# **Migration Letters**

Volume: 20, No: S1 (2023), pp. 1698-1708

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

# **Determinants Of Caregivers' VaccinationIntention With Respect To Child Age Group**

Muhannad Ali Alqahtani<sup>1</sup>, Khaled Hassan Tumaiyhi<sup>2</sup>, Fawaz ahmad mohamad mashni<sup>3</sup>, Majed Ibrahim Othaybi<sup>3</sup>, Nasser Ibrahim Makki Alqasir<sup>3</sup>, NAWAF AHMED HAJAJI<sup>4</sup>, Hussain Mohammed Hussain Althurwi<sup>5</sup>, Ahmed Alhassan Alqabi<sup>6</sup>, Yahya Mohammed Yousef Tumaiyhi<sup>7</sup>, Khalid Mohammed Alfadhli<sup>8</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Objective: This study examined how knowledge, risk perception, health beliefs and multidimensional healthlocus of control (HLC) were associated with caregivers'intention to vaccinate their child, and how the associations varied across child age groups. Setting: Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Methods: The cross-sectional survey was conducted via a face-to-face interview among 1017 nationally representative caregivers who had children aged 12 or younger. The outcome variable was caregivers'intention to vaccinate their children. Results: Hierarchical regression analysis indicated thatrisk perception was negatively associated with vaccination intention only among the age group 4–6 ( $\beta$ =-0.127, p<0.05). Perceived benefit was the only significant predictor of the outcome variables for all three age groups. In contrast, perceived barrier was negatively related to vaccination intention only among the age group 7–12 ( $\beta$ =0.151, p<0.001), whilechance HLC was negatively related to vaccination intention only among the age group 0–3 ( $\beta$ =-0.121, p<0.05). Conclusions: This study identifies key vaccination intention determinants that are differentially associated with caregivers' children's age.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Vaccination refers to <sup>1</sup>the administration of a vaccine to boost people's immune system toprotect them from various viruses and pathogens (WHO., 2020). It is known as a cost-effective method for preventing infectious diseases that are often spread through personal contact and the respiratory organs. Childhood vaccinations in particular are critical for improving the mortality and morbidity of children whose underdeveloped immune systems make them susceptible to infectious diseases While vaccination has been regarded as one of the most important achievements in the history of public health, the spread of several infectious diseases still occurs enough to amount to a global health crisis. For example, in 2014, the WHO declared the spread of polio in Africa, South Asia and the Middle East a global health crisis that could result in the failure to globally eradicate one of the world's most serious vaccine- preventable diseases (Hu et al., 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Public Health Specialist, Al Noor Specialist Hospital

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Epidemiology Supervision-Technician, Makkah Health Cluster

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Epidemiology Supervision-Technician, Jazan Health Cluster - Reporting vectors and common diseases - Bish Malaria Station Center - alReeth Malaria

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Specialist Epidemiology, Disease Vector Control Center in Al-Darb

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Epidemiology, King Faisal Hospital

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Epidemiology Technician, Disease Vector Control Center in Sabya

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Epidemiology Supervision Technician, Mushallaha Primary Health Care Center

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Public health specialist, Makkah health care cluster

Over a short period of time, Saudi Arabia has joined the successful countries that have a high vaccination rate and have managed tokeep vaccine-preventable infectious diseases at bay(Kim et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2015). Another government-implemented policy checks whether all the children who have newly entered schoolhave received all the required vaccines, and it recommends giving them to those who have not. For this policy to be implemented efficiently, information about all the children newly entered in elementary schools is computerised so that it can be linked to their vaccination information. Additionally, all healthcare institutions are required to register all the vaccination records in the government's online system.

However, despite such government-led efforts, the vaccination rate drops as children get older. It is therefore critical to understand what factors are differentially associated with these subgroup caregivers' compliance or intention to vaccinate their children. In some developing countries, improving the vaccination rate might be hampered by lack of access or other environmental considerations in receiving healthcare. However, in South Korea, this may not be the case because it has a national health insurance system, funded both publicly and privately, under which every South Korean is covered for healthcare and medical treatment. Furthermore, people can freely visit and choose almost any private physician or healthcare facility to which they have access (Kim et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2015).

If access to a good-quality healthcare system is not theissue, internal factors such as knowledge, perceptions and beliefs may be more important than environmental considerations in determining caregivers' intention to vaccinate their child. Previous studies have examined factors associated with vaccination or compliance to vaccination in specific con-texts such as influenza, pneumonia and malaria (Chapman & Coups., 1999; Chi et al., 2004; Cho., 2002; Schneeberg et al., 2014; Farquharson et al., 2004 ).

A small number of studies have examined caregivers' compliance with childhood vaccination in general. These have found knowledge, beliefs and attitudes to be predictors of vaccination against infectious disease. These factors are drawn from wellestablished theoretical frameworks such as the Health Belief Model (HB and the Knowledge-Attitude-Practice (KAP) model (Farquharson et al., 2004). HBM highlights four health belief constructs as import-ant precursors to a health behaviour. The four constructs include perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived benefit and perceived barrier.<sup>16</sup> Perceived susceptibility refers to people's subjectivebeliefs about their likelihood of contracting a disease. Perceived severity refers to how serious people believe the disease to be. Perceived benefits refer to people's positive beliefs that the disease can be managed by actions recommended for health. Perceived barriers refer to people's beliefs that a variety of costs (eg, material, psychological) may prevent them from following the recommended behaviour. In addition to the four health belief constructs, self-efficacy was subsequently added to HBM to overcome the model's weak explanatory power. Self-efficacy refers to people's confidence in successfully performing a recommended health behaviour. While knowledge and health beliefs are important determinants of vaccination practice, people's perceptions about risks associated with vaccines have hindered them from getting vaccinations. In fact, one study reported that its participants' flu shot acceptance was negatively assciated with the perception that the procedure might have side effects. Anecdotal and populationbased evi-dence shows that caregivers are hesitant to get their children vaccinated due

to the potential side effects and the misperception and overestimation of risks associated with vaccination (Opel et al., 2009).

Common misperceptions include fatalistic views that children's health and illness may not depend on vaccination. Such views are closely related to the belief that one's health is determined by one's own behaviour, by others or by chance. Such beliefs are collectively referred to as the health locus of control (HLC) (Wallston et al., 1989; Wallston et al., 1987; Wallston et al., 2005). Originally drawn from the social learning theory developed by Rotter (1966) HLC applies that theory's concept of locus of control to health con- texts. HLC has evolved as a multidimensional concept that comprises three dimensions: internal HLC (I-HLC), which refers to people's beliefs that their own behaviours exert influences on their health status; powerful others' HLC (P-HLC), which refers to people's belief that health outcomes are dependent on powerful others' (eg, physician, doctors) actions and chance HLC (C-HLC), which refers to people's belief that health outcomes are dependent on chance occurrences, luck and fate.

#### materials and methods:

The survey was conducted via a face-to-face interview among nationally representative caregivers who had children aged 12 years or younger between June and 4 August 2022. The samples were selected using a stratified multistage random sampling method Within each unit, households with the first child aged 12 or lower were randomly chosen. The parent who was mainly in charge of child vaccination (or caregiving) was asked for an interview. Once the interviewer visited each household, he or she asked who thisperson was.

Tools and data collection:

Three types of survey questionnaire were administered depending on the age of the participating caregivers' first child. The reason for this procedure is that therequired vaccines differ among the three age groups of children, 0-3, 4-6 and 7-12. The survey instrument included a series of questions on the practice of required vaccines, knowledge and risk perceptions, health beliefs and vaccination intention. Some of these items were related to the satisfaction level of the government's current vaccination policy, opinion about thenew vaccination policy, and reasons why those who did not vaccinate their children did not do so. These questions were not related to the current study and therefore were not used. A total of 53 experienced interviewers conducted 15-20 face-to-face interviews through door-to-door visits. The interviewers were trained extensively and informed of the purpose of the study and the content of the questionnaire so that they all shared the same level of under- standing about the survey. Extensive training and mock interview sessions were also provided to avoid any interviewer bias and to standardise the interview procedure. Before conducting the interviews, interviewers informed the respondents about their rights and assured them about privacy and confidentiality as protected by the Korean Statistics Act. The respondents were informed that the survey's purpose was to understand the public's level of knowledge, perception, attitudes and satisfaction regarding the government's vaccination policies for children.

Once the respondents agreed to participate, the interviewers asked them each survey question and recorded their answers. This standardised procedure was intended to avoid any human error due to a misrecording by the interviewer or incomplete responses. A total of 160 questions were asked, and the entire inter- view took about 30–40 min. A total of 1017 people participated.

#### Measures

The outcome variable in this study is caregivers' intention to vaccinate their children, and the major predictors are vaccination knowledge, risk perceptions, health beliefs and HLC. In addition, on the basis of the existing literature, the following demographic characteristics were considered as determinants for intention to vaccinate: sex, age, marital status, income level and education level.

Vaccination intention was measured with three question items drawn from the existing literature: (Rosenblum et al., 1981) 'I will get my child vaccinated on time even if I am busy,' 'I will getmy child all the required vaccines,' 'I will get my child vaccines that the government recommends, even if she or he does not like it' (5-point Likert scale with 1=not at all to 5=very much). Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) with principal component analysis (PCA) using Varimaxrotation resulted in one clear factor with 67.8% explained. Reliability analysis indicated that  $\alpha$  was 0.75.

Vaccination knowledge was measured with ten 'yes orno' questions drawn from the existing literature, (Lee et al., 2011) including the following: 'You can get two vaccinations in a day,' 'If my child has suffered from chickenpox, she or he does not have to get vaccinated again,' 'If you missed the vaccination timeline, you have to get all your vaccnations all over again,' and 'One should get vaccinated for Japanese encephalitis before mosquitos appear in summer.' The knowledge variable was then constructed by counting the 'correct' answers. The knowledge scoreranged from 0 (all the questions were answered incorrectly) to 10 (all the questions were answered correctly).

Risk perception was also measured with three question items drawn from the existing literature (Lee et al., 2011): 'I am worried that vaccines are not safe,' 'I am concerned about side effects of vaccination,' and 'One may be more likely toget infected after receiving vaccination' (5-point Likert scale with 1=not at all to 5=very much). EFA with PCA using Varimax rotation indicated one clear factor with 75.6% explained. Reliability analysis indicated that  $\alpha$  was 0.84. Thus, the three items were averaged to construct the risk perception variable.

Health beliefs variables included perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived barrier and perceived benefit, all drawn from the HBM. Their measures were drawn from the literature (Lee et al., 2011). In addition, self-efficacy, as a later-added HBM variable, was also included in the analysis. Owing to lack of survey space, all these variables were measured with two items (5-point Likert scale with 1=not at all to 5=very much), which were averaged to construct each of the variables. The specific question items are as follows. For perceived susceptibility: (1) 'If I don't get my child vaccinated, my child is likely to get n infectious disease'; (2) 'If I don't get my child vaccinated, there is a high possibility that my child may con- tract an infectious disease.' For perceived severity: (1) 'An infectious disease, which may be contracted due to absence of vaccination, is very serious'; (2) 'My child could die from an infectious disease, which may be contracted without vaccination.' For perceived benefit: (1) 'Vaccination will protect my child from a disease'; (2) 'I believe vaccination will help my child's health.' For perceived barrier: (1) 'I don't have enough time to get my child vaccinated'; (2) 'The vaccination fee is a burden for me.' For self-efficacy: (1) 'I can get my child vaccinated on time'; (2) 'It is not difficult for me to getmy child vaccinated.'

Drawn from the original multidimensional HLC measurement, three types of HLC internal, powerful others and chance HLC—were measured with two items modified to fit the study context. Examples include the following: 'Whether or not my child is healthy depends on how well I can comply with vaccination' (I-HLC); 'Doctors play a major role in preventing my child from getting disease' (P-HLC); and 'No matter what I do, things that hurt my child's health will happen by chance' (C-HLC). Each of these three HLCs were mea-sured by averaging the respective two items (5-point Likert scale with 1=not at all to 5=very much).

#### Statistical analysis

To examine significant determinants of vaccination intention, hierarchical multiple regression analysis was performed, first for the entire sample and then for the three subgroups according to the child's age. Prior to performance, assumptions that are required to perform the linear regression analysis—such as multivariate normality, outlier and multicollinearity—were checked and confirmed. In the models, demographic characteristics such as gender, age, education, monthly household income and employment status were entered in the first block for control purposes. In the second block, knowledge andrisk perception variables were entered. HBM variables were included in the third block, and I-HLC, P-HLC and C-HLC variables were included in the final block.

#### RESULTS

Most of the caregivers who participated in the study were females (99.2%), particularly mothers (98.8%). About 71% of the caregivers were in their 30s, followed by 40s (20.3%) and 20s (8.4%). Caregivers with children aged 7–12 were 45.9% (N=467), followed by those with children aged 0–3 at 30.7% (N=312), and those with children aged 4–6 at 23.4% (N=238), and 73.7% of them had a college degree or higher. The respondents' residence was widely spread out.

Hypotheses 1 and 2: knowledge and risk perception Hypotheses 1 and 2 predicted knowledge and risk perception as significant predictors of behavioural intentionregarding child vaccination. As shown in table 3, theregression results show that both hypotheses were supported. The less the caregivers perceived risks ( $\beta$ =-0.080, p<0.01) and know ( $\beta$ =0.074, p<0.01) about vaccination, the more likely they intended to get vaccinations for their child.

Hypotheses 3–7 predicted the relationships between each of the HBM constructs and behavioural intention. Perceived susceptibility ( $\beta$ =0.076, p<0.05), self-efficacy ( $\beta$ =0.172, p<0.001) and perceived benefit ( $\beta$ =0.254, p<0.001) were significantly and positively associated withvaccination intention, supporting hypotheses 3, 6 and 7. In contrast, perceived barrier ( $\beta$ =-0.064, p<0.05) was negatively associated with vaccination intention, supporting hypothesis 5. However, perceived severity was not significantly related to behavioural intention, which means that hypothesis 4 was not supported

#### Research questions 1–3: HLC

Since little research has examined the role of HLC in vaccination compliance, we explored as research questions the roles of internal, powerful others and chanceHLC (I-HLC, P-HLC and C-HLC, respectively) in predicting behavioural intention. The regression results indicate that I-HLC was positively ( $\beta$ =.110, p<0.001) and C-HLC was negatively ( $\beta$ =-0.065, p<0.05) associated with vaccination intention. P-HLC was not significantly related to vaccination intention.

Research question 4: differential roles of the predictors by subgroups

Research question 4 explored how such determinants play a differential role in predicting caregivers' intention to vaccinate their children depending on the children's age. some significant associations between the predictors and the outcome variable show different patterns across child age groups. First, education was positively associated with vaccination intention among the age groups 4–6 ( $\beta$ =0.123, p<0.05) and 7–12 ( $\beta$ =0.123, p<0.01), but not among the age group 0–3.

Risk perception was negatively associated with vaccination intention only among the age group 4–6 ( $\beta$ =-0.127, p<0.05). Among the HBM variables, perceived benefit was the only one that significantly prdicted the outcome variables for all three subgroups. By contrast, perceived susceptibility was significantly and positively related to vaccination intention only among the age group 4-6 ( $\beta=0.138$ , p<0.05), while perceived barrier was significantly and negatively related to vaccination intention only among the age group 7–12 ( $\beta$ = -0.104, p<0.05). Self-efficacy, the caregivers' confidence that they can get their child vaccinated in time, was significantly and positively associated with vaccination intention among the age groups 4–6 ( $\beta$ =0.193, p<0.01) and 7–12 ( $\beta$ =0.198, p<0.001), but not among the age group 0–3. Lastly, among the HLC variables, I-HLC was positively related to vaccination intention only among the age group 7–12 ( $\beta$ =0.151, p<0.001), while C-HLCwas negatively related to vaccination intention only among the age group 0-3 ( $\beta=-0.121$ , p<0.05). Overall, the regression model for the entire sample explained 27% of total variance in vaccination intention. Among the three subgroups, the model for the age group 4-6 explained the most (31.9%), while that for the age group 7-12 explained the least (26.6%).

Table 1:

Gender		
Male	8	0.8
Female	1009	99.2
Age (years)		
19–29	49	4.9
29–39	758	74.5
40–49	206	20.3
50–59	2	0.2
60–69	2	0.2
Education level		
Middle school graduate	1	0.1
High school graduate	266	26.2
College and above	750	73.8
Existence of a job		
Yes	382	37.6
No	635	62.4

Tabl	e II:

Age 0–3 (n=312)				Age 7–1 (n=467)		(n=1017)		
	Mean (M)	S D	Μ	SD	M	SD	М	SD
Knowledge	7.26	1.4 4	7.24	1.46	7.17	1.50	7.21	1.47
Risk perception	3.10	0.9 3	3.06	0.98	3.06	0.93	3.07	0.94
Health beliefs				0.7.				0.50
Susceptibility	4.17	0.5 2	4.10	0.56	4.11	0.50	4.13	0.52
Severity	3.95	0.6	3.99	0.64	3.95	0.62	3.96	0.64

		8							
Barrier	3.19	0.8	3.28	0.87		3.19	0.83	3.21	0.84
		4							
Benefit	4.23	0.5	4.17	0.52		4.18	0.57	4.20	0.55
G 10 00		2		0.00			0.44		0.50
Self-efficacy	4.12	0.6	4.13	0.60		4.07	0.61	4.10	0.62
		3							
Health locus of contr	rol (HLC)								
Internal HLC	4.00	0.5 3.91		0.60	3.91		0.593.94		0.57
		3							
External HLC	3.69	0.63.72		0.67	3.67		0.643.69		0.66
		8							
Chance of HLC	3.63	0.63.66		0.66	3.62		0.663.63		0.66
		5							
Behaviour intention	4.37*	0.4 4.29*	•	0.51	4.26*		0.534.30*	:	0.52
		9							
*p≤.05.									
	4.37			0.51	4.26		0.534.30		0.52

# Table III:

Variables	В†
Block 1: control variablesGender	-0.013
Age Education	-0.043
Monthly household incomeExistence of a job	0.117***
ΔR <sup>2</sup>	0.010
Block 2: knowledge and perceptionRisk	-0.040
perception	0.031***
Knowledge	
ΔR <sup>2</sup>	-0.080**
Block 3: health beliefsSusceptibility	0.074**
Severity	0.042**
BarrierBenefit	
Self-efficacy	0.076*
ΔR <sup>2</sup>	0.041
Block 4: health locus of control (HLC)Internal HLC	-0.064*
*p≤0.05; **p≤0.01; ***p≤0.001.	

## **Discussion:**

The purpose of this study was to identify what factors are associated with caregivers' vaccination intention for their children in Makkah Saudi Arabia, and how these

associations vary across three child age groups. We found that the caregivers with children aged 7–12 have a significantly lower level of vaccination intention than those with children aged 0–3. While caregivers, mostlymothers, may have initially complied with their doctorsto protect their newborn babies from various diseases, they now may have either children who are healthy or children who became ill regardless of vaccination. Moreover, in their everyday lives, they may have agendas that are more pressing than learning about required or suggested vaccines. Indeed, according to a Korean study examining media use patterns of caregivers, parents reported that, to learn information on childcare, they use personal and social media the most (59%), followed by families and friends (20%) and government/educa- tional institution (16.4%). Parents also reported that, among various personal and social media, they use childcare-related portal sites the most (58.8%), followed by childcare-related online communities (26.2%). This study's results imply that caregivers may rely more on direct or indirect personal experiences than on government policy or experts' opinion (Gad., 2014).

While this reasoning is only speculative and definite answers are beyond the scope of this study, more import- ant questions may be what factors are differentially associated with vaccination intention among caregivers whose children's ages differ. In a result consistent with a previous study, risk perception was a significant determinant of vaccination intention among all the participants. However, the subgroup analysis shows a more nuanced pattern which that study did not capture: risk perception was negatively associated with vaccination intention only among the age group 4–6 (Chapman et al., 1999). This could mean that the other age groups may have more import-ant factors associated with vaccination intention. In particular, caregivers of newborn babies (0–3 years) may just follow whatever doctors tell them to do for their baby. As long as they believe that vaccination is beneficial (perceived benefit), and they do not think that their child's health is completely up to chance (negative roleof C-HLC), they may generally intend to get their child vaccinated. However, as the child gets older, they may hear more about the side effects or risks associated with vaccination, which may lower their intention to get their child vaccinated.

Also, caregivers may face more pressing everyday concerns that prevent them getting from their child vaccinated, such as time and cost. Among care givers with children aged 7–12, the perceived barrier was found to be a significantly negative predictor of vaccination intention. In addition, their confidence and locus of control with respect to managing their child's health are also significantly positive predictors of vaccination intention. This finding seems to point to a need for more target-specific policy and education/communication strategies to improve the vaccination rate.

In general, another finding consistent with existing studies was that health beliefs seemed to play a much stronger role than knowledge in predicting vaccination intention (Farquharson et al., 2004; Tuma et al., 2002). Moreover, as other studies suggest, HLC is also a significant predictor. However, the significance of the role played by HLC differed among the agegroups in terms of its types and its explanatory power (Wallston et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2004). That is, the HLC block in the regression model significantly explained vaccination intention only among the caregivers with children aged 7–12. Since not much vaccination research has been done on the predictive roleof HLC, future studies should pay more attention to this variable and try to replicate our findings (Rosenblum et al., 1981).

Most of this study's limitations are related to measurment. First, owing to space limitations in the survey, it was not possible to ask an extensive list of question itemsfor

each variable. As a result, most of the predictors were constructed with only two question items. Future research should try to include a greater number. Second, the respective items had a relatively low reliability. This result is puzzling because these items were drawn from existing scales to secure content and construct validity. While many explanations for such low reliability are possible, one plausible explanation may bethat some of the variables may be not one-dimensional but rather multidimensional. For example, the perceived barrier and benefit questions can vary across contexts, which could cover an array of aspects such as psycho-logical, physical (eg, access, distance), economic (eg, cost) and social (eg, dislike doctors). However, the itemswe used covered only a few aspects. A related issue is that the parents' decision about child vaccinations is multifactorial, involving knowledge, risk perception, health beliefs and locus of control, as well as emotions and trust in the government's and healthcare professionals' recommendations. Future research should cover the various aspects of perceived barriers and benefits and find out which may be more important than others in determining vaccination practice (Tuma et al., 2002; Wallston et al., 1978; Lee et al., 2011).

Third, our survey had a response rate of 25.4%, which is low by Western standards. Although we explained earlier why our sample still secures its representativeness and quality, a higher response rate would secure even greater representativeness and generalisability. Survey studies in Saudi Arabia generally suffer from a low response rate, but futureresearch should make more efforts to improve it.

# CONCLUSION/RECOMMENDATION

this study contributes to existing vaccination literature both theoretically and practically. Theoretically, it highlights the importance of identifying different factors associated with caregivers' children's age groups. In addition, it shows that the roles of HLC deserve more attention and need to be considered as important determinants of vaccination intention. Practically, this study suggests that different health communication strategies may be necessary for caregivers depending on their children's ages. For caregivers with children aged 0–3, the obstetricians and paediatricians with whom they have direct contact may play a critical

role in informing them about the importance and bene- fits of vaccination. For the caregivers with children aged4–6, communication and education efforts via various media may be needed to reduce possible misperceptions and overestimations of vaccination risks. For the care- givers with older children aged 7–12, perceived and actual barriers to vaccination intention should be removed, for example by providing more specific action reminders for vaccination, and by implementing a policy that makes various vaccines free and affordable. Such tailored and targeted communication and vaccination practice strategies could lead to more successful protection of children from vaccine-preventable diseases.

#### **Reference:**

- Lee H, Kim D. The effects of media types and audience profiles on public's knowledge, attitude, and behavioral intention toward immunization. Korean J Ad PR 2011;13:326–60
- Gad J. An analysis on parents' using child caring information and measures to increase the availability. Saudi Inst Child Care Edu2014;42:16–26.
- Chapman GB, Coups EJ. Predictors of influenza vaccine acceptance among healthy adults. Prev Med 1999;29:249–62.
- Farquharson L, Nobel LM, Barker C, et al. Health beliefs and communication in the travel clinic consultation as predictors of adherence to malaria chemoprophylaxis. Br J Health Psychol

2004;9:201-17.

- Tuma JN, Smith SM, Kirk RH, et al. Beliefs and attitudes of caregivers toward compliance with childhood immunizations in Cameroon. Public Health 2002;116:55–61.
- Wallston KA. The validity of the multidimensional health locus of control scales. J Health Psych 2005;10:623–31.
- Wu AMS, Tang CSK, Kwok TCY. Self-efficacy, health locus of control, and psychological distress in elderly Chinese women with chronic illnesses. Aging Ment Health 2004;8:21–8.
- Rosenblum EH, Stone EJ, Skipper BE. Maternal compliance in immunization of preschoolers as related to health locus of control, health value, and perceived vulnerability. Nurs Res 1981;30: 337–42.
- Tuma JN, Smith SM, Kirk RH, et al. Beliefs and attitudes of caregivers toward compliance with childhood immunizations in Cameroon. Public Health 2002;116:55–61.
- Wallston KA, Wallston BS, DeVellis R. Development of the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) scale. HealthEduc Behav 1978;6:160–70.
- Lee H, Kim D. The effects of media types and audience profiles on public's knowledge, attitude, and behavioral intention toward immunization. Korean J Ad PR 2011;13:326–60.
- Gad J. An analysis on parents' using child caring information and measures to increase the availability. Saudi Inst Child Care Edu2014;42:16–26.
- Chapman GB, Coups EJ. Predictors of influenza vaccine acceptance among healthy adults. Prev Med 1999;29:249–62.
- Farquharson L, Nobel LM, Barker C, et al. Health beliefs and communication in the travel clinic consultation as predictors of adherence to malaria chemoprophylaxis. Br J Health Psychol 2004;9:201–17.
- Tuma JN, Smith SM, Kirk RH, et al. Beliefs and attitudes of
- caregivers toward compliance with childhood immunizations in Cameroon. Public Health 2002;116:55-61.
- Wallston KA. The validity of the multidimensional health locus of control scales. J Health Psych 2005;10:623–31.
- Wu AMS, Tang CSK, Kwok TCY. Self-efficacy, health locus of control, and psychological distress in elderly Chinese women with chronic illnesses. Aging Ment Health 2004;8:21–8.
- Rosenblum EH, Stone EJ, Skipper BE. Maternal compliance in immunization of preschoolers as related to health locus of control, health value, and perceived vulnerability. Nurs Res 1981;30: 337–42.
- Tuma JN, Smith SM, Kirk RH, et al. Beliefs and attitudes of caregivers toward compliance with childhood immunizations in Cameroon. Public Health 2002;116:55–61.
- Wallston KA, Wallston BS, DeVellis R. Development of the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) scale. HealthEduc Behav 1978;6:160–70.
- Lee H, Kim D. The effects of media types and audience profiles on public's knowledge, attitude, and behavioral intention toward immunization. Korean J Ad PR 2011;13:326–60.
- Opel DJ, Diekema DS, Lee NR, et al. Social marketing as a strategy to increase immunization rate. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med2009;163:432–7.
- WHO. Health topics: immunization. http://www.who.int/topics/ immunization/en/ (accessed 20 Jan 2020).
- Hu Y, Chen E, Li Q, et al. Immunization coverage and its determinants among children born in 2008–2009 by questionnaire survey in Zhejiang, China. Asia Pac J Public Health 2015;27: NP1132–43.
- Kim J, Kim TH, Park E-C, et al. Factors influencing unmet need for health care services in Korea. Asia Pac J Public Health 2015;27: NP2555–69.
- Chapman GB, Coups EJ. Predictors of influenza vaccine acceptance among healthy adults. Prev Med 1999;29:249–62.
- Chi R-C, Neuzil KM. The association of sociodemographic factors and patient attitudes on influenza vaccination rates in older person. Am J Med Sci 2004;327:113–17.
- Cho H-S. Factors associated with influenza vaccination behavior among high-risk adults. J Korean

Soc Health Educ Promot 2002;19:127–38.

- Schneeberg A, Bettinger JA, McNeil S, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours of older adults about pneumococcal immunization, a Public health agency of Canada/Canadian institutes of health research Influenza Research Network(PCIRN) investigation. BMC Public Health 2014;14:442.
- Farquharson L, Nobel LM, Barker C, et al. Health beliefs and communication in the travel clinic consultation as predictors of adherence to malaria chemoprophylaxis. Br J Health Psychol 2004;9:201–17.
- Wallston KA, Wallston BS. Who is responsible for your health? The construct of health locus of control. In: Sanders GS, Suls J,editors. Social Psychology of Health and Illness 1989:65–95.
- Wallston KA, Wallston BS, DeVellis R. Development of the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) scale. Health Educ Behav 1978;6:160–70.
- Wallston KA. The validity of the multidimensional health locus of control scales. J Health Psych 2005;10:623–31.
- Rotter JB. Social learning and clinical psychology. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1966.
- Rosenblum EH, Stone EJ, Skipper BE. Maternal compliance immunization of preschoolers as related to health locus of control, health value, and perceived vulnerability. Nurs Res 1981;30: 337–42.