

Planning And Development Of Leadership Style And Organizational Culture And It's Performance Effect Of Multi-National Companies (Mnc)

*B. Lakshmi Narayanan¹ & Dr. D. Nirmala²

ABSTRACT

Literature suggests that organisations can achieve successful commercial performance by creating a strong organisational culture and competent leadership. Despite the reality that this notion has been studied widely across the world, it is still under investigation. This research contributes to closing this gap in literature by examining the relationship between leadership, culture, and performance utilizing collected data from participants in Tamil Nadu, India. A survey was conducted on 295 staff that agreed to participate in our questionnaire and provided their responses. In addition to reviewing and investigating the relevant literature, we presented a research framework of the study that we tested. The findings of this study's statistical analysis have revealed the importance of culture in determining the performance of a company. Reliability assessments of the measures that were used in the study showed results that were statistically significant. When the regression analyses for evaluating the hypotheses are completed, the research findings are congruent with what has been found in the literature. In addition to the statistical findings, the results and the implications for future research have been discussed.

Keywords: Leadership Style, Organisation Culture, Firm Performance.

1.1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most often used terms in the domains of management and organisational theory is the concept of organisational culture. Organizational culture is widely used because of the significant relationship that exists between the organization and work productivity such as economic success, continuous improvement, and business performance. Organizational cultures at companies with excellent business results such as Zoho, Bridgestone, Cognizant, Sodexo and many others have placed a strong emphasis on the managerial principles and beliefs that have developed within these organisations' cultures. In the last few years, the many such organizations have expanded significantly, and most studies have paid great attention to their performance and discovered a close association among their culture and leadership. These are the most successful worldwide corporations, and for them, the fit between leadership and culture is not a question of coincidence. The essential to remember is that culture will only be associated with greater performance if the culture can adjust to changes in the environment and if it possesses unique characteristics that cannot be replicated. Furthermore, the relationship between power and company performance is a topic that is crucial for both academics and practitioners to understand and discuss. The topic of leadership and its impact on a company and its employees is one that is always interesting.

¹Ph.D Research Scholar & ²Assistant Professor, Department of Social Work, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 023, Tamil Nadu, India.

Corresponding author *B. Lakshmi Narayanan

The leadership research began with a trait-based approach and gradually expanded as time went on. In response to the varied aspects of leadership, a wide range of leadership styles, including transactional, supporting and participative leadership styles as well as superordinate and servant leadership styles, entrepreneurial leadership, and spiritual leadership, have emerged. A number of academics have suggested that the effectiveness of a company's leadership is linked to the success of the firm. Individuals studying organisational theory as well as those working in the business community have considered each one of these leadership styles. The relationship among leadership and performance, and the relationship among culture and performance, have, on the other hand, been the subject of a very few research. In the same way as the relationship between the three categories has been investigated. Many of the studies on culture have been carried out in various countries around the world.

The importance of cultural elements has been highlighted at this research. Many studies of corporate cultures give only cursory consideration to the role of leadership. Consequently, it is necessary to get a grasp as to how culture and leadership interact to effect company performance. The purpose of this research is to extend and broaden the implications of the link between organizational culture types, which are classified as competitor, innovative, bureaucracy, and society, and leadership styles, which are classified as competitive, inventive, bureaucratic, and society, on firm's performance. Organizational culture types are classified as competitor, innovative or inventive, bureaucratic, and community. Competitive, inventive, bureaucratic, and community organisational cultures are among the forms of organisational culture that have been examined. Furthermore, this research investigates the subject of organisational culture and leadership styles in semi-autonomous countries.

In this study, data from multiple organisations in Tamil Nadu is used to give evidence about the relationship among organisational culture, style of leadership, & performance. "Does there appear to be a substantial relationship between organisational culture and leadership styles?" asks the study. a) "Does leadership have an impact on organisational performance?" asks the paper. b) Does the culture of an organisation have an impact on the performance of the company? Final thoughts are given on how organisational culture might act as a mediating factor in the relationship between leadership and performance. It has been determined that this paper will be divided into three portions. First, a brief overview of types of culture, styles of leadership, as well as the relationship between variables is provided; second, statistical analyses are performed, and the results are compared with recent studies; and third, a discourse and managerial implications are presented in the final section of this article.

1.2 IMPORTANCE OF LEADERSHIP AND PERFORMANCE

In any organisation, the qualities of leadership and performance are critical factors in its success. When it comes to managing businesses, executives that deal with people from a variety of diverse backgrounds develop a variety of leadership styles. However, every day a fresh leadership style is developed in attempt to find the most effective, and even to create a universal definition for the most effective leadership style. The mechanical oriented leadership style and the humanist-based leadership style are the two basic categories of leadership styles that can be found in most organisations. It is because of the dynamic changes that occur both outside and within organisations that leaders have been encouraged to shift the frameworks of their leadership behaviour from a conventional methodical approach to an accepting premised leadership style to attain organizational strategies and goals. Traditional management ideas have a significant impact on traditional leadership styles, and most leaders in businesses employ a mechanistic-based leadership style to interact with and manage follower in their organisations. A common use of this leadership style is in firms operating in a stable business climate, place a strong emphasis on internal organisational environments, and place a low priority on high-commitment human resource management methods. Also noteworthy is the fact that a humanistic-based performance indicator places an emphasis on considerations that help in improving the quality of the

commander connection, such as consideration, mutual trust, participatory decision, becoming conversation, consultative, democracy, and involved with the wellbeing of society. Organizations operating in a dynamic environment are more likely to adopt this type of leadership, which concentrates on external competitiveness while also supporting high-performing human resource practises, as is usual practise.

The study's research model includes three types of leadership styles: instrumental leadership, which is based on mechanics, supporting leadership, and participative leadership, which are based on humanistic principles, according to the study's research model. Generally, a situational leadership is one that continues to operate within a present structure or culture, simply trying to meet the changing needs of followers through the use of exchanges and temporary rewarding behaviour, while also paying much attention to deviations from the norm and trying to take action to correct them. Transactional leaders are sometimes referred to as inactive managers since they tend to applaud good performance while interfering when there is a problem with performance in most of the cases. The focus is on results in this sense, and study in the research has demonstrated that leadership style is linked to organisational effectiveness. Recently, supportive leadership has garnered significant attention across a wide range of academic fields, including leadership, throughout the course of the last three decades. An effective supporting leader is one who offering highly, informational, and instrumental assistance as well as appraisal support to his or her followers. When making decisions, supportive leaders exhibit respect for, and take into consideration, the interests and preferences of their followers. According to the study, supportive leadership is substantially connected with employee satisfaction, but it is not linked with performance in the workplace.

Participatory leadership style is understood to relate to leaders who collaborate more intimately with their following and involve all levels the followers in decision-making. Barnard proposed the theory that a participative management style is more likely to boost the effectiveness of subordinates several decades ago, and it has since been broadened and refined by a large number of scholars. When it comes to planning and administering organisational operations, humanistic-based leadership styles may directly boost job satisfaction, but when it comes to performance-oriented outputs, researchers' findings are conflicting. After all, according to the study model, the associated hypothesis is as follows: **H1:** There is a relationship between the forms of leadership and the performance of an organisation.

1.3 CULTURE, LEADERSHIP, AND PERFORMANCE

Many academics have investigated the impacts and practises of leadership on corporate culture up until the present day. Furthermore, some authors stress the close relationship that exists between cultural norms and conceptions of leadership and authority. As Peterson and Smith (2000) point out, all studies on modifying culture take into account the influence and effects of the leader, and that the control of culture is the distinctive and fundamental job of leadership. The relevance of the link between the variables is also emphasised in a parallel manner to this point of view. In Kilmann's (1985) opinion, leadership is essential even though, while organizational factors change and evolve, they must be ruled and controlled, as Kilmann explains. A civilization, according to him, will inevitably become dysfunctional if it is left unchecked. As support for this stance, Bass (1985) argues that the shaping of organisational culture, which begins with competent leadership, is critical to the survival of an organisation. Rather than having to consider organisational culture as a characteristic of leadership and management, according to Bass, organisational culture has indeed been viewed as a good or service that meets the needs of industry and the environment, and leadership has been regarded as a feature of organisational culture, among other things. In the opinion of Bass (1990) and other cultural development experts, procedures for cultural development are established, and cultural norms form and develop as a result of the leaders' efforts. The way leaders respond to difficulties, manage crises, award and punish followers, among other things, are all key aspects in defining the culture

of a firm, as is how the leader is seen both internally by followers and externally by clients/customers.

In addition to these, the relationship among culture and leadership offers a variety of viewpoints that shed light on the relationship between leadership behaviour and company culture. Leadership style and a healthy organisational culture are strongly associated with each other, according to the functionalist perspective. It is believed that the actions and behaviours of leadership have had an effect on the culture of an organisation. This position is supported by research. Trice and Beyer (1991) found that the substance of new organisation behavior is supplied by the ideas of the leaders of the organisation. Thus, cultural development is a product of the innovative and charismatic management that has already been developed in the organisation. Those who subscribe to the attributing perspective feel that leaders wield significant influence and power on their organisations. To the extent that they are consistent with attribution beliefs, different frameworks and empirical data imply there is a positive relationship among judgments of leaders' performance and assessments of company culture. The contingency perspective asserts that the leaders can have an impact on the results of organisations in particular circumstances, including during crises and when there is a high degree of uncertainty in the surroundings. During uncertain times or crisis, leaders' capacity to apply their abilities may be constrained by the company culture, which may present opportunities for leaders to make use of their strengths.

As a result, the role that leadership plays in the development of corporate cultures has not been well investigated. The relationship between organisational culture and leadership styles is crucial, and it is confirmed by several studies. However, the association between the variables and organisational performance has received little empirical support, which is unexpected given the importance of the variables. Anecdotal evidence is used by the majority of academics to infer a relationship between leadership culture and performance. For example, Brown (2003) asserts that strong leaders enhance their abilities to transform their organization's culture in terms of improving the overall performance of the organisation. Hennessey (1998) evaluated the variables empirically, but the interactions between them were looked into individually by other researchers. This connection between these three notions is not completely understood in terms of its specific nature and manner of interaction. The findings of Ogbonna and Harris's (2000) study are parallel to the objectives of this investigation at this time. In order to investigate the previously described relationships, the following related hypothesis is proposed: **H2:** There is a relationship between the dimensions of culture and the types of leaders. **H3:** There is a mediating effect of organisational culture on the link between leadership types and organisational performance, according to the findings.

1.4 RESEARCH DESIGN

The scope of the study, hypothesis, research model, scales utilised in the study, and sample are all discussed in detail in this section. Following the presentation of the theoretical backdrop, statistical studies are carried out in order to address the research model. The information required for the field search was gathered through the use of a face-to-face survey questionnaire with employees from a variety of different-sized industrial and service sector organisations. The employees who took part in the survey were chosen at random, and a total of 295 valid questionnaires responses were gathered. This study used the regression and correlation analysis analyses to examine the data, which was done in the SPSS statistical software.

1.5 DATA DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

1.5 (i) Factor Analysis

For the analysis of our data, we employed the SPSS programme version 18.0. The validity of the scales is determined using factor analysis, and The Cronbach alpha scale is used to determine the reliability and validity of a study. A correlation and regression analysis are

performed in order to test the hypotheses provided in the research. According to the figures in the generally pro table, all elements are deemed to have a correlation coefficient more than 0.50 ($r > 0.30$), suggesting that all elements were included in factorization. The factorial structure was determined by the use of a factor loadings with parameters by varimax rotation, in which all factors were investigated at the same time. Because several items had such a p-value or less 0.50 or correlation with more than one factor, and because some factors contained one item, factor analysis was carried out one item at a time until the ideal table was obtained and after the three objects have been removed, the remaining items have naturally disclosed six elements. Leadership is constituted of two dimensions: one that is humanistic in nature, and another that is performance-based. All of the expected performance elements occurred in a single component, as predicted. Culture can be divided into three categories: bureaucratic culture, community culture, and competitive culture. In this sample, the sub-dimension of inventive culture is included as part of the competitive culture dimension. This conclusion is backed by researchers' phrases such as "Real organisations do not cleanly fit into one of these four models or the other. In reality, organisations do not include models; rather, organisations do contain all of the models and their components. All four paradigms can be found in every organisation". It is clear from our sample's KMO (0,939) and significance value ($p=0.00$) that it is appropriate for the hypothesis tests.

Table 1 : Factors that influence the dependent variable's loading: firm performance

KMO: ,939 Explained total variance: %67,195	Firm performance (% variance:17,797)
The number of defective products/services is decreasing.	820
The level of customer satisfaction is rising.	878
Sales are on the rise.	780
When compared to our competitors, the market reacts more positively to change and innovation in our products.	752
With its innovative product/service supply, our organisation is the first on the market.	721
The company's market share is growing.	728
Customer demand for product/service quality is met, yet there is an overabundance of it.	725
The number of complaints from customers is falling.	721
In the last five years, our company's new product/service supply has increased.	701

1.5 (ii) Correlation Analysis

For each variable, the researcher computed the mean scores, and then we did a correlations analysis to assess whether or not there was a relationship of the dependent and independent variables. According to the results of the correlation study, all variables are correlated with one another. The variables have a medium level of association with one another (between 0,424 and 0,700). The Cronbach alpha scale is employed in order to explore the elements that influence the dependability of scores. With the exception of "bureaucratic culture," all alpha values are more than 0.70, but early research suggests that a value of 0.691 is an acceptable number. According to Deshpande (1993), appropriate cronbach alpha levels in culture-related studies are those with values greater than.65, and our overall scores are acceptable. Depending on the outcomes of the sampling methods for reliability and validity mentioned above, it is believed that the components of the features are adequately accurate and reliable to test hypotheses and to provide support for the hypotheses under consideration.

Table 2: Mean, Standard Deviation, and Coefficients of Correlation

Factors	S.D	Mea n	1	2	3	4	5	6
Humanistic Based Leadership	75828	3258 4	92 1					
Mechanistic based leadership	78526	3845 7	66 0	842				
Competitive culture	85236	8548 7	65 8	254	587			
Bureaucratic culture	85698	3254 8	52 4	658	258	25 4		
Community culture	78542	2569 8	54 8	254	268	25 8	27 8	
Firm Performance	58746	2587 4	56 9	587	254	58 7	36 5	547

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level SD = Standard Deviation () = Cronbach's alpha

1.6 DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

This empirical study has discovered a link between different types of organisational culture, different types of leadership, and different types of company performance. According to the findings of this study, organisational culture appears to be the most important factor influencing leadership styles and company success. This argues that firms should place a strong emphasis on organisational culture in order to achieve successful commercial outcomes. In addition to it, organisational culture has a direct impact on organisational performance. The present findings appear to be consistent with prior studies, which have discovered a positive association between organisational culture and the performance of a corporation in general. In the current study, it was discovered that there are three types of culture that are closely associated to performance. The study of the relationships between aggressive cultural area and company performance reveals that there are direct, strong, and beneficial relationships. In agreement with Slater's (1998) findings, which demonstrated that an externally focused company culture is favourably associated with performance. Furthermore, this study lends credence to the opinions of those who have argued that a competitive organisational culture has a favourable and significant impact on organisational outcomes, as has been proposed. Despite the fact that the current study identified an indirect association between two aspects of cultural performance, the findings of the study revealed a direct connection between governmental and community culture and performance. Although the current study's findings are inconsistent with past research, it does not rule out the possibility that a bureaucratic organisational culture has a detrimental impact on organisational outcomes. Because community culture, characteristics of human commitment, teamwork, and self-management were ranked highly among the organisational values, the favourable association between community activities and performance may be partly explained by this fact. The results of regression studies conducted in order to assess the association between leadership styles and company performance have showed a statistically significant relationship. Results of this result is consistent with previous research, which revealed a positive association among supportive and collaborative leadership styles and performance in organisations. These findings lend further credence to the notion that positive leadership behaviour is likely to improve performance, particularly in stressful or ambiguous situations. This study also demonstrates that boosting subordinated commitment and engagement under the participatory leader behaviour model can improve organisational performance. The association among combat system leadership and performance is also consistent with previous studies. This study has yielded results that are consistent with the conclusions of a large body of past research in this sector, which is encouraging. As predicted, organisational culture plays a role in mediating the relationships between leadership styles and organisational success. This

study found that for humanistic-based leadership, bureaucrat and competitive culture are partial mediators of this relationship, but that, to the researcher's surprise, community culture is not relevant in this relationship. Furthermore, when compared to other mediating interactions, the mediating influence of community culture on putting the value leadership is more pronounced. All types of cultures are partly mediators in the relationship between mechanic-based leadership and performance in the context of mechanic-based leadership. This is empirical evidence in favour of the relationship between leadership, culture, and overall performance. It follows from these findings that organisational structure and culture are critical components of a company's overall performance. Organisational culture and leadership are important factors in achieving excellent firm performance in today's competitive business climate.

1.6 Conflict of interest statement

There is no conflict of interest

1.7 REFERENCE

- Amabile, T.M., Schatzel, E.A., Moneta, G.B., & Kramer, S.J. (2004), "Leader behaviors and the work environment for creativity: Perceived leader support" *Leadership Quarterly*, 15(1), 5-32.
- Barnard, C. I. (1938), *The Functions of the Executive*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Uni. Press.
- Barney J. B. (1986), "Organizational Culture: Can It Be a Source of Sustained Competitive Advantage?" *Academy of Management Rev.* Vol. 11. No. 3. 656-665.
- Bass, B. M. (1985), *Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations*, New York: The Free Press.
- Bass, B. M. (1990), *Bass & Stogdill's Handbook of Leadership: Theory, Research, & Managerial Applications*, NY: The Free Press
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1990), *The multifactor leadership questionnaire*, Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Brown, B.B. (2003), *Employees' Organizational Commitment and Their Perception of Supervisors' Relations-Oriented and Task-Oriented Leadership Behaviors*, Virginia Polytechnic Inst. and State Uni.
- Certo, S.C, Certo S.T (2009), "Modern Management Concepts and Skills" Pearson Int.Ed.
- Denison, D R.; Mishra, Aneil K. (1995), "Toward a Theory of Organizational Culture and Effectiveness" *Organization Science*, Mar/Apr, Vol. 6 Issue 2, p204-223
- Deshpande R, Farley J. U, Webster, Jr. F. (1993), "Corporate Culture, Customer Orientation, and Innovativeness in Japanese Firms: A Quadrad Analysis", *J. of Marketing* Vol. 57, 23-27 Quadrad.
- Hennessey J.T. (1998), "'Reinventing' government: Does leadership make the difference?", *Public Admin. Rev.* Volume: 58 Issue: 6 Pages: 522-532.
- Hofstede G (2001), *Culture's Consequences Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations Across Nations*, Sage Pub.
- House R. (2004), *Culture, Leadership, and Organizations: The GLOBE Study of 62 Societies*, Sage Pub.
- Howell, J. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1993), "Transformational leadership, transactional leadership, locus of control, and support for innovation: Key predictors of consolidated business-unit performance" *J. of Applied Psyc.*, 78, 891-902.
- Huang X., I. Joyce, A. Liu, Y. Gong, (2010), "Does participative leadership enhance work performance by inducing empowerment or trust? The differential Effects on Managerial and Non-managerial Subordinates", *J. of Organizational Behavior*, 31, 122-143.
- Jiang X (2008) "The determinants and trends of China's exportable structure" *China Economic J.*, Vol. 1, No. 2, July 2008, 191-202
- Kilmann, R. H., Sexton M. J., Serpa R. (1985), *Gaining Control of the Corporate Culture*, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Masadeghrad, A.M. (2003), *The Role of Participative Management (Suggestion System) in Hospital Effectiveness and Efficiency*, *Research in Medical Sciences*, Isfahan, 8(3), 85- 9.

- Ogbonna E. and Harris C. L. (2000), "Leadership style, organizational culture and performance: empirical evidence from UK companies," *Int. J. of Human Resource Management*, 11:4 August 2000 766-788.
- Peterson M. F. & Smith P (2000), *Sources of Meaning, Organization and Culture: Making Sense of Organizational Events*. *Handbook of Organizational Culture and Climate*, Thousands Oak Sage, p101-116.
- Rad, A.M.M., & Yarmohammadian, M.H. (2006), "A Study of Relationship Between Managers' Leadership Style and Employees' Job Satisfaction", *Leadership in Health Services*, 19 (2), 11 – 28.
- Slater, S.F. and Narver, J.C. (1998), "Customer-led and market-led: let's not confuse the two", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 19 No. 10, pp. 1001-6
- Schein, E. H., (2004), *Organizational Culture and Leadership*, 3th ed. ,John Wiley & Sons.
- Trice, H. M.; Beyer, J. M. (1991), *Cultural Leadership in Organizations*, *Organization Science*, Vol. 2 Issue 2, p149-169.
- Yukl, G. (2002), *Leadership in Organizations*, 5th ed., Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Zehir, Cemal & Gülen Ertosun, Öznur & Zehir, Songül & Müceldili, Büşra. (2011). The Effects of Leadership Styles and Organizational Culture over Firm Performance: Multi-National Companies in İstanbul. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 24. 1460-1474. 10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.09.032