	1	0	2	0	
	other	0	42	2	107	0	54	1	5	0	3	

Total		6	183	28	306	11	17 9	4	7	3	8
Int	ensity Strong	Desire	for Cons	umpti	ion						
		Week Daily almos Tranq	ly/ or at daily uillizers	Eve wee or a dail Hall	ry k/ Daily lmost y lucinoge	Wee Daily almo daily Opia	kly/ y or ost / utes				
Occupati on	Public Employee	5	2	0	2	1	0				
- Chi	Private Employee	17	13	2	18	6	5				
	unemploye d	5	9	7	5	2	1				
	other	1	26	3	16	3	17	_			
Total		28	50	12	41	12	23	_			

### Variable Length of Stay

Table 13 shows that the variable length of hospitalization, with respect to the intensity of alcohol, amphetamine and opiate consumption, for all cases the level of significance (p) (0.00) is less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis that the variables are dependent and there is a significant relationship between them is accepted.

### Table 53

Chi-square Significance for the Variable Length of Hospitalization and Intensity of Consumption

Variables		Significance
		value(p)
Length of Stay	Intensity of Tobacco Use	0.51
Length of Stay	Alcohol Consumption Intensity	0.00
Length of Stay	Intensity of Cannabis use	0.94
Length of Stay	Intensity of Coca consumption	0.19
Length of Stay	Intensity of amphetamine use	0.00
Length of Stay	Intensity of use Inhalants	0.95
Length of Stay	Intensity of consumption	0.50
	Tranquilizers	
Length of Stay	Intensity of consumption	0.14
	Hallucinogens	
Length of Stay	Intensity of Opiate use	0.00

In this sense , **Table 14** In general, it is appreciable that the highest intensity index of strong desire to consume is associated with patients with three and five months of hospitalization.

### Table 54

Contingency Tables for the Variables Length of Hospitalization and Intensity of Consumption

Intensity: Strong desire to consume alcohol Total											
		never	1 or 2 times	ever y mont h	every week	daily or almost daily		-			
Length of Stay	1 month	0	0	0	1	2	3				
5	2 months	26	0	1	7	47	81				
	3 months	68	0	2	7	167	244				
	4 months	4	0	0	5	3	12				
	5 months	36	1	1	3	66	107				
	6 months	7	0	0	5	21	33				
Total		141	1	4	28	306	480	_			
	Intense str	ong desir	e for amp	ohetami	ne use		Total				
		never	every month	eve y wee k	er daily e almos daily	or st		-			
Length of Stav	1 month	3	0	0	0	0	3				
200	2 months	78	2	0	0	1	81				
	3 months	237	0	1	5	1	244				
	4 months	11	0	0	0	1	12				
	5 months	105	0	1	1	0	107				
	6 months	30	0	2	1	0	33				
Total		464	2	4	7	3	480	-			
	Strong de	sire for o	pioid use					Tota			
	~			ever							
		never	1 or 2 times	y mont h	every week	daily or almost daily					
Length of Stay	I month	2	0	1	0	0	0	3			
-	2 months	72	0	1	5	3	0	81			
	3 months	225	1	1	3	14	0	244			
	4 months	12	0	0	0	0	0	12			
	5 months	99	0	1	4	2	1	107			
	6 months	29	0	0	0	4	0	33			
Total		439	1	4	12	23	1	480			

## **Economic Income Variable**

Table 15 shows that the economic income variable, with respect to the intensity of coca and tranquilizer consumption, the level of significance (p) (0.00 and 0.04 respectively) is less than 0.05, therefore the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis that the variables are dependent and that there is a significant relationship between them is accepted. The others are not statistically significant.

#### Table 55

Chi-square Significance for the Variable Economic Income and Consumption Intensity

Variables		Significance
variables		Significance
		value(p)
Economic Income	Intensity of Tobacco Use	0.10
Economic Income	Alcohol Consumption Intensity	0.48
Economic Income	Intensity of Cannabis use	0.18
Economic Income	Intensity of Coca consumption	0.00
Economic Income	Intensity of amphetamine use	0.96
Economic Income	Intensity of use Inhalants	0.39
Economic Income	Intensity of consumption Tranquilizers	0.04
Economic Income	Intensity of consumption	0.43
	Hallucinogens	
Economic Income	Intensity of Opiate use	0.17

**Table 16**. In summary, the table reflects the presence of representative values of greater intensity in the strong desire to consume both for patients with a salary equal to the basic salary and for those who have a salary lower than this. Arguably, those represented in any of these groups experience a greater desire to consume than those who have a higher than basic salary.

#### Table 56

Contingency Tables for the Variables Economic Income and Consumption Intensity

								Tota
	Frequency of	strong c	lesire for	coca c	onsumptio	on		1
		never	1 or 2 times	ever y mon th	every week	daily or almos t daily		
Economic Income	equal to the basic salary	69	0	1	6	81	3	160
	higher than the basic salary	51	1	1	4	30	0	87
	less than the basic salary	164	0	0	1	68	0	233
Total		284	1	2	11	179	3	480
	Frequency of	strong	lesire to	use trai	nquilizers			Tota 1
		<u> </u>		ever y	•	daily or		
		never	1 or 2 times	mon th	every week	almos t daily		
Income economic	equal to the basic salary	135	1	7	8	9	0	160
	higher than the basic salary	65	1	4	9	7	1	87
	less than the basic salary	171	0	13	11	34	4	233
Total		371	2	24	28	50	5	480

### Discussion

The intensification of drug use causes the person's brain, where the production of dopamine is generated, which being a chemical component of generating pleasure, well-being and ecstasy, allow the person who consumes it to need higher doses to achieve the same effects,

the body becomes accustomed to the substance and begins a loss of control towards ourselves, Its collateral effects are reflected in the individual, family and social areas, the intensity of consumption comes to control the person's life.

In the present research regarding the significance of Chi-square for the Age Variable (early, middle and late adulthood) and the Intensity of Consumption, the data reported that the consumption of tobacco, alcohol, coca, tranquilizers, hallucinogens and opiates, for all cases the level of significance (p) (0.00) is less than 0.05, there is an appreciable marked intensity of consumption associated with a younger age, Thus, for all substances, patients between the ages of 18 and 30 years (early adulthood) show a greater intensity in the strong desire to consume daily or almost daily, compared to a study carried out in Colombia by Gonzalez, statistically significant differences are observed by stage of the life cycle in the level of risk against the consumption of cannabis and stimulants. whose highest risk appears in young people (Average Range=380.39 in cannabis; Average Range=328.37 for stimulants) in adults (Average Range=352.17 for cannabis; average range=307.07 for stimulants) (González-Cortés et al., 2023).

Regarding the Chi-square significance for the Sex Variable and the Intensity of Consumption, the substances most severely consumed are alcohol, cannabis and tranquilizers, the level of significance (p) (0.04; 0.00 and 0.00 respectively) is less than or equal to 0.05, with a significant incidence of the male sex in daily or almost daily consumption in each of the substances. Compared to a study conducted by Contreras Olive where alcohol and/or marijuana consumption was higher in females with statistically significant results (X2 = 11.528; p = 0.000). Opioid use did not show differences in terms of sex, and emerging drug use was higher in men than in women ( $\chi 2 = 4.724$ ; p = 0.017) (Contreras Olive et al., 2020).

Regarding the Chi-square significance for the Education and Intensity of Consumption Variable, it is shown that alcohol, cannabis, tranquilizers and hallucinogens, the level of significance (p) (0.00, 0.00, 0.00 and 0.02 respectively) is less than 0.05, therefore, it can be verified that, the lower the educational level, the greater the intensity of consumption, so for all these substances the intensity of the strong desire to consume daily or almost daily was associated with the level of Primary and secondary education differs from a study carried out in Montevideo by Berrocal where he states that drug consumption is high at the university level, and 39.9% said that it is easy to get drugs at the university.

Concerning the Chi-square significance for the Variable Origin and Intensity of Consumption of substances with statistical significance is alcohol, cannabis, tranquilizers and hallucinogens, for all cases the level of significance (p) (0.00) is less than 0.05, being valid to highlight that for daily or almost daily intensity, alcohol and tranquilizers show a higher frequency of consumption in patients from rural areas, related to a study carried out in Mexico on drug use in students, it is observed that consumption "sometimes" in rural communities (39.6%) is significantly lower than that reported in urban communities (55.7%); Similarly, alcohol consumption in the last year (37.2% urban, 26.1% rural) (Velázquez et al., 2016).

Relevant to the Chi-square significance for the Variable Marital Status and Intensity of Consumption of substances such as alcohol, cannabis, coca and tranquilizers, the level of significance (p) (0.00, 0.00, 0.04 and 0.00 respectively) is less than 0.05, therefore, it is appreciable that the intensity, with the exception of daily alcohol consumption and weekly and daily consumption of tranquilizers where the higher values are shown in married patients, For all substances, the greater intensity in the strong desire to consume is associated with the single marital status, which shows that this can be considered a risk to increase the intensity of consumption, differs from a study highlighted by Asunción Santos

where the percentage of divorced or widowed is significantly higher (40% women vs. 20.87% men) consumers (Santos-de Pascual et al., 2020).

Also related to the Chi-square significance for the Variable Occupation and Intensity of Consumption of tobacco, alcohol, coca, amphetamines, inhalants, tranquilizers, hallucinogens and opiates, the level of significance (p) (0.00 for all but: alcohol 0.02; amphetamines 0.01; and inhalants 0.05) is less than 0.05, highlighting that for all substances the highest intensity in the strong desire to consume is associated with patients who are private employees, followed by those with other occupations and the unemployed, with daily or almost daily consumption, compared to a study carried out in Costa Rica by Urday Regarding occupation, only 21% reported that they study and work, while a significant majority (79%) only study (Urday-Concha et al., 2019).

Regarding the Chi-square significance for the Economic Income and Intensity of Consumption Variable, the drugs with the greatest association are coca and tranquilizers, the level of significance (p) (0.00 and 0.04 respectively) is less than 0.05, the presence of representative values of greater intensity in the strong desire for consumption both for patients with a salary equal to the basic one and for those who have a salary lower than this. It could be said that those represented in any of these groups experience a greater desire to consume than those who have a salary higher than the basic salary, similar data found in a study carried out by Palma where it stands out that around 90% were from families with a medium or low family wealth index (Palma et al., 2022).

#### Conclusions

- 1. The intensity of drug use in the participating population is varied and influenced by factors such as accessibility and amount of use, identifying associated risk factors, and promoting informed decision-making to maintain a healthy balance.
- 2. Drug use from the intensity investigated highlights the importance of individual responsibility and informed decision-making, because its consequences affect physical and mental health, preventing the user from leading a daily life and good relationships.
- 3. The intensity of drug use occurs with higher percentages in men than in women, having an impact on relationships and daily responsibilities, it is necessary to consider how biological, social and cultural factors can influence consumption patterns.
- 4. It is also highlighted in the research that consumption intensifies at an early age due to environmental influences, peer pressure and lack of knowledge increases the vulnerability of young people, making it important to develop prevention strategies, emotional support and the active participation of the community to reduce the impact.

### **Bibliographic References**

- Contreras Olive, Y., Miranda Gómez, O., Torres Lio-Coo, V., Contreras Olive, Y., Miranda Gómez, O., & Torres Lio-Coo, V. (2020). Anxiety and depression in patients addicted to psychoactive substances. Cuban Journal of Military Medicine, 49(1). http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci\_abstract&pid=S0138-65572020000100007&lng=es&nrm=iso&tlng=es
- Corvalán B., M. P. (2017). Smoking: An addiction. Chilean Journal of Respiratory Diseases, 33(3), 186-189. https://doi.org/10.4067/s0717-73482017000300186
- Franco, G., Migliaro, M., Molina, L., Méndez-Díaz, M., Ruiz-Contreras, A. E., Cortés-Morelos, J., Herrera-Solís, A., & Prospéro-García, O. E. (2021). The Social and Mystical Brain in the Substance-Dependent Patient. Psicumex, 11, 1-31. https://doi.org/10.36793/psicumex.v11i1.393

- Garcés, L. M. (n.d.). Executive Decree 376 Official Gazette Supplement 234 of 04-May-2018 Last modified: 03-Jul-2018 Status: Reformed.
- González-Cortés, J. H., Mejía-Lobo, M., Rincón-Barreto, D. M., González-Cortés, J. H., Mejía-Lobo, M., & Rincón-Barreto, D. M. (2023). Risk of psychoactive substance use and its relationship with the problematic use of video games and social networks in university students. Psychopeople, 26(49), 26-46. https://doi.org/10.17081/psico.26.49.5728
- Jerez B., D., & Acosta M., C. (2022). Substance use: Characterization of patients belonging to the adult mental health unit - Hernán Henríquez Aravena Hospital. Chilean Journal of Neuro-Psychiatry, 60(4), 413-421. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-92272022000400413
- Méndez-Díaz, M., Romero Torres, B. M., Cortés Morelos, J., Ruíz-Contreras, A. E., Prospéro García, O., Méndez-Díaz, M., Romero Torres, B. M., Cortés Morelos, J., Ruíz-Contreras, A. E., & Prospéro García, O. (2017). Neurobiology of addictions. Journal of the Faculty of Medicine (Mexico), 60(1), 6-16.
- Momeñe, J., Estévez, A., Pérez-García, A. M., Jiménez, J., Chávez-Vera, M. D., Olave, L., Iruarrizaga, I., Momeñe, J., Estévez, A., Pérez-García, A. M., Jiménez, J., Chávez-Vera, M. D., Olave, L., & Iruarrizaga, I. (2021). Substance use and its relationship with emotional dependence, attachment, and emotional regulation in adolescents. Annals of Psychology, 37(1), 121-132. https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.37.1.404671
- Nexar-QH, J., & Caleiro Seixas, A. E. (2019). Adrenergic and cholinergic influence on the production of reactive oxygen species in human neutrophils\*. Peruvian Journal of Experimental Medicine and Public Health, 36, 54-61. https://doi.org/10.17843/rpmesp.2019.361.4026
- Noroña Salcedo, D. R., Mosquera Hernández, V. C., & Laica Hernández, V. G. (2022). Analysis of drug use among university students in Quito (Ecuador). Journal of Research in Psychology, 25(1), 83-98. https://doi.org/10.15381/rinvp.v25i1.21069
- Otálvaro, A. F. T., Vallejo, G. A. C., Escobar, S. M. R., Gallón, V. V., & Giraldo, I. C. O. (2019). Social stigma from health professionals towards people who use drugs. Psicologia em Pesquisa, 13(1), 22-32. https://doi.org/10.24879/2018001200300478
- Palma, D., Continente, X., López, M. J., Vázquez, N., Serral, G., Ariza, C., Bartroli, M., Continente, X., Juárez, O., García Lamberechts, J., López, M. J., Muñoz, L., Pérez, A., Sánchez-Martínez, F., Vázquez, N., & Ramon Villalbí, J. (2022). Personality traits associated with substance use in young people in vulnerable contexts. Health Gazette, 35, 542-550. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2020.08.004
- Santos-de Pascual, A., Saura-Garre, P., López-Soler, C., Santos-de Pascual, A., Saura-Garre, P., & López-Soler, C. (2020). Mental Health in People with Substance Use Disorder: Differential Aspects Between Men and Women. Annals of Psychology, 36(3), 443-450. https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.36.3.399291
- Sequeira-Cordero, A., Blanco-Vargas, M., Brenes Sáenz, J. C., Sequeira-Cordero, A., Blanco-Vargas, M., & Brenes Sáenz, J. C. (2022). Dependence on psychoactive substances in Costa Rica: Epidemiological and neurobiological aspects of a misunderstood disease. Population and Health in Mesoamerica, 19(2), 531-552. https://doi.org/10.15517/psm.v0i19.48064
- Urday-Concha, F., Gonzáles-Vera, C., Suca, L. J. P., Pantigoso Bustamante, E. L., Sánchez, S. H. C., Pinto-Oppe, L., Urday-Concha, F., Gonzáles-Vera, C., Suca, L. J. P., Pantigoso Bustamante, E. L., Sánchez, S. H. C., & Pinto-Oppe, L. (2019). Risk perception and drug use in university nursing students, Arequipa, Peru. Current Nursing of Costa Rica, 36, 19-35. https://doi.org/10.15517/revenf.v0i36.33416
- Velázquez, J. A. V., Icaza, M. E. M.-M., Sánchez, R. M. del C., Ito, D. A. F., Gamiño, M. N. B., Escobar, E. R., Salazar, R. M., Cirett, M. B., Hernández, I. S. S., & Martínez, V. C. (2016). Drug Use in Mexican Students: Trends and Magnitude of the Problem. Mental Health, 39(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.17711/SM.0185-3325.2016.023