

## **Navigating the Digital Frontier: Responsible Innovation in China's Digital Silk Road**

Shaher Al Shaher<sup>1</sup>, Ma Yanzhe<sup>2</sup>, Mohamad Zreik<sup>3</sup>

### **Abstract**

*The "Digital Silk Road" (DSR) is the next expansion of China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). This digital initiative intends to improve communication between member states and speed up their digital transition. China's pursuit of cutting-edge technologies like 5G, AI, and e-commerce platforms are highlighted in this paper as important to China's position within this digital world. This study reveals how far China incorporates ethical, social, and developmental factors in its digital drive by drawing parallels between the key principles of responsible and open innovation. Initial research shows that the DSR has mixed effects: although it is true that it encourages the exchange of information and the digital empowerment of individuals, it also raises questions of technological sovereignty, data privacy, and digital dependency. China's Digital Silk Road is a symbol of the complex relationship between geopolitics, technical development, and ethical reform in the twenty-first century.*

**Keywords:** *Digital Silk Road, Responsible Innovation, Technological Sovereignty, 5G Connectivity, Digital Geopolitics.*

### **1. Introduction**

Initiated in 2013 by Chinese President Xi Jinping, the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) is an expansive plan to improve trade and transportation links between Asia, Europe, and Africa (Hoh, 2019). Taking its cue from the historic trade routes of the Silk Road, BRI comprises of a land-based "Silk Road Economic Belt" and a maritime "Maritime Silk Road," both of which aim to connect different parts of the world through a complex system of railroads, highways, pipelines, and ports. This concept of global interdependence is good for business, the economy, and international relations. The Digital Silk Road (DSR) is a digital-centric programme that grew out of BRI. The DSR's goals are to strengthen China's position in the international digital ecosystem, promote e-commerce, and increase the country's digital infrastructure (Naughton, 2020). This involves the widespread deployment of infrastructure including 5G networks, satellite connections, and optical fibre links between countries (Hemmings, 2020). China hopes to create a better-connected world by merging the digital and physical realms; BRI and DSR are at the vanguard of this effort. The ambitious scope of these endeavours, however, opens them up to examination and debate over their wider geopolitical ramifications.

---

<sup>1</sup> Sun Yat-sen University (Zhuhai), School of International Studies, No. 2 Daxue Road, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai, Guangdong Province, 519082, China, shaher@mail.sysu.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup> Sun Yat-sen University (Zhuhai), School of International Studies, No. 2 Daxue Road, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai, Guangdong Province, 519082, China, mayanzhe@mail.sysu.edu.cn

<sup>3</sup> Sun Yat-sen University (Zhuhai), School of International Studies, No. 2 Daxue Road, Xiangzhou District, Zhuhai, Guangdong Province, 519082, China, mohamadzreik1@gmail.com, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6812-6529>

The rise of China as a major technological power is altering the global technology environment in fundamental ways (Sachs, 2015). The country is the world's second largest economy, and its technology ambitions reflect both economic and geopolitical interests (Zreik, 2023a). China plans to be at the centre of the next technological revolution thanks to its ground-breaking work in 5G, AI, quantum computing, and biotechnology. These developments are crucial to the "Made in China 2025" strategy, which aims to transform the country from the world's factory into a centre of high-tech innovation by the year 2025 (Liu, 2018). In addition, being at the forefront of technology gives China the chance to shape international norms and standards, particularly in emerging industries where there is no set of guidelines to follow. Initiatives like the Digital Silk Road, which aim to expand digital infrastructure and influence across continents, rely heavily on this kind of leadership. This progress, however, does not come without its share of difficulties, such as dealing with worries about intellectual property rights and calming global fears of technology dominance (Lanteigne, 2019).

In the twenty-first century, the ever-evolving digital sphere is at the centre of geopolitical and socioeconomic shifts. China's Digital Silk Road (DSR) stands out as a model of technological and geopolitical cooperation in this context (Naughton, 2020). This study sets out to clarify the function of DSR as an outgrowth of China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), with a special emphasis on the country's involvement with emerging technologies including as 5G, AI, and e-commerce. To better understand the delicate balancing act between technological progress, ethical considerations, and geopolitical objectives, we must first determine whether or not China's digital policies are in line with the principles of responsible and open innovation.

In China, the rapid advancements in digital technologies have significantly influenced various social aspects, leading to a complex interplay of technological progress and social issues. This intersection is particularly evident in the realms of employment, privacy, and social equity, each of which has been profoundly impacted by technological development. The employment landscape in China has undergone a considerable transformation due to technological advancements. Digitalization and automation have created new job opportunities in tech-driven sectors, fostering a knowledge-based economy (Zreik, 2023b). However, this shift also presents challenges, such as the displacement of traditional jobs and a growing skills gap. The rise of artificial intelligence and robotics, while contributing to efficiency and innovation, raises concerns about job security and the need for workforce reskilling. The challenge lies in balancing technological progress with the preservation and creation of employment opportunities that cater to a diverse skill set.

Privacy concerns have been amplified with the advent of technologies such as big data analytics and facial recognition. While these technologies offer enhanced services and security measures, they also pose risks to individual privacy and data security. The Chinese government's approach to data governance and surveillance, in the context of such technological capabilities, has sparked debates on the extent to which individual rights and freedoms are safeguarded. This scenario underscores the need for robust data protection laws and ethical standards in technology deployment to ensure that individual privacy is not compromised in the pursuit of technological advancement.

Social equity issues are also at the forefront, as technological advancements have the potential to widen existing societal disparities. The digital divide between urban and rural areas, and among different socio-economic groups, is a concern. While urban and affluent populations may benefit from improved access to digital services and infrastructure, marginalized and rural communities could be left behind, exacerbating socio-economic inequalities. In the context of these intertwined social and technological issues, there is an imperative for China to reconcile these contradictions in its techno-social future. This involves developing strategies that not only champion technological innovation but also address the social implications of such advancements. The goal is to forge a path where technological progress goes hand in hand with social welfare, ensuring that the benefits of

digital technologies are equitably distributed and that their deployment is guided by ethical considerations and respect for individual rights. This paper intends to explore these dynamics further, examining how China can navigate this complex terrain and develop a responsible and inclusive digital future.

At the centre of this inquiry is the question, "How does China's position within the global digital landscape be bolstered by the Digital Silk Road?" The question of whether or not China takes ethical, social, and developmental concerns into account in its digital tactics is compelling. What are the consequences of 5G, AI, and e-commerce platforms for the international community as a whole? We frame our inquiries in terms of technical sovereignty, data privacy, and the changing narrative of digital dependence to help direct our investigation.

Using a descriptive analytic approach, this research will assemble and make sense of all relevant DSR-related information and material now at hand. Without delving into causal explanations or predictions, our goal with this method is to present a comprehensive overview that includes both macro and micro-level geopolitical and technical factors. Our research will draw from a wide variety of primary and secondary sources, such as policy documents, technological reports, and scholarly articles.

Theories of responsible innovation and digital geopolitics form the conceptual backbone of this study. A framework for evaluating China's technology investments is provided by the concept of "responsible innovation," which places a premium on moral legitimacy, long-term viability, and public appeal. On the other hand, digital geopolitics can shed light on the strategic ramifications, territoriality, and power dynamics of digital efforts like the DSR. By bringing together various theoretical views, we have a more nuanced understanding of China's digital efforts and how they fit into global context.

## **2. Literature review**

The rise of China in the international digital arena is complex, entwined as it is with the country's political, economic, and historical trajectories. According to Kennedy (2010), China's investment in digital technology may be traced back to the country's economic liberalisation in the late 20th century. The importance of digital technology in boosting China's global economic status became clear as the country made the shift from an agrarian to an industrial economy.

Using China's 'going out' policy as a lens, Lams (2018) places China's digital expansion into context. The goal of this strategy was to expand China's sphere of influence abroad through the use of soft power exerted through digital technologies. According to Klinge et al. (2023), at the turn of the millennium, China had already begun to shape global digital standards and had successfully domesticated digital platforms. The second group claims that China's presence at international conferences like the World Internet Conference is evidence of the country's ambitions to exert influence over international norms governing digital networks.

The emergence of China in the digital sphere, however, is not without controversy. Kuik (2021) highlights the polarity between the cooperative and combative features of China's digital politics. Cybersecurity, data sovereignty, and technical hegemony are all sources of friction notwithstanding China's collaboration and investment with global digital enterprises. In addition, Van Noort (2020) emphasises the importance of the digital Silk Road by framing it as a strategic tool to further China's Belt and Road Initiative, which combines digital and infrastructure goals.

Because of its scope and potential geopolitical effects, academics have paid close attention to China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which was first announced in 2013. The conceptual underpinnings of the BRI are outlined by Summers (2016), who traces its

roots back to the historic Silk Road. Huang (2016) says that the effort represents China's hopes for more connectivity, which will allow for greater economic and cultural contact between Asia, Europe, and Africa.

Many academics have tried to figure out what drives the BRI economically. According to Ohashi (2018), the BRI allows China to export its overcapacity, opening up new international markets for Chinese businesses. However, Kastner (2016) argues that China is using the BRI as a deliberate move to increase its influence in international trade and politics.

Academics have paid close attention to the BRI because of its emphasis on infrastructure development, particularly port and rail projects. Thüerer et al. (2020) explain how these infrastructure projects have the ability to alter trade dynamics and make China the centre of global supply chains. Yet, criticisms continue; Pieper (2021) emphasises the possible economic vulnerabilities that could develop from over-reliance on Chinese credit, highlighting the debt traps that participating countries may face.

China's ambitious Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) spurred the development of the Digital Silk Road (DSR), a digital initiative that highlights the importance of technical infrastructure in today's geopolitical settings. The DSR was first documented by Yang & Jiang (2015), who argue that the Chinese government created it after realising the economic and political potential of the digital sphere. The goal of this initiative was to improve not only China's digital infrastructure, but also its standing in the international digital ecosystem as a whole.

The literature focuses largely on how the DSR complements cutting-edge technologies. According to Ly (2020), China's desire to establish technological norms and standards and express its influence on global digital trajectories is reflected in the DSR's focus on the proliferation of technologies like 5G, AI, and e-commerce platforms. Meanwhile, Diniz, Siqueira, & Van Heck (2019) investigate the DSR's function in encouraging increasingly interconnected digital economies and society by increasing digital connectivity across member states.

The DSR's extensive scope, however, has also been the subject of criticism. While the DSR holds much promise, Gao (2022) points out that it must negotiate the complicated digital geopolitics of data privacy, technological sovereignty, and the possibility of digital dependence on China.

### **3. Key Technologies in DSR**

#### **3.1. 5G and its implications**

The rapid deployment of 5G technology in China presents a paradigm shift in connectivity and communication, promising advancements in various sectors from healthcare to transportation. However, this leap forward raises concerns about digital inequality. The risk is that while urban and affluent areas might benefit immensely from 5G's capabilities, rural and less developed regions might lag, thereby exacerbating existing social divides. There's also the issue of cybersecurity and how the increased interconnectedness could potentially lead to heightened vulnerabilities in personal and national security.

Fifth-generation mobile networks, or 5G, are a key technology supporting the Digital Silk Road. In comparison to its predecessor, 4G LTE, 5G ushers in a revolutionary change in how information is communicated, processed, and perceived.

There are three main facets that sum up the importance of 5G within the DSR. Bandwidth and speed are the first concerns. According to Attaran (2023), 5G can provide speeds up to one hundred times faster than 4G. Data-intensive applications, such as real-time

analytics and augmented reality, can be completely transformed by this speedup. With the DSR's focus on improving digital connectivity, 5G provides the foundation for more stable, faster connections between countries.

Second, the low latency of 5G has game-changing potential. For mission-critical applications, such as telemedicine, autonomous driving, and smart cities, Porambage et al. (2018) emphasise the importance of this decreased lag. This is important for the DSR because it guarantees that infrastructure projects, like as smart ports and automated railroads, can function in close to real-time, allowing them to function at peak efficiency.

However, there are obstacles to implementing 5G in the DSR. The most glaring is the geopolitical anxiety that surrounds 5G networks. Wong (2021) notes that discussions on 5G rollout have heated up due to worries about security, data integrity, and possible espionage, especially when led by Chinese tech firms.

### 3.2. Leveraging Artificial Intelligence in DSR

Artificial Intelligence, as another focal point, stands at the intersection of innovation and societal disruption. Its integration into industries has led to automation, which, while driving efficiency, also poses the threat of significant job displacement. The challenge is in managing this transition in a way that minimizes social disruption. This involves addressing the skills gap by equipping the workforce for new kinds of jobs that AI creates, and considering the ethical implications of AI in decision-making processes, particularly in sensitive areas like surveillance and personal data handling.

In the technical hierarchy of the DSR, Artificial Intelligence (AI) stands out as a cornerstone, representing the intersection of technology progress and grand-scale geopolitical manoeuvring. The DSR hopes to achieve digital supremacy and interconnected development in part through employing AI due to the multiplicative effects of its many uses.

The creation and administration of infrastructure is one area where AI and the DSR overlap significantly. According to Song (2021), artificial intelligence (AI) powered predictive analytics can be used to enhance logistics, guaranteeing that vital transportation nodes like ports, roadways, and railroads operate at optimal performance. The goals of the DSR are well-aligned with the capabilities of AI systems, which can analyse massive datasets in real-time to foresee disruptions, simplify freight transportation, and increase operational productivity.

Additionally, AI is pivotal in the global digital economy. The revolutionary potential of AI to promote smart business is highlighted by Naughton (2020). In order to bridge cultural and economic gaps, AI can personalise e-commerce experiences for consumers across the DSR using deep learning algorithms. Further economic integration among member states is facilitated by this possibility.

However, questions have been raised about the use of AI in the DSR setting. The ethical implications are highlighted, for example, by Taylor (2022). Issues of transparency, accountability, and potential biases become crucial as AI systems become central to decision-making processes. Concerns about privacy and digital sovereignty can be made worse when AI is used for surveillance and data collection.

### 3.3. Role of E-commerce platforms in DSR

E-commerce, a dynamic force in China's digital landscape, has revolutionized consumer behaviour and retail structures. Its convenience and efficiency have redefined shopping experiences, leading to the growth of digital marketplaces. However, this shift has significant implications for traditional brick-and-mortar businesses, many of which struggle to compete with the scale and reach of online platforms. The social impact extends to consumer data usage and privacy concerns, as e-commerce platforms

accumulate vast amounts of user data, raising questions about data security and consumer rights in the digital age.

The Digital Silk Road (DSR) is more than just an infrastructure project; it's a grand idea that brings together real-world connections and virtual possibilities. E-commerce platforms are at the centre of this digital dimension and play a crucial role in facilitating economic integration and expansion across the DSR environment.

According to Naughton (2020), e-commerce platforms can unite hitherto inaccessible markets. Businesses, especially SMEs, from different DSR countries can reach a larger audience by using an easily accessible digital marketplace. As a result, cultural exchange and commercial ties between the participating countries flourish and expand.

E-commerce platforms can benefit from the DSR's emphasis on efficient logistics and transport networks. Zreik (2023c) explains how integrated digital platforms can streamline the whole supply chain through real-time tracking, demand forecasting, and inventory management. This standardisation is critical for companies to function effectively throughout the wide DSR.

There is more to e-commerce than just business. Clinton (2023) highlights their value in assisting underrepresented groups. In line with the DSR's goal of inclusive growth, these marketplaces can help local craftsmen and producers reach a global audience for their wares.

The expansion of online shopping in the DSR context is not, however, problem-free. Data leaks and financial fraud are still major security concerns. Furthermore, concerns concerning market monopolisation and competition dynamics have been raised due to the dominance of a small number of significant Chinese e-commerce firms.

## 4. Principles of Responsible and Open Innovation

### 4.1. Defining responsible and open innovation

The essence of innovation is the search for new approaches to old problems. However, this procedure gains nuance from the addition of "responsible" and "open" innovation. By taking a responsible approach, inventors make sure their projects are moral and meet societal requirements. Foresight into prospective effects, reflexivity to review and adapt ongoing practises, inclusiveness in decision making, and responsiveness to emergent challenges are all called for by Stilgoe, Owen, & Macnaghten (2020) as necessary components of responsible innovation. It places equal emphasis on the "why" behind innovation as on the "what" and "how" of it, making sure that the innovation process is in line with cultural norms.

Chesbrough (2003) first used the phrase "Open Innovation" to describe the practise of companies using both internal and external resources to boost their innovation efforts. When companies practise open innovation, they seek out and incorporate external expertise, rather than relying entirely on internal resources, which speeds up and diversifies the innovation process.

Although unique, there is a connecting thread between the two ideas: the understanding that in the modern world, innovation cannot be confined to any one region. A wider ecosystem of stakeholders and knowledge sources must inform it.

### 4.2. Relating principles to tech initiatives

Initiatives in the field of modern technology, propelled by swift developments and societal integration, need to be firmly rooted in sturdy principles to guarantee that they are meaningful, ethical, and sustainable. More than just agreeing, relating principles to

digital efforts means moulding the development of technology to better reflect societal ideals.

Think about the importance of openness. It's not enough to simply prevent bias in IT projects; transparency in algorithms is essential, especially in fields like artificial intelligence. The goal is to gain the confidence of the people who will be using and benefiting from the technology so that they will accept and even embrace it.

Similarly, the idea of inclusion requires that technological efforts are open to everyone, regardless of their background or ability (Barber, 2018). From this idea, initiatives like open-source software and assistive technologies have emerged with the goal of making the benefits of technology available to as many people as possible (Kloppenburger, 2017).

Furthermore, the notion of sustainability requires that technological undertakings think about their effects on the world in the long run. Green computing projects, for instance, aim to reduce energy use and promote responsible disposal of electronic waste (Khuntia, 2018).

#### 4.3. Parallels to China's DSR endeavours

Responsible and open innovation offers a framework to address the techno-social contradictions emerging from China's rapid digital transformation. This approach emphasizes the importance of ethical considerations in technology deployment, ensuring that technological advancements are not just economically and technologically sound, but also socially responsible and ethically grounded. For instance, in the realm of AI, responsible innovation calls for the development of AI systems that prioritize privacy and ethical data usage. This involves creating algorithms that are transparent, accountable, and free from biases, ensuring that AI applications respect individual privacy rights and do not perpetuate social inequalities. Similarly, in the context of 5G implementation, open innovation can play a critical role in addressing data sovereignty issues.

By fostering a collaborative ecosystem that includes a diverse range of stakeholders – from policymakers to civil society – open innovation can help in formulating policies that balance the economic benefits of 5G with the need to protect national security and individual data rights. This collaborative approach ensures that technological advancements are aligned with societal values and norms, paving the way for a digital future that is both innovative and equitable.

The concept of China's Digital Silk Road is not new, and its essence can be seen in both historical and modern contexts. The first analogy that comes to mind is that of the historic Silk Road, a massive trading network that linked East and West and allowed for the free flow of not only goods but also ideas, innovations, and cultural practices. The DSR, like its ancient forerunner, is an attempt to link disparate entities by paving the way for the exchange of information, tools, and services online.

A second analogy can be drawn from the current boom of digital economy around the world. The DSR is China's effort to influence the digital narrative, ensuring that its technology and standards gain widespread adoption, just as the EU and the US have their own digital strategies emphasising digital sovereignty, innovation, and connectivity (Gordon & Meia, 2022).

The DSR's emphasis on infrastructure, be it 5G or fibre-optic connections, is reflective of international initiatives to close the digital divide (Woon, 2021). The DSR aspires to a world where digital opportunities are pervasive and accessible, much like projects in Africa and South Asia that strive to increase digital connectivity (Gordon & Meia, 2022).

## 5. Positive Impacts of DSR

### 5.1. Communication enhancements between member states

China's ambitious plan to alter international cyberspace is symbolised by the country's "Digital Silk Road." Its member states' ability to communicate with one another has been greatly improved, laying the groundwork for increased cooperation in the twenty-first century.

Establishing reliable digital infrastructures, such as high-speed fibre-optic networks and satellite constellations, is a primary goal of the DSR (Chan, 2022). These advancements allow for more rapid and secure communication routes, which in turn changes the way in which member nations engage and share information with one another.

The DSR's push for standardised digital formats has significantly lowered obstacles to interaction (Steger, 2023). To facilitate seamless digital communications across borders, member countries can now make use of standardised tools and platforms. Because of this compatibility, not only may businesses and universities work together, but also cultural and academic ones.

Better interaction means more productive business. The DSR boosts economic activity among member states by simplifying digital communication, which expedites corporate negotiations, collaborations, and e-commerce (Kale, 2019).

Effective communication is essential during global catastrophes such as pandemics and natural disasters. With the DSR as a foundation, member countries may easily and quickly share information, intelligence, and tactics (Wright, 2021).

The DSR facilitates not just a material but also a cultural and intellectual interchange (Zhang & Morris, 2023). Stories, art, and traditions can now cross boundaries with ease, increasing opportunities for cross-cultural appreciation and understanding.

### 5.2. The acceleration of digital transitions

To say that the advent of the digital revolution heralded a moment of significant upheaval would be an understatement. It has altered the very fabric of our communities, the workings of corporations, and the very dynamics of our personal relationships. This rapid pace of digital change is not limited to technology breakthroughs alone; it also signifies a societal metamorphosis that is influencing the course of our collective future.

The reach of technology in the modern period goes well beyond any once-exclusive subsets or elite classes. This is clear when looking at major, multinational corporations that use artificial intelligence to make crucial business choices or small, local farms that use mobile apps to increase agricultural yields (Javaid et al., 2023). This rapid and pervasive shift is encapsulated by the widespread adoption and incorporation of digital tools such as these.

The working world has seen one of the most noticeable changes. With the advent of remote work, digital communication tools, and an increase in automated processes, the traditional workplace is undergoing a dramatic shift. The idea of a digital office, unrestricted by location, has become a symbol of modern adaptability and is helping to build a culture of invention (Gekara & Thanh Nguyen, 2018).

The same may be said of the field of education, where a clear shift away from conventional teaching methods can be observed. There has been a dramatic increase in the number of courses designed to teach students how to use computers and other digital devices. These digital shifts in education are crucial in democratising access to information, guaranteeing that it will continue to be diverse, available, and ever-evolving.

E-commerce, online banking, and digital marketing all give businesses a window into how consumers' shopping habits and marketing preferences are changing. The



tremendous digital shift spreading across the commercial sector is highlighted by the rise of online transaction convenience, the attractiveness of personalised purchasing experiences, and the development of virtual marketplaces (Mancuso, Petruzzelli, & Panniello, 2023).

Consistent with these developments, governments everywhere are also enthusiastically embracing the digital age. Digital advancements, such as e-passports and online tax submission systems, help streamline government operations while also vastly improving individuals' quality of life (Bwalya & Mutula, 2014). The push for digital governance reflects a shared belief in the promise of the digital age and a desire to reap its rewards.

### 5.3. Digital empowerment aspects

The term "digital empowerment" refers to a broader phenomenon than simply having easier access to digital technologies; rather, it describes the dramatic change that occurs when people actually use those technologies to improve their own potential, agency, and involvement in society (Evangelista, Guerrieri, & Meliciani, 2014). Subtle and substantial changes in our interactions, goals, and skills can result from this empowerment.

The democratisation of knowledge is at the heart of digital empowerment. The times when only the elite had access to knowledge are over. A child in a faraway community can now gain access to top-notch educational materials, expanding their horizons and forcing them to question long-held beliefs and practises. The domino effect of this kind of availability can break through cultural and geographical barriers, making possible goals that were formerly thought impossible.

In addition, those whose voices were formerly silenced can now be heard thanks to digital empowerment. Stories that would otherwise be lost or disregarded can now have a worldwide impact through the power of social media and the internet, influencing policy shifts and encouraging more diverse conversations (Resnick, 2013).

Still, this emancipation is not limited to individuals but affects groups as a whole. Grassroots movements may be fuelled, existing structures can be challenged, and local problems can be co-created with the use of digital tools (Coulson et al., 2018). This mutual confidence-booster fosters togetherness and common goal-attainment.

## 6. Challenges and Critiques of DSR

### 6.1. The debate around technological sovereignty

The discussion on technological sovereignty and data privacy extends into profound social dimensions, reflecting how technological dependence can significantly influence societal structures and individual freedoms. Technological sovereignty, a concept at the forefront of the digital age, raises questions about a nation's control over its digital infrastructure and the extent to which it can independently manage and protect its citizens' data. The reliance on technology, especially in critical sectors like finance and healthcare, can lead to vulnerabilities where a single point of failure could disrupt essential services, impacting societal stability and individual well-being.

The Digital Silk Road, despite being gigantic in scope, has its critics. The complicated dispute over technological sovereignty, the idea that countries want complete authority over their own digital infrastructures, lies at the heart of the discussion. As the DSR rapidly grows and Chinese digital infrastructure is integrated in participating countries, worries have been raised regarding Beijing's potential domination and influence in these spheres (Ly, 2020). This isn't just about computers; it involves the algorithms, data, and programmes that run digital operations (Hillman, 2021). Questions arise when the technology of one country becomes deeply embedded in the digital ecosystem of another.

Who exactly is in charge of the online story? Who has the last say over data collection, storage, and use?

These worries are not entirely unfounded. They have real international repercussions. While many nations would love to take advantage of the DSR's cutting-edge technology and increased connectivity, others are fearful of being overly reliant on, or even dominated by, a foreign power's digital dominance (Gordon & Meia, 2022). This is especially important now, when information is seen to be equivalent to power and digital infrastructures can be exploited for invasive monitoring, political manipulation, and even physical force.

The discussion also involves international norms for digital technologies. Many countries' digital infrastructures will be shaped by the DSR, which raises the prospect that a single, China-centric digital standard may prevail over competing regional or international norms (Rosenberg, 2022). This situation has the potential to reduce global digital interoperability and lead to a more disconnected digital world.

### 6.2. Impacts on data privacy rights

In light of the DSR's far-reaching digital infrastructure initiatives and lofty connectivity objectives, the protection of data privacy rights has emerged as a critical issue. In a time when data is being called the "new oil," the balance between large-scale digital projects and people's right to privacy is crucial (Couldry & Yu, 2018).

A complex web of data flows, spanning international boundaries and connecting disparate ecosystems, is introduced by DSR's extensive digital infrastructure penetration into countries (Hillman, 2021). The transfer of data across international borders is fraught with privacy risks. One cause of confusion is the fact that data privacy laws may vary from country to country. What one jurisdiction considers to be acceptable data collecting may violate privacy standards in another (Wilton, 2017).

Data storage and management issues also occur as a result of China's technological businesses leading various DSR projects. Where exactly does this mountain of data live, who has access to it, and what other purposes outside its original one could it be put to remain open questions (Hillman, 2021). Furthermore, the DSR's overarching goal of a frictionless digital world may run against to the patchwork of privacy protections that exist in the real world. It's possible that the DSR's efficiency-focused push for standardised digital standards won't always mesh with the widely varying national norms and expectations for protecting personal information.

### 6.3. Risks of digital dependency

The potential of digital dependency casts a shadow over the glimmering attractiveness of our digital age, in which every element of existence seems connected with technology. As we incorporate more and more digital resources and platforms into our daily lives, we must consider the repercussions of this pervasive dependence.

Dependence on digital technology goes beyond just frequent use. It's indicative of a more systemic dependence, where essential industries like healthcare and finance are interdependent and dependent on digital procedures (Aldasoro et al., 2022). Since so many businesses and even economies depend on digital infrastructure, a catastrophic cyberattack or widespread digital failure might have far-reaching consequences.

The effects of digital dependency on our minds extend beyond the risks it poses to our entire systems. There is a growing concern that our cognitive abilities may atrophy as we increasingly rely on digital devices for things like navigation and recall. Such extensive use of technological tools has the potential to degrade our natural abilities over time (Gazzaley & Rosen, 2016).

In addition, reliance on technology can alter established social structures. The intricacies of interpersonal communication may be lost if more and more relationships are mediated through screens. The quality of human connections may be compromised if digital interactions come to replace face-to-face conversations (Singh, Steele, & Singh, 2021).

If the economy becomes too dependent on digital platforms, monopolies may arise in which a handful of powerful corporations control the majority of the market. Digital monopolies have the capacity to control user actions and restrict customer choice.

The fundamental existential challenge that digital dependence expresses is this: how far should we go in our pursuit of digital integration before we risk losing our autonomy? The difficulty lies in making the most of the advantages of the digital age while avoiding the trap of becoming slaves to our gadgets.

## **7. Case Studies**

### **7.1. Examples of DSR in action**

#### **7.1.1. Pakistan: The Digital Pivot of CPEC**

The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), once thought of primarily a commerce and infrastructural project, has taken a decidedly digital turn in recent years. Initiated as part of the DSR's purview, digital infrastructure initiatives including the construction of fibre-optic connections between China and Pakistan have altered Pakistan's current information technology landscape (Ali et al., 2019). This change is more than just technical; it's revolutionary. The promise of a digital revolution lies in the possibility of using this improved connectivity for e-commerce, education, and telemedicine, all of which have the ability to boost Pakistan's socio-economic growth.

#### **7.1.2. Thailand: Becoming a Digital Hub**

With the DSR, Thailand has set its sights on being the digital hub of Southeast Asia. Projects like Thailand 4.0, which aims to digitalize businesses and promote innovation, show how pervasive the influence of DSR has become (Puriwat & Tripopsakul, 2021). One example of this fusion of Chinese technological expertise with regional Thai objectives is the partnership between Alibaba and the Thai government to promote e-commerce and digital training (Sukloet, 2021). Therefore, by taking use of DSR's possibilities, Thailand is no longer a passive recipient of digital technology but rather a proactive designer of its digital destiny.

#### **7.1.3. Kenya: The Mombasa-Nairobi Railway and Beyond**

The Mombasa-Nairobi Standard Gauge Railway may be focused on transportation, but its strong digital undertones cannot be ignored. A digital network designed to improve communication, operations, and management is included into this infrastructure. In addition, under the DSR's umbrella, Chinese digital firms have entered Kenya's mobile payment ecosystem, providing novel technology and platforms (Hillman, 2021). Digital integration is as much about railways and roads as it is about bytes and bandwidth, and this merger of physical and digital infrastructure exemplifies the DSR's holistic approach.

#### **7.1.4. Serbia: The Digital Gateway to Europe**

By working with the DSR, Serbia is now in a prime position to become Europe's digital entry point. Examples of the DSR's digital solutions can be seen in notable initiatives like the Safe City solutions implemented by Huawei in Belgrade (Grubišić, 2020). Projects like these hope to harness technology to make cities safer, more efficient, and better planned. In addition to their obvious advantages, DSR's projects bring attention to the complex issues of monitoring, data privacy, and technical sovereignty.

The case studies within the Digital Silk Road initiative offer insightful examples of how China is addressing, or in some cases failing to address, social issues across different social contexts. For instance, in the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), a key component of the Digital Silk Road, efforts to develop digital infrastructure have been lauded for enhancing connectivity and economic prospects. However, this project also highlights the disparity in technological development between urban and rural areas, raising questions about equitable access to technology. In contrast, China's collaboration with Thailand in digital initiatives showcases a more nuanced approach. Here, the focus has been on tailoring technological solutions to local socio-economic conditions, such as developing e-commerce platforms that cater to local businesses and communities, thereby fostering inclusive economic growth. Another example is in Serbia, where China's digital projects have bolstered local infrastructure but also sparked debates about data privacy and technological dependence, indicating a gap in addressing broader social concerns. These case studies underscore the varying degrees of success China has in developing equitable technology solutions. While there are efforts to align technological advancements with local needs, challenges remain in ensuring these developments are inclusive and sensitive to the socio-economic fabric of each region.

## 7.2. Adherence to responsible innovation principles

At its foundation, responsible innovation is the dedication to making sure that technical advances are in line with social values, ethical considerations, and long-term sustainability, not just for short-term gain (Voegtlin & Scherer, 2017). This all-encompassing perspective calls for continuous stakeholder involvement and an in-depth familiarity with the socio-cultural settings in which technologies are deployed.

Several indicators of responsible innovation practise can be found in the DSR. To begin, there is a premium placed on collaborative effort. The DSR's digital projects typically entail collaborative approaches involving local stakeholders rather than the unilateral imposition of technology. This method ensures that digital innovations not only meet the practical demands of the communities they are intended to benefit, but also speak to the ambitions and cultural norms of those groups.

The social and cultural consequences of digital ventures are now becoming increasingly acknowledged. The DSR tends to look at technology in the context of other development goals, rather than as a separate entity. Digital training programmes, for instance, are designed to encourage not just improved proficiency, but also a spirit of invention and enterprise (Matthews & Brueggemann, 2015). The DSR's purpose is to ensure that digital progress contributes to holistic growth and societal benefit by coordinating technology projects with socio-economic development objectives (Lewis et al., 2021).

Still, obstacles remain. Data privacy and monitoring are two areas where ethical questions frequently arise. Digital projects in the DSR have the ability to increase connectivity and efficiency but can expose the system to new risks. Individuals' right to privacy and governments' ability to govern are both threatened by the gathering, storage, and use of massive amounts of data (Ly, 2020). DSR efforts must openly address these issues, establishing strong data protection frameworks and safety processes, if they are to conform to responsible innovation standards.

Another important part is participating in the worldwide discussion on digital ethics. The DSR has the capacity to alter international digital norms due to its scope and weight (Naughton, 2020). Assuring that the DSR's projects not only deliver technology benefits but also establish benchmarks in ethical and responsible innovation requires active participation in, and contribution to, global dialogues on responsible digital practises.

## **8. Future Implications and Geopolitical Considerations**

### **8.1. Long-term impacts of DSR on digital geopolitics**

As an extension of China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), the Digital Silk Road (DSR) holds the potential to significantly alter the digital geopolitical landscape. The DSR will have far-reaching effects on the core structure of global digital power dynamics, going far beyond the simple exchange of technologies.

Potentially giving China significant leverage in the years to come, the DSR might make China a central hub in the world's digital infrastructure. There may be a shift in the cyberpower dynamic as countries become more reliant on Chinese technology and digital infrastructure. The importance of China in global digital networks may have a role in diplomatic and strategic decisions as countries assess their digital ties and alliances.

The DSR has the ability to influence global digital norms and standards beyond just infrastructure (Woon, 2021). As Chinese digital solutions such as 5G and AI spread across international markets, they may come to serve as models for other countries' digital endeavours. How global digital ecosystems operate and interact may be drastically altered if Chinese rules become widely adopted in foreign regulatory frameworks.

The DSR may have far-reaching effects, one of which is a redefinition of the international digital economy. New trade dynamics could emerge as a result of the integration of Chinese digital platforms, technologies, and investments across regions, potentially posing a threat to the dominance of Western technology behemoths.

However, these shifts will not be without difficulty. There will always be tension between the goals of the DSR and concerns about digital sovereignty, data privacy, and potential dependence (Ly, 2020). Reflecting the complex dance of technology, power, and geopolitics in the digital era, the evolving discourse will be marked by negotiations, collaborations, and, at times, contentions.

### **8.2. China's projected position in the global digital realm**

China's rise in the international digital arena is not linear; rather, it is exponential. China has left an enormous imprint on the global digital arena with its homegrown technology advances, massive customer base, and strategic initiatives like the DSR (Edler et al., 2023).

Western entities, especially those based in Silicon Valley, have historically exerted a disproportionate amount of influence in the digital sphere (Birhane, 2021). Alibaba, Tencent, and Huawei, three Chinese internet giants, have risen as strong forces in the previous couple of decades, posing a threat to the status quo (Zhao & Feng, 2021). These businesses, encouraged by their governments and their large domestic markets, have expanded internationally and may now be found in places as far flung as Southeast Asia and Africa.

Companies in the Chinese technology industry aren't the only ones doing well. National initiatives like "Made in China 2025" show a goal of elevating the country from a supplier of low-tech goods to a global powerhouse in fields like artificial intelligence, biotechnology, and renewable energy (Liu, 2018). The goal is crystal clear: to shape the narrative of technology development on a global scale, rather than merely take part in it.

The digital Belt and Road Initiative will only serve to amplify these goals. China is not only increasing its digital influence by establishing digital infrastructures and supporting technological cooperation across countries, but it is also embedding Chinese standards, norms, and platforms in these countries (Naughton, 2020).

However, it is not an uncontested hegemony. China's worldwide digital activities frequently intersect with concerns about cybersecurity, intellectual property rights, and technological sovereignty (Mueller, 2020). Despite these obstacles, one thing is certain:

China will play a pivotal, influential, and, in many ways, pioneering role in the global digital world in the decades to come.

## 9. Recommendations

To fully realise its potential, the DSR must be grounded in ethical innovation. The DSR has the ability to radically alter global digital environments. Aligning technical developments with society values, ethics, and sustainability is at the heart of responsible innovation, which transcends mere scientific progress. When technology is developed in response to social needs and concerns, it is more likely to be adopted and used throughout time.

Transparency must be a top priority for the DSR to be accepted as a serious worldwide force for good. Historically, large-scale projects have been met with scepticism due to the lack of transparency surrounding their goals and methods, especially when they have far-reaching geopolitical ramifications. There can be more trust between countries and their populations if digital standards, data management practises, and infrastructure development are all open and public.

Inclusionary stakeholder participation is also crucial. Instead of being imposed from above, digital projects should involve local governments, businesses, non-profits, and the general public from the start. Projects are more likely to succeed and endure if they reflect local needs, hopes, and values, and this openness helps achieve that.

All DSR-funded digital projects must keep ethics as their top priority. Strong data ethics guidelines should be put in place because of the massive amounts of data digital initiatives generate and handle. These would protect people's right to privacy online, prevent the abuse of data, and safeguard citizens' and nations' digital liberties.

The DSR's member states are at a pivotal moment. They have a lot to gain from DSR projects' digital infrastructure and knowledge, but they also have to deal with the difficulties that come with undertaking initiatives of this size.

Each nation must have a well-defined plan for its digital future. Each state is responsible for determining how the DSR's framework and resources fit in with their own national goals and plans. Clarity on goals ensures that DSR programmes are designed to achieve optimum benefits, whether the goal is to increase e-commerce, improve digital literacy, or nurture tech companies.

Doing your homework is crucial. A thorough risk assessment must to be done before beginning any DSR project. This might be useful in anticipating and planning for problems, such as technological failures or social opposition. Such forethought can spare time and money in the long run by identifying potential problems before they become major obstacles.

The development of human resources is also important. While DSR programmes introduce cutting-edge methods and tools, they will only be sustainable if local expertise is available to maintain and improve them. Therefore, it is imperative that member states prioritise training programmes, workshops, and education activities to produce a competent workforce capable of managing these digital assets alongside the expansion of infrastructure.

Since DSR initiatives typically include multiple countries working together, they inevitably affect international politics. In order to properly manage their diplomatic and strategic ties, member nations should be aware of how these projects fit into the broader geopolitical picture. Despite the benefits of DSR, nations must guard against any erosion of data and decision-making autonomy.

To develop more equitable technology in a responsible manner, China can consider adopting alternative governance structures and models that diverge from prevailing international norms but effectively address social issues. One such recommendation is the establishment of a multi-stakeholder governance framework that includes not only government bodies and technology firms but also civil society organizations, academic institutions, and user representatives. This would ensure a more democratic approach to technology development, where diverse perspectives are considered, particularly those of marginalized communities.

Additionally, China could pioneer a community-centric approach in technology deployment, focusing on local needs and socio-economic conditions. This approach would involve conducting thorough impact assessments before implementing new technologies, ensuring they add value to the community and do not exacerbate existing inequalities. To further promote responsible technology development, China could also establish independent ethical review boards for new digital projects, ensuring adherence to ethical standards and respect for individual rights. These boards could oversee issues such as data privacy, consent, and the equitable distribution of technology benefits.

Moreover, enhancing transparency in data collection and usage, and fostering open-source technology initiatives, would allow for greater public oversight and participation in the digital ecosystem. Such measures would not only align with global best practices in responsible technology development but also reflect China's unique socio-political context, leading to more sustainable and equitable technological progress.

Last but not least, member states should maintain an open line of communication with China and the other DSR players. This isn't only a forum for questions and clarifications; it's also a place for new ideas and approaches to old problems to be discussed. The DSR is more than just a channel for China to share its technological prowess with its members; it is also a setting for creative collaboration. The DSR can benefit from member nations sharing and incorporating their individual perspectives and ideas.

## **10. Conclusion**

Weaving together the strands of technological development, geopolitical strategy, and ethical considerations, the DSR emerges as an intriguing narrative in the ever-changing tapestry of global digital transition. As we consider the DSR's many facets, it becomes clear that the initiative's goal is broader than the construction of digital infrastructure; it is to define the spirit and trajectory of the digital age itself.

As a continuation of China's Belt and Road Initiative, the DSR is a demonstration of China's commitment to a globalised, interconnected digital economy. China is making strides to put itself at the forefront of the digital world by doing things like building 5G networks and promoting e-commerce platforms. Yet, one must remember that with advanced technology comes grave responsibilities. The DSR ventures into the murky waters of data privacy, digital reliance, and technological sovereignty while promising unprecedented digital connectivity and empowerment.

The combination of technology and ethics represents the DSR's central problem and one of its most fascinating aspects. The more widespread the digital sphere becomes, the more it affects people's daily lives, businesses, and governments. These days, people also consider the ethical repercussions of technological developments when making judgements about their worth. Trust is the true currency, and ethical alignment is the true foundation, in a world increasingly defined by bytes and pixels.

This precarious balancing act is digital geopolitics, as exemplified by the DSR. There is, on the one hand, the undeniable appeal of a globally interconnected society, where information freely flows, economies are intertwined, and possibilities are shared by all.

On the other side, there is a complex web of ethical challenges to navigate: how can we make sure that our digital utopia doesn't come at the expense of people's fundamental liberties? How do we make sure that technical autonomy doesn't lead to a form of virtual colonialism? Moreover, and probably most importantly, how do we prevent the loss of the human touch, the values that characterise our common humanity, in our pursuit of a digital future?

As they face the many opportunities and threats presented by the DSR, member states find themselves at the forefront of these discussions. Using the DSR, their quest is about more than just putting technology to use; it's also about finding a middle ground between technological aspirations and ethical considerations. And on this route, the principles of responsible innovation shine like a lighthouse, directing nations to make sure that technology benefits society as a whole, that it liberates rather than enslaves, and that it is developed with empathy.

In closing thoughts, it's important to note that the Digital Silk Road is more than a project; it's a paradigm. As so, it represents the larger difficulties and possibilities of living in the digital age. We are reaching a turning point in the digital revolution as a global community. Our digital destinies, as well as the entire nature of our collective future, will be shaped by the roads we take, the relationships we form, and the principles we support.

The DSR, and the digital age in general, holds tremendous potential. The servers, wires, and programmes are all necessary, but the core human qualities of trust, accountability, and shared growth are where it will truly flourish. May our digital imprints be guided by morality as we move forward, keeping the human spirit alive at the heart of the digital world we live in.

## References

- Aldasoro, I., Gambacorta, L., Giudici, P., & Leach, T. (2022). The drivers of cyber risk. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 60, 100989.
- Ali, Y., Awan, M. A., Bilal, M., Khan, J., Petrillo, A., & Khan, A. A. (2019). Risk assessment of China-Pakistan fiber optic project (CPFOP) in the light of multi-criteria decision making (MCDM). *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 40, 36-45.
- Attaran, M. (2023). The impact of 5G on the evolution of intelligent automation and industry digitization. *Journal of ambient intelligence and humanized computing*, 14(5), 5977-5993.
- Barber, W. (2018). Inclusive and accessible physical education: rethinking ability and disability in pre-service teacher education. *Sport, Education and Society*, 23(6), 520-532.
- Birhane, A. (2021). The impossibility of automating ambiguity. *Artificial Life*, 27(1), 44-61.
- Bwalya, K. J., & Mutula, S. M. (2014). *E-Government: Implementation, adoption and synthesis in developing countries*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.
- Chan, G. (2022). *China's Digital Silk Road: Setting Standards, Powering Growth*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). *Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*. Harvard Business Press.
- Clinton, L. (2023). *Fixing American cybersecurity: Creating a strategic public-private partnership*. Georgetown University Press.
- Couldry, N., & Yu, J. (2018). Deconstructing datafication's brave new world. *New media & society*, 20(12), 4473-4491.
- Coulson, S., Woods, M., Scott, M., & Hemment, D. (2018). Making Sense: Empowering participatory sensing with transformation design. *The Design Journal*, 21(6), 813-833.



- Diniz, E. H., Siqueira, E. S., & Van Heck, E. (2019). Taxonomy of digital community currency platforms. *Information Technology for Development*, 25(1), 69-91.
- Edler, J., Blind, K., Kroll, H., & Schubert, T. (2023). Technology sovereignty as an emerging frame for innovation policy. Defining rationales, ends and means. *Research Policy*, 52(6), 104765.
- Evangelista, R., Guerrieri, P., & Melicani, V. (2014). The economic impact of digital technologies in Europe. *Economics of Innovation and new technology*, 23(8), 802-824.
- Gao, X. (2022). An attractive alternative? China's approach to cyber governance and its implications for the Western model. *The International Spectator*, 57(3), 15-30.
- Gazzaley, A., & Rosen, L. D. (2016). *The distracted mind: Ancient brains in a high-tech world*. Mit Press.
- Gekara, V. O., & Thanh Nguyen, V. X. (2018). New technologies and the transformation of work and skills: a study of computerisation and automation of Australian container terminals. *New Technology, Work and Employment*, 33(3), 219-233.
- Gordon, D., & Meia, N. (Eds.). (2022). *The Digital Silk Road: China's Technological Rise and the Geopolitics of Cyberspace*. Taylor & Francis.
- Grubišić, D. (2020). The Role of Chinese Investments in Central and Eastern Europe within BRI. In *Industrial Innovation in Digital Age* (pp. 441-447). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Hemmings, J. (2020). Reconstructing Order. *Asia Policy*, 15(1), 5-22.
- Hillman, J. E. (2021). *The digital silk road: China's quest to wire the world and win the future*. Profile Books.
- Hoh, A. (2019). China's belt and road initiative in Central Asia and the Middle East. *Digest of Middle East Studies*, 28(2), 241-276.
- Huang, Y. (2016). Understanding China's Belt & Road initiative: motivation, framework and assessment. *China Economic Review*, 40, 314-321.
- Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Khan, I. H., & Suman, R. (2023). Understanding the potential applications of Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture Sector. *Advanced Agrochem*, 2(1), 15-30.
- Kale, V. (2019). *Digital transformation of enterprise architecture*. CRC Press.
- Kastner, S. L. (2016). Buying influence? Assessing the political effects of China's international trade. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 60(6), 980-1007.
- Kennedy, S. (2010). The myth of the Beijing Consensus. *Journal of contemporary China*, 19(65), 461-477.
- Khuntia, J., Saldanha, T. J., Mithas, S., & Sambamurthy, V. (2018). Information technology and sustainability: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Production and Operations Management*, 27(4), 756-773.
- Klinge, T. J., Hendrikse, R., Fernandez, R., & Adriaans, I. (2023). Augmenting digital monopolies: a corporate financialization perspective on the rise of Big Tech. *Competition & Change*, 27(2), 332-353.
- Kloppenburg, J. (2017). Re-purposing the master's tools: the open source seed initiative and the struggle for seed sovereignty. In *Critical Perspectives on Food Sovereignty* (pp. 325-346). Routledge.
- Kuik, C. C. (2021). The twin chessboards of US-China rivalry: Impact on the geostrategic supply and demand in post-pandemic Asia. *Asian Perspective*, 45(1), 157-176.
- Lams, L. (2018). Examining strategic narratives in Chinese official discourse under Xi Jinping. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 23(3), 387-411.
- Lanteigne, M. (2019). *Chinese foreign policy: an introduction*. Routledge.

- Lewis, D. J., Yang, X., Moise, D., & Roddy, S. J. (2021). Dynamic synergies between China's belt and road initiative and the UN's sustainable development goals. *Journal of International Business Policy*, 4, 58-79.
- Liu, K. (2018). Chinese manufacturing in the shadow of the China-US trade war. *Economic Affairs*, 38(3), 307-324.
- Ly, B. (2020). Challenge and perspective for digital Silk road. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1804180.
- Mancuso, I., Petruzzelli, A. M., & Panniello, U. (2023). Innovating agri-food business models after the Covid-19 pandemic: The impact of digital technologies on the value creation and value capture mechanisms. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 190, 122404.
- Matthews, C. H., & Brueggemann, R. (2015). *Innovation and entrepreneurship: A competency framework*. Routledge.
- Mueller, M. L. (2020). Against sovereignty in cyberspace. *International studies review*, 22(4), 779-801.
- Naughton, B. (2020). Chinese Industrial Policy and the Digital Silk Road. *Asia Policy*, 15(1), 23-40.
- Ohashi, H. (2018). The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) in the context of China's opening-up policy. *Journal of Contemporary East Asia Studies*, 7(2), 85-103.
- Pieper, M. (2021). The new silk road heads north: implications of the China-Mongolia-Russia economic corridor for Mongolian agency within Eurasian power shifts. *Eurasian Geography and Economics*, 62(5-6), 745-768.
- Porambage, P., Okwuibe, J., Liyanage, M., Ylianttila, M., & Taleb, T. (2018). Survey on multi-access edge computing for internet of things realization. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 20(4), 2961-2991.
- Puriwat, W., & Tripopsakul, S. (2021). The impact of digital social responsibility on preference and purchase intentions: The implication for open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(1), 24.
- Resnick, D. (2013). Politics on the Internet: The normalization of cyberspace. In *The politics of cyberspace* (pp. 48-68). Routledge.
- Rosenberg, J. M. (2022). *The Belt and Road Initiative: the threat of an economic cold war with China*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Sachs, J. D. (2015). *The age of sustainable development*. Columbia University Press.
- Singh, J., Steele, K., & Singh, L. (2021). Combining the best of online and face-to-face learning: Hybrid and blended learning approach for COVID-19, post vaccine, & post-pandemic world. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 50(2), 140-171.
- Song, D. P. (2021). *Container logistics and maritime transport*. Routledge.
- Steger, M. B. (2023). *Globalization: A very short introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Stilgoe, J., Owen, R., & Macnaghten, P. (2020). Developing a framework for responsible innovation. In *The Ethics of Nanotechnology, Geoengineering, and Clean Energy* (pp. 347-359). Routledge.
- Sukloet, S. (2021). Thai Durian Export Competitiveness to the People's Republic of China Case Study: Strategic Partnership with Alibaba Group. *Rajabhat Chiang Mai Research Journal*, 22(1), 23-36.
- Summers, T. (2016). China's 'New Silk Roads': sub-national regions and networks of global political economy. *Third World Quarterly*, 37(9), 1628-1643.
- Taylor, M. (2022). *China's Digital Authoritarianism: A Governance Perspective*. Springer Nature.
- Thürer, M., Tomašević, I., Stevenson, M., Blome, C., Melnyk, S., Chan, H. K., & Huang, G. Q. (2020). A systematic review of China's belt and road initiative: Implications for global supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(8), 2436-2453.

- Van Noort, C. (2020). Strategic narratives, visibility and infrastructure in the digital age: the case of China's maritime silk road initiative. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 33(5), 734-751.
- Voegtlin, C., & Scherer, A. G. (2017). Responsible innovation and the innovation of responsibility: Governing sustainable development in a globalized world. *Journal of business ethics*, 143, 227-243.
- Wilton, R. (2017). Trust and ethical data handling in the healthcare context. *Health and Technology*, 7(4), 569-578.
- Wong, P. N. (2021). *Techno-Geopolitics: US-China Tech War and the Practice of Digital Statecraft*. Taylor & Francis.
- Woon, C. Y. (2021). 'Provincialising' the belt and road initiative: Theorising with Chinese narratives of the 'Digital Silk Road'(数字丝绸之路). *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 62(3), 286-290.
- Wright, C. (2021). China's Digital Colonialism: Espionage and Repression Along the Digital Silk Road. *SAIS Review of International Affairs*, 41(2), 89-113.
- Yang, G., & Jiang, M. (2015). The networked practice of online political satire in China: Between ritual and resistance. *International Communication Gazette*, 77(3), 215-231.
- Zhang, C., & Morris, C. (2023). Borders, bordering and sovereignty in digital space. *Territory, Politics, Governance*, 11(6), 1051-1058.
- Zhao, B., & Feng, Y. (2021). Mapping the development of China's data protection law: Major actors, core values, and shifting power relations. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 40, 105498.
- Zreik, M. (2023a). Analytical study on Foreign Direct Investment Divestment Inflows and Outflows in Developing Economies: Evidence of China. *The Chinese Economy*, 56(6), 415-430. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10971475.2023.2193118>
- Zreik, M. (2023b). China's Economic Development: Digital Transformation, Energy Efficiency, and Climate Change. In M. Okur Dinçsoy & H. Can (Eds.), *Optimizing Energy Efficiency During a Global Energy Crisis* (pp. 51-64). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0400-6.ch004>
- Zreik, M. (2023c). Sustainability and Digital Transformation: The Case of Strategic Management in China. In J. Martínez-Falcó, B. Marco-Lajara, E. Sánchez-García, & L. Millan-Tudela (Eds.), *New Perspectives and Possibilities in Strategic Management in the 21st Century: Between Tradition and Modernity* (pp. 273-291). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-9261-1.ch015>