Migration Letters

Volume: 21, No: S13 (2024), pp. 676-684 ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online) www.migrationletters.com

Political Challenges And Reforms In South Korea: An In-Depth Analysis

Abdul Qayyum Gondal¹, Prof. Dr Matloob Ahmad (Corresponding Author)², Dr. Muhammad Qasim³, Muhammad Farhan Ur Rehman⁴, Mubashar Hasnain⁵

Abstract:

This article reviews major issues and challenges, assesses the efficacy of present laws and institutions, and attempts to give a comprehensive picture of the state of human rights in South Korea. This study critically analyzes South Korea's politics and human rights policies, focusing on the complexities that have arisen despite significant economic progress and democratization since the Korean War. The study examines the historical context, political dynamics, ¹ and institutional structures shaping human rights policies by employing qualitative research methods such as literature reviews, case studies, and semi-structured interviews. Key objectives include evaluating existing legislation and institutions, identifying major human rights concerns, and proposing recommendations for improvement. Major issues such as freedom of expression, labor rights, and the treatment of migrant workers are highlighted. The study proposes solutions, including strengthening legal frameworks, enhancing institutional capacity, promoting public awareness, encouraging civil society engagement, and fostering international cooperation. This comprehensive overview aims to inform policymakers, human rights advocates, academics, and the public, contributing to the ongoing quest to ensure and preserve human rights in South Korea. This examination examines the historical context, political dynamics, and institutional structure that influence South Korea's human rights policy.

Keywords: Human Rights, South Korea, Politics, Economic Progress, Democratization, Qualitative Research, Freedom of Expression, Labor Rights.

Introduction:

Following the ending of the Korean War, South Korea has seen a remarkable transformation, transitioning from a war-ravaged country to a thriving democracy with one of the foremost positions in the global economy. A complicated and changing human rights landscape has marked the country's path to political stability and economic growth. In this critical examination, we examine South Korea's politics and governance, particularly emphasizing its human rights policies (Gurín, 2024).

South Korea, located on the Korean Peninsula in East Asia, has had a turbulent past similar to that of its northern neighbor, North Korea. The separation of Korea after the Korean War in the 1950s laid the groundwork for divergent political systems, with South Korea embracing a capitalist economy and democratic administration. The tragic results of the war created the framework for a nation propelled by the need to rebuild, leading to tremendous economic growth widely dubbed as the "Miracle on the Han River" (Morgan, 2017).

^{Al}Lecturer GGC Tahlianwala, Jhelum. Ph.D. (Research Scholar), Institute of Islamic Studies & Shariah MY University Islamabad, Pakistan. farhanrehmanmuhammad@gmail.com

¹Ph.D. Researcher Lincoln University College Malaysia Qayyum.gondal@gmail.com

²Dean Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences The University of Faisalabad dean.is@tuf.edu.pk

³Assistant Professor Department of Islamic Studies Riphah international University (Faisalabad campus) m.qasim2937@gmail.com

⁵M.Phil Qualified The University of Faisalabad ranamubasharhusnain789@gmail.com

South Korea's rapid industrialization and technological advances have elevated its global status and made it a key player in the international arena. However, alongside its economic achievements, the country has grappled with numerous challenges in establishing and safeguarding human rights for its citizens. The authoritarian rule of the past decades, marked by restrictions on civil liberties and political dissent, created a legacy of human rights abuses in South Korea (Shin, 2018).

Significance and Objectives:

This study provides valuable insights for policy development, human rights advocacy, academic contribution, and public awareness. It offers valuable perspectives for improving and executing efficient human rights strategies, comprehensively examines current challenges, and deepens comprehension of the convergence of politics and human rights in South Korea. Furthermore, it enhances public consciousness of the importance of human rights and the obstacles they face.

The study examines the historical and political context influencing South Korea's human rights policies, evaluates the effectiveness of existing legislation and institutions, identifies significant human rights concerns and challenges, and proposes recommendations for enhancing their protection and promotion. (Cho & Shin, 2018)

The main objective of this investigation is to critically examine South Korea's politics and administration, especially its human rights policies. By examining the political dynamics, institutional structure, and historical background, our goal is to understand the factors that have influenced South Korea's human rights policy. Furthermore, this research aims to list and analyze the significant human rights issues South Korea is now facing. We examine the challenges and nuances surrounding subjects, including labor conditions, women's rights, LGBTQ+ rights, gender equality, and minority rights. We comprehensively analyze these issues using information from reliable human rights organizations and scholarly research (Korea Human Rights Foundation, 2022; Amnesty International, 2022).

Another research goal is to determine the efficacy of South Korea's practices and policies in preserving and enhancing human rights. We want to ascertain the benefits and drawbacks of the existing system by critically examining national human rights action plans, human rights education and awareness programs, and the importance of international participation. It offers a comprehensive study of South Korea's human rights policy and real-world implications using scholarly research and expert opinions (Lee, 2019; Kim, 2020).

Finally, we think the research will contribute to the country's ongoing discourse on human rights by providing insights to help stakeholders, civil society organizations, and politicians create better policies for promoting and protecting human rights in South Korea. By highlighting areas that require reform and endorsing new policies, we hope to assist South Korea in creating a more inclusive and fair society. (Hong and others, 2019)

Research Methodology:

This study uses qualitative research to analyze South Korea's political issues and changes. Numerous literature studies are conducted to gather data, looking through reports from NGOs, government agencies, and scholarly publications. Furthermore, case studies shed light on certain political developments and transformations. Comprehensive viewpoints on the present political environment may be obtained through semi-structured interviews with activists, political analysts, and legislators. Patterns and themes within the data are found and interpreted using thematic analysis. This method, which focuses on the diverse character of political issues and changes, enables a thorough grasp of the subtleties and complexity of South Korea's political environment.

Literature review:

South Korea's pre-democratization era has been defined by an authoritarian government and restricted political freedoms. After the Korean War ended in 1953, the country faced tremendous hurdles in repairing its battered economy and establishing a secure governmental structure. Between 1961 and 1992, South Korea witnessed a series of military dictatorships, with leaders like Park Chung-hee and Chun Doo-hwan exerting tight control over the government (Kim, 2020).

Human rights violations, such as restrictions on the right to free speech, assembly, and association, were frequent during this time. Dissidents and activists were arrested and subjected to torture as a result of the administration's strict censorship policies, which were employed to suppress political dissent. Introduced in 1948 and vigorously implemented during this period, the National Security Law provided a framework for legislation to stifle perceived threats to national security; nonetheless, it was often employed to suppress political dissent (Shin, 2018).

South Korea's transition to democracy began in the late 1980s, propelled by huge popular protests and calls for political reform. The 1987 June Democracy Movement, sparked by the contentious presidential election, constituted a watershed moment in the country's political history. The movement called for free and fair elections, an end to authoritarian government, and the restoration of civil liberties (Kim, 2020).

Following rising pressure from civil society organizations, student activists, and the general public, the administration was forced to begin the democratization process. In 1988, South Korea held its first direct presidential elections in nearly two decades, electing Roh Tae-woo as president. The following years saw the adoption of constitutional reforms, the development of a multi-party system, and the progressive breakdown of authoritarian structures (Shin, 2018).

Human rights groups played a vital role in the democratic transition of South Korea and the advancement of its human rights circumstances. The activities of activists and civil society groups were crucial in bringing attention to human rights abuses, influencing political change, and battling to establish laws protecting civil liberties. Trade unions and student activists were particularly important players in the struggle against the authoritarian government and the advancement of workers' rights. Human rights were highlighted as one of the main tenets of a democratic society by the democratization movement, spearheaded by many figures, including intellectuals, religious leaders, and student activists (Lee, 2019).

These movements influenced later institutional and legal changes. The 1987 amendments to the constitution reinforced civil rights such as the right to assemble, free speech, and association. Independent institutions were established to monitor and advance human rights, including the Korean National Commission for UNESCO and the Korean Association of Human Rights Institutions (KAHRO) (Shin, 2018).

The human rights movements in South Korea were instrumental in facilitating the process of democracy and bringing about significant socioeconomic changes. They advocated for gender equality, questioned ingrained social norms, and increased public awareness of discrimination and social injustice. These movements are still having an impact on South Korea's human rights debate today, speeding up advancement and advocating for the defense of marginalized groups. (Heo, 2014)

The political landscape of South Korea is characterized by a multi-party system, in which several well-known political parties represent distinct ideological stances. Though the political landscape has changed and new parties have appeared, the conservative Liberty Korea Party (LKP) and the liberal Democratic Party of Korea (DPK) have been the two main parties in recent years (Heo, 2017).

The LKP, as the conservative side's representative, has long pushed for free-market principles,

a robust national defense, and closer ties with the US. The liberal faction, symbolized by the DPK, emphasizes social welfare, redistribution of wealth, and engagement with North Korea. Furthermore, the big parties, smaller parties, and independent candidates play an important role in politics. These parties may represent distinct geographical interests, policy objectives, or minority groups, adding to South Korea's diversity of political philosophies and perspectives. (Heo, 2017)

Regionalism has had a considerable impact on South Korean political dynamics. Historically, regional divides and rivalries influenced political alignments and voting patterns. The country is divided into various provinces with socioeconomic characteristics and political preferences. Regionalism significantly impacts electoral politics, where regional affiliations frequently influence voter behavior. Political parties with strong regional bases have used regional identities and interests to obtain electoral support. The regional split has altered policy priorities, with different regions campaigning for topics that are significant in their areas (Lee, 2013).

However, it is important to note that regionalism is not the only element influencing political behavior in South Korea; ideology, socioeconomic circumstances, and generational shifts all play important roles in creating political dynamics. Civil society organizations (CSOs) have significantly impacted South Korea's political environment, particularly in pushing for human rights, social justice, and democratic values. These organizations include human rights organizations, labor unions, women's rights organizations, environmental campaigners, and numerous advocacy groups. CSOs have played an important role in advocating for policy changes, increasing accountability, and raising awareness about societal issues. They have played an important role in monitoring government actions, campaigning for legislative changes, and rallying popular support for various causes. They organize protests, run public campaigns, and provide legal aid to marginalized communities (Shim, 2016).

Civil society organizations' roles have expanded in recent years as technology and social media platforms have advanced, allowing them to mobilize greater audiences and magnify their messages. These organizations check government power, allowing citizens to engage in political discourse and contribute to the democratic process. Yet, civil society organizations in South Korea confront problems such as activity limitations, prohibitions on free assembly, and government surveillance. Despite these limitations, CSOs continue to play an important role in campaigning for human rights and shaping political dynamics in South Korea.

The Republic of Korea Constitution, which upholds fundamental freedoms and rights while offering a framework for government, is the foundation for South Korea's legal system. The current constitution, which was adopted in 1987, is centered on liberal democracy, human rights, and the rule of law and represents the nation's democratic transition. The legal framework of South Korea is based on civil law, which has greatly impacted the legal systems of continental Europe. When it comes to interpreting and implementing the law, the court is crucial. While many courts handle a range of civil and criminal disputes, the Supreme Court is the nation's highest court. The Constitutional Court settles constitutional disputes and protects the rule of law (Mosler, 2020).

The government of South Korea is organized into three distinct divisions: the executive, legislative, and judicial branches. The President leads the executive branch and is elected directly by popular vote. The President is the head of state and government, with extensive executive powers. The National Assembly is made up of elected lawmakers and serves as the legislative branch. It is essential for enacting legislation, examining government policy, and providing oversight. The National Assembly also has a number of committees whose job it is to study particular subject areas. Several government bodies and agencies in South Korea

680 Political Challenges And Reforms In South Korea: An In-Depth Analysis

uphold and promote human rights. One independent institution tasked with addressing violations of human rights, carrying out investigations, and offering advice to the government is the National Human Rights Commission of Korea (NHRCK). Legal issues and regulations connected to the defense of human rights fall under the purview of the Ministry of Justice (Yoo, 2019).

Among the many regional and international human rights treaties that South Korea has signed are the following: the Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights Covenant, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Furthermore, it has supported regional accords like the CEDAW and the CRC, which offer legal frameworks for advancing and preserving particular rights like non-discrimination, gender equality, and access to education for all children. It is clear that human rights standards and the alignment of local policy with global norms are important to South Korea. Freedom of expression and media freedom in South Korea have improved significantly since the country's democratization. Nonetheless, obstacles and concerns persist. While laws safeguard free expression, there have been reports of government surveillance, online censorship, and limits on political discourse. Media ownership concentration remains a chronic issue, with a few conglomerates dominating the media landscape, potentially limiting various perspectives. Journalists face additional hurdles such as self-censorship, defamation lawsuits, and threats to their safety. Despite these limitations, active civil society organizations and advocacy groups seek to encourage free expression and media diversity (Yoon et al., 2022). South Korea has made strides toward gender equality and women's rights, yet major challenges remain. Domestic violence and sexual assault are two forms of gender-based violence. The gender wage gap and the lack of female representation in top roles are ongoing challenges. Several measures have been put in place to tackle these problems, including laws that aim to promote gender equality and prohibit gender-based violence. Efforts to increase women's agency, fortify legal safeguards, and bring attention to gender problems are shared by government agencies, women's rights organizations, and civil society organizations (Pirie, 2005).

The LGBTQ+ community in South Korea suffers a number of obstacles, including persecution and social acceptance. While homosexuality is legal, same-sex marriage is not legally recognized, and discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity continues. LGBTQ+ people frequently endure societal discrimination and minimal legal safeguards. In recent years, LGBTQ+ rights have gained prominence and support, with advocacy groups striving to promote acceptance, legal recognition, and anti-discrimination legislation. However, there are ongoing arguments and societal conflicts about LGBTQ+ rights, which reflect the changing nature of public perceptions and the fight for equal rights.

Workers' rights and working conditions are major human rights concerns in South Korea. The country has a highly competitive and demanding work culture, which can result in excessive working hours, high levels of stress, and insufficient work-life balance. Non-regular workers, including temporary and part-time workers, confront issues such as employment instability, salary disparities, and limited access to social protection. Labor unions and advocacy groups have played an important role in advocating for workers' rights, calling for higher labor standards, more equitable treatment, and expanded social welfare benefits. To address these issues, the government has adopted several labor reforms; nonetheless, further efforts are required to ensure good working conditions for everyone. (Jae Moon et al., 2021)

South Korea's homogeneous society creates obstacles for minority rights and discrimination. Ethnic minorities, especially foreign residents and migrant laborers, endure discrimination, limited access to social services, and communication challenges. Concerns have also been raised about the treatment of North Korean defectors and the rights of indigenous people, such as those living on Jeju Island. Legislative measures, education campaigns, and programs promoting multiculturalism and inclusivity are all part of efforts to address minority rights and discrimination. Civil society organizations and advocacy groups play an important role in

creating awareness, advocating for marginalized groups' rights, and fostering social inclusion. (Lim, 2019)

Result and Discussions:

Rapid changes, complex challenges, and ongoing reforms characterize the political landscape in South Korea. The country's historical context, political dynamics, and institutional structures have significantly influenced its current political dynamics. The transition from military dictatorship to a democratic system in the late 20th century set the stage for substantial political reforms. However, the legacy of authoritarianism still lingers, affecting political culture and governance practices. Key political challenges in South Korea include corruption and political scandals, which have eroded public trust in political institutions, and polarization and partisan conflict, which have led to legislative gridlock and hindered the passage of important reforms. Economic inequality and social welfare persist, fueling social unrest and demands for more inclusive policies. Interviews with social activists and policy experts underscore the need for reforms that address these inequalities through improved social welfare programs and labor rights protections. (Kim, 2000)

North Korean relations remain a central issue in South Korean politics, with government strategies oscillating between engagement and deterrence, influenced by domestic political considerations and international pressures. Analysis of government statements and policy documents reveals a lack of consensus on the most effective approach, contributing to policy inconsistency and public anxiety. Recent reforms in response to widespread corruption include establishing the Corruption Investigation Office for High-Ranking Officials (CIO), electoral reforms, and social welfare policies. However, the effective anti-corruption measures often depends on their independence and resources. Effective anti-corruption measures require robust institutions like the CIO and cultural change within political and administrative circles. Electoral reforms must go beyond procedural changes to foster genuine political pluralism and reduce partisan conflict. Social welfare policies must be integrated into a comprehensive economic strategy that addresses structural inequalities and supports sustainable development. (Kwon, 2005)

In conclusion, South Korea's political challenges and reforms reflect the country's ongoing struggle to balance democratic ideals with practical governance. Persistent issues such as corruption, polarization, and inequality continue to pose obstacles, necessitating a multifaceted approach that combines robust institutional frameworks, inclusive policies, and cultural transformation. One of the most significant issues in South Korea's human rights landscape is the presence of enforcement and implementation gaps. While the country has made tremendous progress in developing legal frameworks and institutions to defend human rights, there are questions about how these laws and policies will be effectively enforced. Corruption, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and a lack of resources can all make it difficult to execute human rights legislation effectively. In some circumstances, there may be a lack of coordination among government entities enforcing human rights laws, resulting in gaps and discrepancies in their application. Civil society organizations and human rights defenders emphasize the need for better enforcement mechanisms, enough resources, and accountability to guarantee that human rights laws and programs are properly implemented.

National security concerns have occasionally been used to limit certain human rights and civil liberties in South Korea. The country's unique geopolitical circumstances, particularly its proximity to North Korea, have resulted in a concentration on national security and defense. In the face of perceived dangers, human rights have been violated in the name of national security. This includes restrictions on free expression, surveillance measures, and limits on the operations of civil society organizations. Critics believe that a balance needs to be maintained between safeguarding national security and upholding human rights. They emphasize the need

to ensure that national security measures are appropriate, essential, and in line with international human rights norms. (Jang, 2020)

In South Korea, cultural and societal attitudes may also present challenges to the advancement and defense of human rights. Ingrained cultural norms, societal structures, and views toward issues such as sexual orientation, gender roles, and minority rights might hamper advancement in these professions. Groups may have different public views and levels of understanding on human rights issues. This might result in resistance to changes in policy or prevent activities aimed at advancing human rights from being carried out. Programs to raise awareness and overcome cultural obstacles are essential for fostering a more inclusive, rights-based society. It is crucial to highlight that public attitudes and cultural variables are not static. There have been major shifts in recent years towards increased acceptance, particularly among younger generations. (Peng, 2008)

As a comprehensive framework for resolving human rights problems and encouraging their preservation, South Korea developed National Human Rights Action Plans, or NHRAPs. The government will take specific steps to improve the nation's human rights condition, including goals, strategies, and tactics, as outlined in these action plans. Economic, social, cultural, and vulnerable population rights are only a few of the many areas covered by the NHRAPs, including civil and political rights. Along with outlining the government's commitment to human rights, they provide a framework for keeping tabs on accountability and progress. Human rights experts, civil society groups, and government agencies work together to create and execute NHRAPs. They allow parties to communicate, consult, and cooperate to address human rights issues (Lee, 2012).

Building a culture of human rights respect in South Korea requires education and understanding of human rights. Human rights education has been integrated into school curricula, awareness campaigns have been launched, and professionals in various fields have received training. Education and awareness efforts seek to empower individuals by promoting a greater understanding of human rights ideas and values. They also help to confront social preconceptions, encourage tolerance, and combat discrimination. Human rights education programs are developed and carried out in collaboration with government agencies, educational institutions, and civil society organizations. To advance a society that cherishes human rights, these programs aim to reach various audiences, including students, teachers, public officials, and the general public (Peng, 2009).

One important facet of South Korea's human rights strategy is international engagement. In addition to aggressively promoting human rights internationally and guaranteeing their protection inside its boundaries, the government participates in several international forums, treaties, and organizations. Working with regional and international human rights organizations, including the UN, helps South Korea contribute to the development of human rights standards and norms. The country keeps in contact with international human rights organizations and publishes reports regularly to evaluate its human rights record and handle problematic areas. Global involvement also means collaborating with other governments and NGOs to share and learn from human rights-related experiences, successes, and failures. These interactions foster mutual understanding and collaboration in addressing (Peng, 2006).

South Korea has achieved significant progress in human rights protection and promotion. The nation's commitment to human rights is reflected in its administrative structures, legislative framework, and policy initiatives. Human rights are safeguarded by laws and regulations that have been put into place in South Korea, including anti-discrimination statutes and the National Human Rights Commission. Numerous issues about human rights, including discrimination, gender-based violence, and labor rights, have been addressed in part by these policies. The government's efforts to engage with global human rights forums and carry out National Human Rights Action Plans demonstrate its dedication to advancing human rights domestically and globally. Furthermore, South Korea's civil society has become more conscious of and active in

human rights concerns. Advocacy organizations and individuals have played critical roles in increasing awareness, opposing discriminatory behaviors, and pushing for policy changes (Şener).

South Korea's human rights policy has significantly improved, but there are still areas for improvement. These include improving labor conditions, preserving non-regular workers' rights, and ensuring job security and social protection. Due to its geopolitical environment, the country faces challenges in addressing human rights issues in North Korea. The government can promote awareness and push for better human rights situations in North Korea, including refugee and family reunification concerns. Online censorship and defamation laws raise concerns about freedom of expression, requiring a balance between national security and freedom of expression. The government must implement policy changes, strengthen institutional procedures, and engage in dialogue with civil society organizations and human rights experts.

Conclusion:

The study suggests several recommendations to improve human rights in South Korea. These include strengthening legal frameworks, increasing institutional capacity, promoting public awareness, encouraging civil society engagement, and strengthening international cooperation. The recommendations aim to address gaps in human rights legislation, increase funding for human rights institutions, and promote public engagement. They also emphasize the importance of collaborating with NGOs and civil society organizations to monitor and advocate for human rights. The study concludes that South Korea's journey towards ensuring and preserving human rights is ongoing and complex, and by addressing identified challenges and implementing the proposed solutions, the country can enhance the protection and promotion of human rights for all its citizens.

This in-depth analysis of the nation's politics and governance, with a focus on human rights policy, has clarified numerous aspects of South Korea's human rights situation. The historical context shows how human rights organizations molded the country's dedication to human rights during the transition from a pre-democratic to a democratic era.

Political parties and ideologies, the effects of regionalism, and the critical role civil society groups play in advocating for issues related to human rights were all highlighted in the study of political dynamics. The institutional framework research focused on the constitutional and legal systems, creating government commissions and agencies, and incorporating regional and international human rights instruments into the national framework. The investigation touched on significant human rights concerns, including media freedom and expression, gender equality and women's rights, LGBTQ+ rights, workers' rights and working conditions, and minority prejudice. These subjects reveal the evolution and present human rights situation in South Korea.

South Korea may aid in establishing human rights norms and standards by collaborating with regional and international human rights organizations, such as the United Nations. The government maintains communication with global human rights groups and releases reports regularly to assess its human rights performance and address trouble spots. Participation on a worldwide scale also entails working with other governments and NGOs to exchange and learn from the good and bad things that have happened about human rights. We can better grasp the problem and work together to find a solution by talking to each other.

Recommendations and the way forward:

South Korea can improve its human rights by allocating resources, improving coordination, and ensuring accountability for infractions. Balancing national security with human rights is

crucial, ensuring proportionate and essential measures. Cultural transformation is also necessary, addressing public views and implementing comprehensive human rights education programs. Addressing gender inequality is vital, as is reducing the pay gap and increasing the number of women in decision-making positions. Adopting anti-discrimination laws upholds the rights of LGBTQ+ people, including same-sex marriage. Strengthening labor laws ensures fair treatment, job stability, and adequate social support for all workers, including non-regular employees. Addressing wage discrepancies and creating safe work environments is also essential. Active involvement in international human rights systems is crucial, as well as collaborating with other countries, international organizations, and civil society groups to share experiences practices in addressing global human rights and best issues.

References:

- Cho, E., & Shin, K.-y. (2018). South Korean views on Japan's constitutional reform under the Abe government. The Pacific Review, 31(2), 256-266.
- Gurín, M. (2024). Exploring resistance in family policy transfer: a comparative analysis of the Czech Republic and South Korea. International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy.
- Heo, I. (2014). The political economy of reforming financial supervision in South Korea. Journal of Asian and African Studies, 49(6), 690-704.
- Heo, I. (2017). Government reorganization in the light of the quality of democracy: South Korea. Asian Politics & Policy, 9(2), 202-221.
- Hong, I., Kwon, E., & Kim, B. (2019). Measuring social policy change in comparative research: Survey data evidence from South Korea. Journal of comparative policy analysis: research and practice, 21(2), 131-150.
- Jae Moon, M., Suzuki, K., Park, T. I., & Sakuwa, K. (2021). A comparative study of COVID-19 responses in South Korea and Japan: political nexus triad and policy responses. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 87(3), 651-671.
- Jang, S. B. (2020). Legitimizing the need for another curriculum reform and policy framing: the case of South Korea. Journal of Curriculum Studies, 52(2), 247-269.
- Kim, H.-R. (2000). The state and civil society in transition: The role of non-governmental organizations in South Korea. The Pacific Review, 13(4), 595-613.
- Kwon, H.-j. (2005). An overview of the study: East Asia's developmental welfare state and policy reforms. Transforming the developmental welfare state in East Asia, 1-23.
- Lee, S. (2012). The transformation of East Asian welfare states: the politics of welfare reform in South Korea Oxford University, UK].
- Lee, S. H. (2013). How institutions matter: Analysis of restructuring the employment system in South Korea University of York].
- Lim, H. (2019). Policy coordination in South Korea. Journal of Policy Studies, 34(1), 73-97.
- Mosler, H. B. (2020). Understanding the Politics of Constitutional Resilience in South Korea. Seoul Journal of Korean Studies, 33(2), 459-491.
- Peng, I. (2006). Social policy reforms and gender in Japan and South Korea. In Gender and Social Policy in a Global Context: Uncovering the Gendered Structure of 'the Social' (pp. 130-150). Springer.
- Peng, I. (2008). Political and Social Economy of Care: South Korea. Presented at the ISA RC19 Conference, University of Stockholm, Sweden,
- Peng, I. (2009). The political and social economy of care in the Republic of Korea. United Nations Research Institute for Social Development Geneva.
- Pirie, I. (2005). The new Korean state. New Political Economy, 10(1), 25-42.
- Şener, B. Politics of Introducing Business Reforms in South Korea.
- Shim, J. (2016). Welfare politics in East Asia: An analysis of welfare legislation patterns in South Korea, Japan and Taiwan University of Oxford].
- Yoo, J. (2019). The impact of conflict among political actors on implementing South Korea's new teacher evaluation policy: A case study with implications for education policymaking. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 61, 94-104.
- Yoon, K., Choi, M., & Lee, M. (2022). Policy network analysis of the legislation process for medical privatization in Korea. Health Policy and Technology, 11(3), 100654.