Migration Letters

Volume: 20, No: S4 (2023), pp. 1561-1568 ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online) www.migrationletters.com

Oral Health Related Quality Of Life In Hemimaxillectomy Patients RehabilitatedWith Obturator Prosthesis Fabricated Using Different Materials

Fatma Saleh alharbi¹, Ahmed Saeed Alqurashi², Majdi Ahmed alhothali³, Muhannad khalid Alotaibi⁴, Fahad Khalid M Alotaibi⁵, Khaled Mohammed S Alotaibi⁵, Satam Mohammed F Alotibi⁵, Ibrahim Hamad Alsagoor⁶, Ali Saleh Al Bisher⁷, Haifa Tuwayrish Shulaywih Alanazi⁸

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was conducted to evaluate oral health-related quality of life with different obturator materials in hemimaxillectomy patients. **Patients and Methods**: Fourteen hemimaxillectomy patients were received a conventional obturator with combined metallic and heat cured acrylic resin. (VertexTM Regular, Vertex-DentalB.V. Netherlands (Group I). Then the Patients received obturator constructed from a thermoplastic denture base . (VertexTM Thermo Sens, Vertex-Dental B.V. Netherlands) (Group II). Oral health- related quality of life was measured after six months of obturator use using Functional Obturator Scale scores. Statistics were done using paired t-test to compare the oral health impact profile scale for edentulous patients in both groups. **Results**: The mean total Functional Obturator Scale score [FOS] for group I and group II were 34.55 and 30.48 respectively. There was a a statistical significant different between both groups in relation to the mean total Functional Obturator use in hemimaxillectomy patients, using a thermoplastic obturator resulted in a better oral health related quality of life than aconventional one. Therefore, it should be considered a treatment plan option to improve oral health-related quality of life.

KEYWORDS: Oral health impact profile; acrylic obturator, denture base materials, thermoplastic denture base, quality of life.

INTRODUCTION

Oral cancer is one of the most prevalence types of cancer in the world. The mortality rate for oral cancer is higher than the mortality rates for breast cancer and skin melanoma (Chen et al., 2016). Tumor rem¹oval from the oral cavity results ina surgical defect which creates many problems for the patient affecting normal chewing, swallowing, phonetics and esthetics (Chigurupati et al., 2013; Kreeft et al., 2012).

The maxillary defects can be repaired surgically or by prosthetic obturation to improve

¹Consultant In Endodontics, Alnoor Hospital, MOH, Makkah, Saudi Arabia.

²General dentistry, Shariaa Al Olaya Health Center, Saudi Arabia.

³General dentistry, Al Noor Specialized Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

⁴General dentistry, Jaarana Health Center, Saudi Arabia.

⁵Dentist, Afif Hospital, Saudi Arabia.

⁶Dental technician, Riyadh Specialized Dental Center, Riyadh Second Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia.

⁷Dental Assistant, Riyadh Specialized Dental Center, Riyadh Second Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia.

⁸Dental assistant, Al Falah Health Center, Saudi Arabia.

patient's life (Cardelli et al., 2014). A prosthesis used to close a defect or opening in a dentulous or edentulous mouth is called an obturator. It is used to restore masticatory function and improve speech and esthetics for maxillary defect patients (Keyf., 2001)

Obturators are still considered to be a useful treatment modality for closing these defects due to its rapid accomplishment, low cost, and avoiding a second surgery (Chen et al., 2016; Uckan et al., 2005; Chaubey et al., 2015). The most commonly found difficulty in the patients using obturators was difficulty in chewing foods (92%) followed by dry mouth (66%), leakage while swallowing(64%), numb upper lip(54%), avoidance of family and social events (48%), dissatisfaction with looks (46%), funny looking upper lip(46%), difficulty in inserting the obturator(32%), difficulty in talking in public (30%),noticeable clasps on front teeth (24%), difficulty in pronouncing words (24%), voice different from before surgery(20%), speech difficult to understand(20%), nasal speech (18%) and difficulty in talking on phone (4%) (KHAN et al., 2014).

Obturator functioning can be evaluated both objectively and subjectively. Objective assessment may performed by the operator and requires theuse of advanced scientific equipment. Subjective assessment means the evaluation of the prosthesis from the patient's point of view (Chhabra et al., 2012; Rieger et al., 2011).

Besides conventional retained obturator prosthesis, thermoplastic denture base was used recently in obturator construction for enhancing retention of the prosthesis (Shrestha et al., 2015). Thermoplastic denture bases are an excellental ternative to traditional hard-fitted denture base (Kaplan., 2012). Thermoplastic resins can be broadly classified as thermoplastic acetal, thermoplastic polycarbonates, thermoplastic acrylic and thermoplastic nylon (Shah et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2015).

Thermoplastic polyamidic resins (nylon) are versatile materials, suitable for a wide rangeof applications. They can be easily modified to increase stiffness and wear resistance. The material flexibility varies from one material to another, so we can choose from low flexible to super flexible polyamide. The material can be semi-translucent and provides excellent esthetics. The material is highly indicated for patients allergic to methyl metacrylate, being monomer-free, lightweight and impervious oral fluids. Some may also be combined with a metal framework (Abhay&Karishma., 2013; Hill et al., 2014). The disadvantage of these resins include gradual fading of denture base color, shift of prosthetic teethduring processing may be noticed, air entrapment within the denture base , poor bonding quality between the denture base material and acrylic resinteeth and technique sensitivity (Tannamala et al., 2012).

Oral epidemiology has used measures, whichevaluate the extent to which oral conditions abnormally affect the normal social role functioning and lead to major changes in behavior, such measures are known as oral health-related quality of life measures (OHRQoL) (Irish et al., 2009). The health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in patients with head and neck cancer play a vital role in the decision-making process, developing treat- ment protocols and method of providing supportivecare (Beyabanaki&Alikhasi., 2018)

The World Health Organization (WHO) defineshealth as "a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being, not merely the absence of diseaseor infirmity" (Zainab& Ismail., 2008). Quality of health is defined as a subjective, phenomenological, multidimensional construct based on individual's internal frame of reference (BUTT et al., 2009). Individuals who may require a maxillectomy as line of treatment for oral tumors often ask about thequality of life (QoL) they should expect following surgery. A well-designed obturator can have a positive effect on an individual's Qo (Kreeft et al., 2012).

Rehabilitation of hemimaxillectomy patients tends to improve oral health-related quality of

life [OHRQoL] but some individuals may still havesome problems due to obturator misfits or because of individual lack of acceptance of their obturator (Mesko&Patias., 2013). Functioning of the obturator can be subjectively determined by using the Obturator Functioning Scale (OFS) which is the most frequently used toolto assess the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) (Riaz &Warriach., 2010).

The Obturator Functioning Scale (OFS) was established at Memorial Sloan Kettering CancerCenter (New York, NY, USA) as a means of assessing self-reported functioning of an obturator. It was created by Kornblith et al. 1996 to assess eatingability, speech, and cosmetic satisfaction. This scale consists of 15 questions to assess the patient's capability to eat and speak with obturator prosthesis and their satisfaction with the restoration of lip position and its aesthetic effects. All items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale (Kornblith et al., 1996).

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted outpatient clinic, Makkah hospitals. The inclusion criteria were selecting hemimaxillectomy patient (Figure 1) had been rehabilitated with conventional definitive obturator design with a combination acrylic and metallic denture base material, wearing of the definitive obturator for at least six months. Patients were excluded if they had physical and/or psychological disorders that precluded clinical examination and the completion of questionnaires, patients refused to share in the study, patients never used or lost the obturator.



An informed consent form was obtained from each participant, after clarifying the objective of the study, its methodology, and the participants' rights. Power size calculation revealed a sample size of N = 12 for the power of more than 80% to detect correlation at a significance level of a = 0.05(p < 0.05) (Alfadda et al., 2015). Regarding the sample, the study group comprised of randomly selected fourteen hemimaxillectomy patients reported to the Prosthodontic Clinic. (nine males and five females) whose average age was 30-50 years (mean age 41 years). To assess health related quality of life ObturatorFunctioning Scale was used. Obturator functioning was assessed using 15 questions on a five point Likert Scale. Points 1 and 2 stood for 'not at all difficult' and 'a little difficult' on the scale. Points 3, 4 and 5 stood for 'somewhat difficult,' 'very much difficult' and 'extremely difficult. Each patient is secured 0 (worst) to 75 (best) using a Likert type scale (Kornblith et al., 1996).

The Obturator Functioning Scale was translated into Arabic by two accredited translators, and then back-translated into English by two different accredited translators. Both the English and the Arabic versions were applied alternately to 10 bilingual volunteers. Each volunteer was interviewed by one trained and experienced interviewer, and the interviewer recorded any difficulty that volunteers had encountered. To develop the final version of Arabic Obturator Functioning Scale, a discussion session with the interviewer was arranged, to clarify the

Functional Obturator Scale			Not at all difficul t' 1	'A little difficul t'2	'Somewh at difficult', 3	'Very much difficult' 4	'Extreme ly difficult. 5
1	Chewin	Difficulty in chewing foods					
2	g Limitati	Leakage when swallowing foods					
3	on	Mouth feels dry					
4	Spech Limitati	Voice different from before surgery					
5	on	Difficulty talking in public					
6		Speech is nasal					
7		Difficulty pronouncing words					
8		Speech is difficult to understand					
9		Difficulty talking on the phone					
10	Estheti	Dissatisfaction with looks					
11	c Limitati	Clasp on front teeth noticeable					
12	on	Upper lip looks funny					
13	Social Disabili ty	Avoidance of family or socialevents					
14	Function al	Difficulty to insert or remove obturator					
15	Limitati on	Any area feels numb					

volunteers' comments to make the questionnairemore understandable. Selected patients were interviewed by a single trained interviewer (table I).

Measurement of health-related quality of lifeusing the Obturator Functioning Scale

a) Phase I: Selected patients with hemimaxillectomy who had been rehabilitated with conventional obturator design (a combination acrylic and metallic denture base material) and wearing of the definitive obturator for at least six months were invited to complete Obturator FunctioningScale questioner to assess the functioning of theobturator. [Group 1]

Phase II: a new obturator [from a thermoplastic denture base. (VertexTM ThermoSens, Vertex-Dental B.V. Netherlands)] was constructed for each patient. After wearing the new obturator for at least six months, patients were asked to complete the Obturator Functioning Scale questionnaire. [Group II]

Data were collected and statistically analyzed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciencessoftware (SPSS version 20.0, IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). For all tests a p-value < 0.05

was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

OHRQoL was compared in maxillectomy patients rehabilitated with conventional obturator and with flexible obturator using Functional Obturator Scale. Fourteen hemimaxillectomy patients were selected. (9 males and 5 females) whose age were 30-50 years (mean age 41 years). Table (2) and table (3) compared the mean Functional Obturator Scale scores for subscales and total scores between both groups. The results of the study revealed that, therewere a statistically significant differences between both groups in psychological discomfort and handicap subscale (P<.05). On other hand there wereno statistical significant differences between both groups in relation to functional limitation, physical pain, physical disability, psychological disability and social disability subscales (P > .05).

Grouping	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Sig.(2-tailed)		
Chewing Limitation	Group I	6.80	.41	.13	0.53	
Chewing Limitation	Group II	6.63	.73	.23		
Speech Limitation	Group I	12.18	.19	.06	0.04	
Speech Elinitation	Group II	10.81	.66	.21		
Esthetic Limitation	Group I	6.35	.65	.21	0.01	
Listicite Elimitation	Group II	5.42	.80	.25	0.01	
Social disability	Group I	5.31	.87	.27	0.13	
	Group II	4.80	.56	.18		
Functional limitation	Group I	3.91	.52	.16	0.02	
	Group II	2.82	.37	.12		

Table 3

		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Sig.(2-tailed)	
Total Score	Group I	34.55	.81	.26	0.03	
Total Scole	Group II	30.48	1.72	.54		

The mean total Functional Obturator Scale score for groupI and group II were 36.57 and 33.10 respectively. There was a statistical significant different between both groups in relation to The mean total Functional Obturator Scale score (P<.05).

DISCUSSION

An assessment of oral health-related quality of life in completely edentulous patients was done using Functional Obturator Scale. The Functional Obturator Scale was selected to measure the OHQRol as it showed satisfactory reliability, validity and agreement with reported complaints in many languages (Sato et al., 2012; Souza et al., 2007; van der Meulen et al., 2008; Rener-Sitar et al., 2008). The FunctionalObturator Scale appears to be a reliable and valid instrument to measure oral health-related quality of life. This makes the instrument a good **1566** Oral Health Related Quality Of Life In Hemimaxillectomy Patients RehabilitatedWith Obturator Prosthesis Fabricated Using Different Materials

tool for comparison of this important variable between different countries and cultures (Souza et al., 2007; van der Meulen et al., 2008). The Arabic version of the OFS seems to be a valid instrument and can be used efficiently in Arabic-speaking patients (van der Meulen et al., 2008).

The results of the present study showed that, the mean Functional Obturator Scale subscales scores for chewing limitation were 6.80 and 6.63 for group I and Group II respectively. The mean scores for speech limitation were 12.18 for groupI and 10.81 for group II. There was no statistical significant different between both groups in relation to chewing Limitation, this can be explained by an adequate adaptation of the prostheses for both groups. Thus, it can be stated that oral rehabilitation with conventional and flexible obturator provided satisfactory chewing function, at least from the subjective perception.

With regard to social disability and functional limitation subscale, the results of the present study revealed that there were a statistical significant differences between both groups. This is an importantfinding as a certain level of social disability may beacceptable to one patient and intolerable to another. The mean total Obturator Scale Scores were34.55 for group I and 30.48 for group II. These results may be explained as the main complaints of patients were obturator instability and soreness, so the treatment with flexible obturator resulted in a positive impact on quality of life.

These results were in agreement with Ali et a 2018 that mentioned that the rehabilitation of patients with maxillary defects using obturator prosthesisis an appropriate, not invasive treatment option so the good obturators contribute to improve quality of life. Tannamala et al., 2012 used flexible resins in therehabilitation of maxillectomy patient and found that "A flexible and aesthetic retention of anterior teeth was gained by using thermoplastic resin in the rehabilitation of maxillectomy patient".

The findings from this study support the idea that patients wearing obturator from a thermoplastic denture base are more likely to feel positive impacts their quality of life. The published literatures regarding the disadvantages and limitations of the thermoplastic resin over conventional acrylic denture base resins are limited and require further research for clarification (Shrestha et al., 2015)

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the present study, there was statistically significant difference in mean total Obturator Scale scores between conventional obturator and thermoplastic obturator leading to improvement in positive impact on quality of life.

REFERENCES

- Abhay PN, Karishma S. Comparative evaluation of impact and flexural strength of four commercially available flexible denture base materials: an in vitro study. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2013;13(4):499-508.
- Alfadda SA, Al-Fallaj HA, Al-Banyan HA, Al-Kadhi RM. A clinical investigation of the relationship between the quality of conventional complete dentures and the patients' quality of life. Saudi Dent J. 2015;27(2):93-8.
- Ali MM, Khalifa N, Alhajj MN. Quality of life and problems associated with obturators of patients with maxillectomies. Head Face Med. 2018; 14(1):2.
- Beyabanaki E, Alikhasi M. Restoring a Partial Maxillectomy Patient by an Implant-Supported Obturator on Two Implants: A Case Report. J Dent (Tehran). 2018; 15(3):187-92.
- BUTT AM, AHMED B, PARVEEN N, YAZDANIE N. Oral health related quality of life in complete dentures. Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal. 2009;29(2):5.
- Cardelli P, Bigelli E, Vertucci V, Balestra F, Montani M, S DEC, et al. Palatal obturators in

patients after maxillectomy. Oral Implantol (Rome). 2014;7(3):86-92.

- Chaubey P, Tripathi R, Singh A. Rehabilitation of hemi- maxillectomy with a definite one piece hollow bulb obturator. Natl J Maxillofac Surg. 2018;9(1):82-5.
- Chen C, Ren W, Gao L, Cheng Z, Zhang L, Li S, et al. Function of obturator prosthesis after maxillectomy and prosthetic obturator rehabilitation. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol.2016;82(2):177-83.
- Chen C, Ren WH, Huang RZ, Gao L, Hu ZP, Zhang LM, et al. Quality of Life in Patients After Maxillectomy and Placement of Prosthetic Obturator. Int J Prosthodont. 2016;29(4):363-8.
- Chhabra A, Anandakrishna GN, Rao G, Makkar S. Rehabilitation of partial maxillectomy defect with implantretained hollow bulb obturator prosthesis: a case report. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2012;12(2):101-7.
- Chigurupati R, Aloor N, Salas R, Schmidt BL. Qualityof life after maxillectomy and prosthetic obturator rehabilitation. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2013;71(8):1471-8.
- Hill EE, Rubel B, Smith JB. Flexible removable partial dentures: a basic overview. Gen Dent. 2014;62(2):32-6.
- Irish J, Sandhu N, Simpson C, Wood R, Gilbert R, GullaneP, et al. Quality of life in patients with maxillectomyprostheses. Head Neck. 2009;31(6):813-21.
- Kaplan P. Flexible partial denture variations. The use of circumferential, combination, and continuous clasp designs. Dent Today. 2012;31(10):138-41.
- Keyf F. Obturator prostheses for hemimaxillectomypatients. J Oral Rehabil. 2001;28(9):821-9.
- KHAN MWU, SHAH AA, FATIMA A, HANIF4 A. Subjective Assessment of Obturator Functioning in patients with Hemimaxillectomy. P J M H S. 2014;8(3):4.
- Kornblith AB, Zlotolow IM, Gooen J, Huryn JM, Lerner T, Strong EW, et al. Quality of life of maxillectomy patients us-ing an obturator prosthesis. Head Neck. 1996;18(4):323-34.
- Kreeft AM, Krap M, Wismeijer D, Speksnijder CM, Smeele LE, Bosch SD, et al. Oral function after maxillectomy and reconstruction with an obturator. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2012;41(11):1387-92.
- Mesko ME, Patias m, T P-C. Is OHIP-EDENT Similar ToGOHAI When Measuring Ohrqol In Partial And CompleteDenture Wearers? Dentistry. 2013;3(2):5.
- Rener-Sitar K, Petricevic N, Celebic A, Marion L. Psychometric properties of Croatian and Slovenian short form of Oral Health Impact Profile Questionnaires. Croat Med J. 2008;49(4):536-44.
- Riaz N, Warriach RA. Quality of life in patients with obturator prostheses. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2010;22(2):121-5.
- Rieger JM, Tang JA, Wolfaardt J, Harris J, Seikaly H. Comparison of speech and aesthetic outcomes in patients with maxillary reconstruction versus maxillary obturators after maxillectomy. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011;40(1):40-7.
- Sato Y, Kaiba Y, Yamaga E, Minakuchi S. Reliability and validity of a Japanese version of the Oral Health Impact Profile for edentulous subjects. Gerodontology. 2012; 29(2):e1033-7.
- Shah VR, Shah DN, Chauhan CJ, Doshi PJ, Kumar A. Evaluation of flexural strength and color stability of different denture base materials including flexible materialafter using different denture cleansers. J Indian ProsthodontSoc. 2015;15(4):367-73.
- Shrestha B, Hughes ER, Kumar Singh R, Suwal P, ParajuliPK, Shrestha P, et al. Fabrication of Closed Hollow Bulb Obturator Using Thermoplastic Resin Material. Case Rep Dent. 2015;2015:504561.
- Singh K, Aeran H, Kumar N, Gupta N. Flexible thermoplastic denture base materials for aesthetical removable partial denture framework. J Clin Diagn Res. 2013;7(10):2372-3.
- Souza RF, Patrocinio L, Pero AC, Marra J, Compagnoni MA. Reliability and validation of a Brazilian version of the Oral Health Impact Profile for assessing edentulous subjects. J Oral Rehabil. 2007;34(11):821-6.
- Tannamala PK, Pulagam M, Pottem SR, Karnam S. Flexible resins in the rehabilitation of maxillectomy patient. Indian J Dent Res. 2012;23(1):97-100.
- Uckan S, Oguz Y, Uyar Y, Ozyesil A. Reconstruction of a total maxillectomy defect with a zygomatic implant- retained obturator. J Craniofac Surg. 2005;16(3):485-9.
- van der Meulen MJ, John MT, Naeije M, Lobbezoo F. TheDutch version of the Oral Health Impact

1568 Oral Health Related Quality Of Life In Hemimaxillectomy Patients RehabilitatedWith Obturator Prosthesis Fabricated Using Different Materials

Profile (OHIP- NL): Translation, reliability and construct validity. BMC Oral Health. 2008;8:11.

• Zainab S, Ismail NM, Norbanee TH, Ismail AR. The prevalence of denture wearing and the impact on the oral health related quality of life among elderly in Kota Bharu, Kelantan. Archives of Orofacial Sciences. 2008;3(1):5.