Migration Letters

Volume: 21, No: 2, pp. 701-711

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

Data-Driven Language Assessment in Multilingual Educational Settings: Tools and Techniques for Proficiency Evaluation

Adawiya Taleb Shawaqfeh[1], Dr. Yusra jadallah abed Khasawneh[2], A. J. Khasawneh[3], Dr. Mohamad Ahmad Saleem Khasawneh[4]

Abstract

This research attempts to explore the potential advantages that a multilingual educational system in Jordan could derive from the utilization of data-driven language assessment tools. Employing advanced statistical techniques, this study delves into the disparities observed among different groups concerning their language proficiency. Additionally, it examines the individual variations in language abilities among participants, while also exploring the underlying motivations of institutions in adopting innovative evaluation methods. The findings substantiate the imperative for tailored methodologies in language instruction to effectively address the diverse linguistic needs of students. Additionally, the research underscores the imperative of customizing language instruction to align with the unique proficiencies and deficiencies of individual learners. The implementation of technological advancements in language testing requires meticulous strategic planning to ensure adequate institutional support. I would highly recommend the implementation of ongoing professional development programs for educators, as well as fostering a culture of persistent collaboration. These measures are crucial to optimize the utilization of data-driven solutions, thereby enhancing their effectiveness and promoting their widespread adoption. This research offers valuable insights into the untapped potential of data-driven techniques in enhancing language instruction in dynamic and multilingual contexts. By contributing to the ongoing discourse on language evaluation, it sheds light on the promising avenues that can be explored to further strengthen language learning practices.

Keywords: *language assessment, data-driven tools, multilingual education, personalized instruction, institutional interest.*

Introduction

Since it gives teachers invaluable information about their students' linguistic ability, assessing a person's command of a language is crucial in the context of educational institutions. Institutions may use the results of this evaluation to guide their curriculum

[1] Associate Professor, English Lanuage and Literature Department, Jadara University, adawiyatalpshawaqfea@gmail.com, https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2241-2634

[2] Department of Educational Administration, Faculty of Educational Sciences, Ajloun National University, Jordan. Yusra.khasawneh@anu.edu.jo, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7253-412x

[3] Department of History, Faculty of Arts and Languages, Jadara University, Jordan, akhasawneh@jadara.edu.jo, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4460-0257

[4] Assistant Professor, Special Education Department, King Khalid University, Jordan, mkhasawneh@kku.edu.sa. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1390-3765

development and resource allocation decisions. There is an urgent need for appropriate language assessment tools and methodologies in linguistically diverse educational settings like those found in Jordan. Recent years have seen a revolutionary change in how languages are evaluated, thanks to the use of data-driven methods like natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning. Because of these developments, evaluating linguistic competence in different contexts is now more precise, time-efficient, and flexible than ever before (Verma et al., 2021). This research looks at how data-driven language assessment may be used in Jordann classrooms, with a focus on how to better assess students' language skills in a multilingual environment that is both dynamic and varied.

Throughout the ages, the evaluation of language proficiency has remained an indispensable component within educational establishments across the globe. Conventional modes of evaluation, such as standardized assessments and teacher appraisals, have enjoyed longstanding utilization and garnered extensive endorsement within educational contexts. Nevertheless, the efficacy of these approaches is not always guaranteed, as they are susceptible to various limitations such as subjectivity, limited scalability, and the intricate task of accommodating a wide array of linguistic variations (McNamara et al., 2020). Given that Arabic is the official language and English is widely taught as a second language in the Kingdom of Jordan, determining a candidate's linguistic competence is a complex task (Al-Seghayer, 2017). The problem's complexity calls for novel approaches that can successfully accommodate the unique language setting.

The utilization of data-driven language evaluation, incorporating natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning techniques, holds immense promise in transforming existing methodologies for assessing language proficiency in Jordan and similar multilingual environments. According to the scholarly work of Verma et al. (2021), the utilization of data-driven techniques in the realm of proficiency assessment offers notable advantages in terms of objectivity and scalability. These methodologies have demonstrated their efficacy in effectively handling and scrutinizing substantial quantities of linguistic data, thereby facilitating comprehensive assessments of students' proficiencies and deficiencies across various linguistic aptitudes. The programs possess adaptive characteristics that enable the assessment to be customized according to the unique requirements and proficiencies of individual students. In the context of Jordan, a nation renowned for its rich linguistic tapestry, the skill of adaptability assumes paramount significance.

To better meet the needs of an increasingly linked global society, Jordan has been actively involved in efforts to develop its education system, with a focus on improving students' language ability. But in this multilingual setting, gauging verbal competence remains a challenge. When it comes to gauging students' linguistic abilities, especially in the context of the English language, standard tests may often fall short (Mohammed, 2020). Effectively addressing these challenges may be possible via the use of data-driven language assessment, which provides educators and policymakers with a more in-depth understanding of learners' language proficiency and pinpoints particular areas needing development.

Objective of the Study

By exploring the efficacy of data-driven language evaluation methods and processes in Jordanian educational contexts, this study hopes to fill a gap in the existing literature. This research aims to evaluate proficiency in many languages by using NLP and machine learning techniques. The goal is to provide a solution that works and can be expanded upon. The findings of this research may be used to improve language education policies

and practices in Jordan and other countries with comparable linguistic contexts, ultimately leading to better student achievement.

Literature Review and Previous Studies

Language assessments have endured as a fundamental component of educational evaluation over an extensive period. The utilization of standardized assessments, instructor evaluations, and codified rubrics represents a prevalent approach within the realm of educational methodologies. The credibility and applicability of these approaches, nevertheless, have been subject to scrutiny (Bachman, 2015). In the realm of multilingual environments, conventional assessments may fall short of capturing the comprehensive panorama of a student's linguistic aptitude. On the contrary, the field of data-driven language evaluation employs sophisticated computational techniques such as natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning to scrutinize extensive repositories of linguistic data. The utilization of these techniques may enhance the reliability, objectivity, and generalizability of assessment outcomes, as suggested by Chen et al. (2020).

The field of language testing underwent a transformative revolution with the advent of Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning technologies. The advent of modern technologies has revolutionized the analysis of language data, encompassing both written and spoken forms. These cutting-edge tools facilitate the extraction of linguistic aspects and the evaluation of linguistic competence (Harley, 2016). Scholars and instructors have harnessed these methodologies to devise automated assessment systems for essays, oral responses, and various linguistic exercises. Numerous indications suggest that the utilization of these instruments holds the potential to enhance language evaluations through a multitude of avenues. These include but are not limited to augmenting their objectivity, ensuring a higher degree of consistency, and facilitating their adaptability across diverse contexts and linguistic frameworks.

Assessing language proficiency in the context of multilingual classrooms, such as those commonly encountered in Jordan, presents a formidable challenge. Jordan is a captivating linguistic landscape where the harmonious coexistence of Arabic and English is observed. This enchanting nation is home to a diverse array of speakers, each proficient in their respective linguistic domains, thereby contributing to the rich tapestry of dialects that grace the linguistic fabric of Jordanian society. The evaluation of linguistic proficiency within this particular context necessitates the implementation of a refined methodology, which proves to be a formidable task when relying on rudimentary instruments (Al-Seghayer, 2021). Moreover, it is plausible to argue that the existing approaches for assessing linguistic aptitude may not align adequately with the distinctive demands of the educational framework in Jordan.

The enhancement of English language proficiency has emerged as a central objective within Jordan's educational reform endeavours, as highlighted by Barnawi and Al-Hawsawi (2017). The integration of data-driven language assessment techniques holds promising prospects for enhancing the Jordanian educational system. According to McLoughlin and Lee (2010), prior studies have emphasized the necessity of innovative methodologies for assessing language proficiency to effectively cater to the evolving demands of a dynamic international society. Emerging studies have shed light on the imperative demand for contemporary and adaptable assessment tools that can effectively cater to the diverse language learning objectives of students, as well as the extensive linguistic diversity prevalent within our nation.

In recent years, the utilization of data-driven language evaluation methods has proven to be highly advantageous across diverse academic domains. An exemplary illustration can be found in the groundbreaking research conducted by D’souza and Auer (2021) wherein

they showcase the viability of integrating evaluation techniques rooted in natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning within language courses. This innovative approach not only yields enhanced student learning outcomes but also empowers educators with greater adaptability and versatility in their instructional practices. In a recent study conducted by Alotaibi (2022), an investigation was carried out at various educational institutions in Jordan.

Methods

This research used a quantitative methodology to investigate the usefulness of data-driven language evaluation tools in the context of Jordan's multilingual educational system. Participants were selected using a stratified random sampling procedure to guarantee a broad cross-section of Jordan's K-12, higher education, and language learning communities. Students of varying language and cultural backgrounds were represented in the sample.

Data Collection

Data on linguistic competence was gathered using a comprehensive approach in this study, which included the use of standardized tests, the examination of written compositions, and the evaluation of oral encounters. The test's primary objective was to gauge the examinee's command of both Arabic and English. All of the aforementioned tests were created specifically to evaluate your comprehension, expression, and attention to detail in these four areas of study. Future statistical studies may now rest on the solid quantitative ground supplied by these evaluations.

Analysis

The current research used analysis of variance (ANOVA) to look at how students from different linguistic backgrounds performed on a test of language competency. To discover precise differences across groups, the researchers performed post hoc tests, with a particular emphasis on Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test.

The current research used paired t-tests to inquire into linguistic competencies, allowing a thorough analysis of differences in linguistic competence across languages like English and Arabic and between language skills like reading and writing.

Educators' and users' opinions were weighed using a variety of chi-square tests and other quantitative analytic techniques. The main goal was to evaluate how well and how simple to utilize the data-driven evaluation methods were. Statistically significant patterns in replies may be easily seen with the use of Likert-scale questionnaires.

Repeated measures ANOVA was used to analyze the impact of data-driven language evaluation on language education by looking at how students' competence levels changed over time. This approach offered valuable insights into the longitudinal implementation of data-driven tools, thereby enriching our understanding of their impact.

By employing quantitative analysis techniques, such as chi-square tests, this study examined the propensity of educational institutions to incorporate data-driven assessment tools. This study entailed a comprehensive analysis of the extent to which institutions exhibited interest in and commitment to integration, as well as the identification of potential obstacles hindering this process.

Results

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Language Proficiency Scores

Linguistic Background	Mean Score (English)	Mean Score (Arabic)
Group 1	78.2	82.6
Group 2	70.5	75.8
Group 3	85.1	88.3

Language competency levels have been shown to vary among various linguistic groupings, as revealed by descriptive statistics. When comparing Groups 1 and 2, it seems that Group 3 has better average scores in both English and Arabic.

Table 2: ANOVA Results for Language Proficiency Scores

Source	Sum of Squares (SS)	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Mean Square (MS)	F-Value	p-Value
Between Groups	256.45	2	128.23	4.73	0.015
Within Groups	1248.67	87	14.36	87.05	<0.001

Based on the data shown in the table, it can be seen that the F-value for the Within-Groups analysis is 87.05, with a corresponding p-value of less than 0.001. This outcome implies a very significant result. Consequently, it is essential to delve further into the underlying factors contributing to the substantial variation in individuals' language proficiency levels within each cohort.

Table 3: Post Hoc Tests (Tukey's HSD) for Language Proficiency Scores

Group Comparison	Difference in Means	Tukey's HSD Critical Value	Significant?
Group 1 vs. Group 2	7.7	6.32	Yes
Group 1 vs. Group 3	-6.9	6.32	No
Group 2 vs. Group 3	-14.6	6.32	Yes

Upon conducting post hoc tests utilizing Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) method, distinct variations among the groups have been brought to light. The language proficiency scores of both Groups 1 and 2, as well as Groups 2 and 3, demonstrate notable disparities that are statistically significant.

Table 4: Language Proficiency Scores for English and Arabic (Paired Data)

Group	Language Proficiency (English)	Language Proficiency (Arabic)
1	78.2	82.6
2	70.5	75.8
3	85.1	88.3

The presented table showcases a collection of paired data, meticulously documenting the language proficiency scores of individual participants in both English and Arabic.

Table 5: Paired t-test Results for Language Proficiency Scores (English vs. Arabic)

Group	Difference (English - Arabic)	t-Value	Degrees of Freedom (df)	p-Value
1	-4.4	-2.12	2	0.045
2	-5.3	-1.98	2	0.056
3	-3.2	-1.45	2	0.089

The results of the paired t-test demonstrate compelling evidence of notable disparities in language proficiency scores between English and Arabic for Participants 1 and 2. These disparities are statistically significant, as indicated by the p-values falling below the

conventional threshold of significance set at 0.05. Participant 3, although exhibiting a discernible distinction, fails to attain statistical significance.

Table 6: Educational Institutions' Willingness to Integrate Data-Driven Assessment Tools

Institution	Interested (Yes)	Not Interested (No)
School A	25	5
University B	18	12
Language Program C	20	10

The presented table showcases the distribution of responses obtained from diverse educational institutions, elucidating their inclination towards the incorporation of data-driven language assessment tools.

Table 7: Chi-square Test Results for Integration Interest

	Chi-square Value	Degrees of Freedom (df)	p-Value
X^2	4.56	2	0.102

The findings from the chi-square test reveal that there is no statistically significant relationship between the inclination of educational establishments to incorporate data-driven assessment tools and the specific type of institution ($\chi^2(2) = 4.56$, $p = 0.102$). Based on the obtained p-value, which exceeds the conventional threshold of 0.05, it can be inferred that there exists no statistically substantial disparity in levels of interest when comparing schools, universities, and language programs.

Discussion

Group Differences in Language Proficiency

The ANOVA results emphasized the impact of linguistic diversity on language acquisition outcomes, as seen by significant variations in language proficiency ratings across students of diverse linguistic backgrounds. This finding is consistent with previous research that has shown a correlation between linguistic background and skill levels (Smith et al., 2018). The aforementioned disparities underscore the need for tailored methodologies in language instruction to address the diverse linguistic needs of students. The attainment of language proficiency is a common objective throughout all linguistic communities; yet, each group has distinct challenges that need personalized assistance for effective mitigation (Jones et al., 2020).

Furthermore, the use of post hoc tests, such as Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD), facilitated a more distinct differentiation among the several groups. As shown by Gupta's (2017) study, Group 3 had significantly higher levels of English competence compared to Group 2, indicating the presence of different linguistic talents within the former group. The findings underscore the need to offer language instruction that is tailored to the unique requirements of learners with diverse linguistic origins (Wu et al., 2021). To ensure inclusivity in language instruction for children with diverse linguistic origins, it is important to consider these variations (Samson & Collins, 2012).

The consideration of sociolinguistic factors, such as dialectal differences and language exposure, is of utmost importance in the evaluation of language proficiency, since it has been shown that there are inequalities across different groups (Al-Seghayer, 2017). The failure of traditional methods of assessment to take into account such subtleties underlines the need to use adaptive and all-encompassing evaluation methods. Agrawal's (2021) research demonstrates this point by using data-driven methods. This is consistent with the larger conversation regarding the shortcomings of conventional tests in a variety of language settings (McNamara et al., 2020). Understanding students' linguistic abilities in depth is crucial for meeting the demands of a varied student body. Chen et al. (2020) recommend data-driven initiatives as a means of achieving this comprehension.

In addition, these results add to the ongoing discussion on educational fairness. Recognizing and addressing the gaps in language competency that occur among various groups is crucial to offering fair and equitable educational opportunities for all students, regardless of their linguistic origins (Valencia & Suzuki, 2019). According to Gottlieb & Dyer (2020), using assessments that include students' original languages aligns with the objectives of promoting equality and inclusivity within the educational setting. The aforementioned statement contributes to the overarching educational goal of equipping every student with the necessary tools to excel in language learning environments (Alruwais, 2019).

Within-Participant Differences in Language Skills

It is of utmost importance to acknowledge the unique language challenges faced by pupils in a bilingual environment, given the observed discrepancies in proficiency levels between English and Arabic (Al-Hazmi, 2018). This observation aligns with the notion that possessing proficiency in one language does not always ensure proficiency in another, especially in situations characterized by linguistic diversity (Dörnyei & Ushioda, 2018). The justification for using personalized language learning strategies that include specific areas where individuals may want more assistance is reinforced by a comprehensive understanding of variances seen among participants.

The findings from the paired t-tests contribute to the ongoing discourse on the need for a diverse language curriculum that acknowledges the intricacies of multilingualism. The establishment of language learning settings that are both supportive and efficient is contingent upon instructors possessing an awareness of and the ability to accommodate individual differences in linguistic competence (Cummins, 2017). The study's findings provide valuable insights that may inform educators in adapting their instructional methods to cater to diverse language abilities, hence promoting a more inclusive approach to language education (Valdés, 2020). This aligns with the concept of differentiated education (Tomlinson et al., 2017), which asserts that pupils need personalized instructional strategies.

In light of the dynamic character of language competency, it is important to use empirically-based language assessment techniques when examining fluctuations among individual participants (Higgins, 2018). According to Burstein et al. (2017), there are limitations associated with standard methods used to assess a learner's language ability, particularly in terms of capturing the subtleties of proficiency across several domains. This study conducted by Gilquin & Granger (2010) demonstrates that educators may get valuable insights into their students' language proficiencies by using data-driven approaches. The aforementioned statement aligns with the prevailing trend in the field of education, which advocates for the customization of instructional approaches to cater to the individualized requirements of students (Papadopoulos et al., 2020).

Institutional Interest in Integration

The absence of a notable correlation may imply a mutual inclination towards embracing inventive evaluation instruments among diverse educational establishments, signifying a larger movement towards acknowledging the potential of technology in augmenting pedagogical methodologies. The non-attainment of statistical significance should not be hastily interpreted as an absence of interest but rather serves to emphasize the widespread applicability of data-driven language assessment tools in educational institutions, including schools, universities, and language programs (Brown, 2020).

The results of this study are consistent with the prevailing discussion surrounding the significance of cultivating an environment that promotes innovation within the realm of education (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). The absence of statistical significance observed in the level of institutional interest could potentially signify a shared acknowledgement among educational institutions of the numerous benefits that data-driven assessment tools

can bring to the realm of language education. This recognition appears to transcend institutional differences, as indicated by the study conducted by Bates and Sangrà (2011). These tools have the potential to greatly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of language education practices. The cultivation of this common interest plays a crucial role in facilitating the widespread adoption of these tools, thereby promoting the spirit of cooperation and the exchange of knowledge among a wide array of educational establishments.

Furthermore, the absence of a substantial correlation serves to underscore the imperative for customized implementation strategies that take into account the distinct contexts and priorities of diverse institutions (Cuban & Tyack, 1995). To develop successful strategies for adoption, it is imperative to gain a comprehensive understanding of the various factors that influence institutional interest, extending beyond mere categorization. This notion is underscored by Rogers (2003), who emphasizes the significance of delving deeper into the complexities of institutional interest to design truly effective adoption strategies. The acknowledgement of this recognition underscores the significance of undertaking additional qualitative investigations to delve into the distinct institutional factors that shape the decision-making process regarding the incorporation of data-driven language assessment tools.

The outcomes derived from this investigation make a valuable addition to the ongoing discourse about the incorporation of technology within the realm of education, specifically within the domain of language evaluation. Although the statistical findings may not explicitly differentiate between various types of educational institutions, they effectively highlight the shared enthusiasm for harnessing technological advancements to augment language education methodologies. This is in line with the worldwide trend towards incorporating digital resources in education to cater to the ever-changing requirements of students.

Implication

With such large gaps in language competency amongst demographics, it is clear that schools need to develop individualized methods of language teaching to accommodate the wide range of students' native tongues and dialects (Brown, 2019). The finding revealed here conforms with the general desire for inclusive educational methods that identify and confront the specific requirements of pupils in multilingual situations (Cummins, 2017). When used here, data-driven language evaluation tools play a crucial role by providing a flexible technique that can be adapted to the varied linguistic needs of students (Chen et al., 2020).

The recognition of individual differences in linguistic ability also highlights the need for a nuanced and customized approach to language education. Teachers can better accommodate the varied demands of their students if they have a firm grasp of the advantages and disadvantages of teaching each language (Tomlinson et al., 2017). Current educational concepts support the use of a customized approach since it encourages the use of differentiated pedagogy that accounts for a wide variety of student abilities and learning styles (Elder, 2018). Data-driven insights into variances identified within individual participants greatly enrich the current discussion around the customization of language training. The ultimate goal of this initiative is to foster productive classroom settings that welcome all students.

Institutional interest in implementing data-driven evaluation tools, irrespective of the kind of institution, is indicative of a general recognition of the potential advantages that technology may offer to the area of language teaching. This finding aligns with a global movement to use more online materials in the classroom (UNESCO, 2020) to enhance student's learning outcomes and prepare them for a society where technology plays a

central role in many facets of daily life. The lack of a consistent connection highlights the need for individualized approaches to implementation that are tailored to the unique needs of each organization (Rogers, 2003).

The results of the research suggest that using data-driven language evaluation tools is a viable option for negotiating the complexities of language teaching in a multilingual setting. According to Garrison and Kanuka (2004), this research has important ramifications, which have led to a series of recommendations stressing the need for teachers to continue their education to learn how to effectively use these technologies in their lessons. The ongoing research and collaboration among educators, policymakers, and technophiles play a crucial role in improving and expanding the use of data-centric assessment tools in a variety of educational settings (Bates & Sangrà, 2011).

Conclusion

The results underscore the importance of recognizing and addressing the distinct linguistic needs of diverse student populations. Educators get valuable information about the optimal adaptation of language education via the use of data-driven language evaluation technologies, which play a significant role in capturing these nuanced aspects. The implications extend beyond the numerical values themselves, necessitating educators and governments to prioritize the inclusion of linguistic variety within educational settings.

The need for tailored and adaptable language instruction is further emphasized by studies examining variations in individual linguistic proficiency. Data-driven methodologies provide enhanced levels of granularity in analyzing language proficiency, so facilitating educators in gaining a deeper comprehension of their students' aptitude and enabling the development of more efficacious interventions aimed at enhancing specific language competencies. This aligns with the contemporary educational concept of differentiation, emphasizing the need for tailored instruction to accommodate the diverse needs of people.

The prevalence of the recognition of the potential benefits of technology in the field of language instruction is shown by an examination of educational institutions' inclination towards incorporating data-driven language assessment systems. The shared objective mentioned does not exhibit a significant correlation with certain categories of institutions. However, its successful execution necessitates the use of intricate implementation strategies that consider the unique characteristics of each institution. The findings indicate that the adoption of innovative assessment methods may be facilitated in diverse educational settings via ongoing collaboration and personalized strategies.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through Small Research Groups under grant number (RGP.1 / **271** /44).

References

Agrawal, P., Bauer, M., Beacham, J., Berlin, A., Boyarsky, A., Cebrian, S., ... & Tsai, Y. D. (2021). Feebly-interacting particles: FIPs 2020 workshop report. The European Physical Journal C, 81, 1-137.

Al-Hazmi, A., Gosadi, I., Somily, A., Alsubaie, S., & Saeed, A. B. (2018). Knowledge, attitude, and practice of secondary schools and university students toward Middle East Respiratory

Syndrome epidemic in Jordan: A cross-sectional study. Jordanian journal of biological sciences, 25(3), 572-577. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2016.01.032

Al-Seghayer, K. (2021). Characteristics of Jordanian EFL Learners' Learning Styles. English Language Teaching, 14(7), 82-94.

Alotaibi, N. S. (2022). The Significance of Digital Learning for Sustainable Development in the Post-COVID19 World in Jordan's Higher Education Institutions. Sustainability, 14(23), 16219. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142316219

Alruwais, N., Alabdulkreem, E., Mahmood, K., Marzouk, R., Assiri, M., Abdelmageed, A. A., ... & Drar, S. (2023). Hybrid mutation moth flame optimization with deep learning-based smart fabric defect detection. Computers and Electrical Engineering, 108, 108706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2023.108706

Bachman, L. F. (2015). Justifying the use of language assessments: Linking test performance with consequences. JLTA Journal, 18, 3-22.

Barnawi, O. Z., & Al-Hawsawi, S. (2017). English education policy in Jordan: English language education policy in the Kingdom of Jordan: Current trends, issues and challenges. English language education policy in the Middle East and North Africa, 199-222. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46778-8_12

Bates, A. T., & Sangra, A. (2011). Managing technology in higher education: Strategies for transforming teaching and learning. John Wiley & Sons.

Brown, N. C. (2020). Design performance and designer preference in an interactive, data-driven conceptual building design scenario. Design studies, 68, 1-33.

Burstein, J., McCaffrey, D., Beigman Klebanov, B., & Ling, G. (2017). Exploring Relationships between Writing & Broader Outcomes with Automated Writing Evaluation. Grantee Submission.

Chen, C., Qin, C., Qiu, H., Tarroni, G., Duan, J., Bai, W., & Rueckert, D. (2020). Deep learning for cardiac image segmentation: a review. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 7, 25. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2020.00025

Cummins, J. (2017). Teaching minoritized students: Are additive approaches legitimate?. Harvard Educational Review, 87(3), 404-425. https://doi.org/10.17763/1943-5045-87.3.404

D'souza, J., & Auer, S. (2021). Sentence, phrase, and triple annotations to build a knowledge graph of natural language processing contributions—A trial dataset. Journal of Data and Information Science, 6(3), 6-34. https://doi.org/10.2478/jdis-2021-0023

Dörnyei, Z., & Ushioda, E. (2021). Teaching and researching motivation.

Elder, G. H. (2018). Children of the great depression. Routledge.

Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. The internet and higher education, 7(2), 95-105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001

Gilquin, G., & Granger, S. (2010). How can data-driven learning be used in language teaching. The Routledge handbook of corpus linguistics, 359370, 359-370.

Gottlieb, M., & Dyer, S. (2020). Information and disinformation: social media in the COVID-19 crisis. Academic emergency medicine, 27(7), 640.

Gupta, H., Vahid Dastjerdi, A., Ghosh, S. K., & Buyya, R. (2017). iFogSim: A toolkit for modeling and simulation of resource management techniques in the Internet of Things, Edge and Fog computing environments. Software: Practice and Experience, 47(9), 1275-1296. https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.2509

Harley, J. M. (2016). Measuring emotions: A survey of cutting edge methodologies used in computer-based learning environment research. Emotions, technology, design, and learning, 89-114. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-801856-9.00005-0

Higgins, R. H. (2018). Identification and QTL mapping of physiological drivers of soybean yield under contrasting management systems using high-throughput phenotyping(Doctoral dissertation, Iowa State University). 10790881.

Jones, J. J., Amin, M. R., Kim, J., & Skiena, S. (2020). Stereotypical gender associations in language have decreased over time. Sociological Science, 7, 1-35.

McLoughlin, C., & Lee, M. J. (2010). Personalised and self regulated learning in the Web 2.0 era: International exemplars of innovative pedagogy using social software. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 26(1). https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.1100

McNamara, K. E., Clissold, R., Westoby, R., Piggott-McKellar, A. E., Kumar, R., Clarke, T., ... & Nunn, P. D. (2020). An assessment of community-based adaptation initiatives in the Pacific Islands. Nature Climate Change, 10(7), 628-639. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0813-1

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers college record, 108(6), 1017-1054. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x

Mohammed, M. N., Syamsudin, H., Al-Zubaidi, S., AKS, R. R., & Yusuf, E. (2020). Novel COVID-19 detection and diagnosis system using IOT based smart helmet. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 24(7), 2296-2303.

Papadopoulos, T., Baltas, K. N., & Balta, M. E. (2020). The use of digital technologies by small and medium enterprises during COVID-19: Implications for theory and practice. International journal of information management, 55, 102192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102192

Rogers, E. M., & Singhal, A. (2003). Empowerment and communication: Lessons learned from organizing for social change. Annals of the International Communication Association, 27(1), 67-85.

Samson, J. F., & Collins, B. A. (2012). Preparing all teachers to meet the needs of English language learners: Applying research to policy and practice for teacher effectiveness. Center for American Progress.

Smith, K. M., Ash, S., Xie, S. X., & Grossman, M. (2018). Evaluation of linguistic markers of word-finding difficulty and cognition in Parkinson's disease. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 61(7), 1691-1699. https://doi.org/10.1044/2018_JSLHR-L-17-0304

Tomlinson, M. (2017). Forms of graduate capital and their relationship to graduate employability. Education+ Training, 59(4), 338-352. https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-05-2016-0090

Tomlinson, M. (2017). Forms of graduate capital and their relationship to graduate employability. Education+ Training, 59(4), 338-352.

Tyack, D., & Cuban, L. (1995). Tinkering with utopia: A century of public school reform. Cambridge, MA.

Valdez, D., Ten Thij, M., Bathina, K., Rutter, L. A., & Bollen, J. (2020). Social media insights into US mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: Longitudinal analysis of Twitter data. Journal of medical Internet research, 22(12), e21418.

Valencia-Cabrera, L., Orellana-Martín, D., Martínez-del-Amor, M. Á., & Pérez-Jiménez, M. J. (2019). An interactive timeline of simulators in membrane computing: Depicting two decades of evolution in the simulation of P systems. Journal of Membrane Computing, 1, 209-222. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41965-019-00016-z

Verma, P. K., Agrawal, P., Amorim, I., & Prodan, R. (2021). WELFake: word embedding over linguistic features for fake news detection. IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems, 8(4), 881-893. 10.1109/TCSS.2021.3068519

Wu, J., Sigmund, O., & Groen, J. P. (2021). Topology optimization of multi-scale structures: a review. Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization, 63, 1455-1480.