Migration Letters

Volume: 21, No: S11 (2024), pp. 273-287 ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online) www.migrationletters.com

Implementation Of Water Quality Index For Measuring Groundwater Quality

Minza Mumtaz¹, Syed Humayoun Jahanzaib², Sadia Khan³, Sumaira Ismail⁴, Waqar Hussain⁵, Farooq Ali Khan⁶

ABSTRACT

Groundwater is one of the major sources of water consumption in developing countries. The aim of the study was to investigate the variations in physicochemical characteristics and metal content and to calculate the Water Quality Index (WQI) to assess the groundwater quality of seven districts (Central, East, West, South, Malir, Korangi, and Kemari) of Karachi City, Pakistan. A stratified sampling approach was used to collect groundwater samples and turbidity, pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Nitrate-N, and arsenic concentrations were investigated to profile WQI. The physicochemical parameters from districts South, West, Korangi, and Kemari were as per APHA standards and found suitable for drinking purposes. EC and TDS were in ranges of (2660-3870µS/cm) and (1596-2322mg/L) exceeding the standard limits resulting in poor drinking water quality respectively in districts Central, Malir, and East. These districts fail to meet the APHA standards and become unfit for human consumption on the WQI scale. However, TSS and arsenic was not detected in any of the collected samples. The correlation matrix for the¹ correlation coefficient (R-value) of tested parameters with WOI indicated significant linear and high R-value among tested parameters. The statistical analysis showed that turbidity, pH, EC, TDS, and Nitrate-N have significant effects on WQI. EC and TDS showed a direct correlation with WQI (R=1.0) whereas turbidity significantly correlates with the presence of nitrate in drinking water (R=0.64). These findings help the decision makers to plan mitigation strategies for comprehensive water quality monitoring of the respective areas and ensure the availability of clean and safe drinking water to the public.

KEYWORDS WQI, water quality, pollution profile, Karachi.

HIGHLIGHTS

• significant variations in groundwater quality across Karachi's districts, with Central, Malir, and East exhibiting poor water quality due to high EC and TDS levels.

^{1.} Department of Civil Engineering, Ziauddin University, Karachi-74700, Pakistan. E-mail: (Corresponding Author)

^{2.} Department of Civil Engineering, NED University of Engineering and Technology, University Road, Karachi-75270, Pakistan.

^{3.} Department of Environmental Engineering, NED University of Engineering and Technology, University Road, Karachi-75270, Pakistan.

^{4.} Department of Civil Engineering, Ziauddin University, Karachi-74700, Pakistan

^{5.} Department of Environmental Engineering, NED University of Engineering and Technology, University Road, Karachi-75270, Pakistan.

^{6.} HESS Sustainability Company, 1302-04, 13th Floor, Caesar's Tower, Opposite Aisha Bawany College, Sharah-e-Faisal, Karachi, Pakistan.

- Groundwater in districts South, West, Korangi, and Kemari meets APHA standards, indicating suitability for drinking purposes.
- A strong direct correlation (R=1.0) between Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), and Water Quality Index (WQI) underscores their critical role in assessing groundwater quality.

1 INTRODUCTION

Water is an essential environmental parameter that affects both humans and nature. The enhancement of water supply, sanitation, and efficient water resource management can positively impact economic development and significantly aid in the reduction of poverty [1]. The United Nations General Assembly approved the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015, which included SDG 6 that ensures the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all [2]. It aims to improve access to safe and affordable drinking water, sanitation, and hygiene, as well as to promote the sustainable use and management of water resources globally. The SDG sets a target of achieving universal and equitable access to safe and cheap drinking water, and adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene for all by 2030.

The availability of pure drinking water varies depending on geographical location. Around 4 billion individuals live in water-stressed countries, which is expected to be intensified due to climate change and population growth [3]. Karachi, the megacity and the economic hub of Pakistan, is facing a severe issue of poor access to clean drinking water. The city has seven districts (Central, East, West, South, Malir, Korangi and Kemari) [4], having an estimated population of more than 16 million people depending upon surface and groundwater sources for use [5]. The surface water sources are Hub Dam, Keenjhar, and Haleji Lake, while Dumlottee wells fall under groundwater resources [6]. However, a significant chunk of the population consumes drinking water that contains fecal coliforms, which is due to the mixing of sewer lines with drinking water pipelines due to old infrastructure [7]. The annual report for the year 2021 of the Pakistan Council of Research in Water Resources (PCRWR) claimed that 93% of the water sources are contaminated and unsafe for drinking purposes [8], while another report claimed that the per capita availability of water decreasing spontaneously and with this Pakistan falls under "water-stressed" country in upcoming years [9]. Due to reduced water supply, the illegal excess dwelling of wells and boreholes increases, resulting in groundwater level depletion [10].

Physicochemical parameters are important to identify the quality of water for its use. Turbidity, Potential Hydrogen (pH), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) are those parameters which are mostly used to profile water quality [11]. Turbidity is measured as Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) which quantifies the presence of suspended particles, plankton or micro-organisms causing cloudiness in water. The recommended value of turbidity is 5 NTU [12], extended value shows that water is not drinkable. The pH measures the acidity or alkalinity of water on a scale from 0 to 14 and is related to chemical quality of water. The standard value is 7 which shows neutral while values below 7 are acidic and above 7 is considered alkaline water [13]. EC serves as an indirect indicator of water quality. Changes in EC can signal variations in the composition of dissolved substances, such as salts, nutrients, and pollutants [14]. TDS measures the portion of total solids in a water sample that passes through a filter with a nominal pore size of 2 µm (or smaller) under specified conditions. It is represented as milligrams per liter (mg/L) or parts per million (ppm). It is recommended that TDS in drinking water does not exceed 1000 mg/L because high TDS levels can affect the taste and odor of water. Excessive dissolved minerals, such as salts, can impart a salty or bitter taste [15]. TSS refers to the concentration of particles that are suspended in water and can be trapped by a filter reported in mg/L [16]. However, the presence of nitrate and arsenic in drinking water can risk human health. Similarly, runoff from fertilized fields or seepage from septic systems can introduce nitrate or arsenic into groundwater, impacting drinking water supplies [17-18].

Health, hygiene, and access to safe drinking water are serious concerns in Karachi. The presence of physicochemical, and microbial contamination and metals in 42 groundwater samples makes water unfit for drinking. The microbial and physicochemical parameters from six districts (South, East, Central, West, Malir and Korangi) of Karachi City were tested in 2020 [19]. The results showed that the groundwater sample collected from District West was highly contaminated than the rest of the districts. The physical parameters like pH and turbidity lie within the standard limits, while the chemical contamination TDS, hardness and chloride exceed the limits in most samples. However, traces of metals were also found in the collected water samples, such as arsenic, cadmium, and lead, making them unfit for drinking.

Groundwater is becoming a naturally occurring resource of strategic significance due to rising demand, especially in metropolitan areas where water quality may be compromised [20]. Water Quality Index (WQI), Pollution Index (PI), Statistical methods for periodic assessments, and time-series analysis are available to profile the groundwater quality [21–23]. In this context, WQI is a promising tool to major the water quality parameters because of its simplicity [24] and frequently used method in developing countries [25–29]. WQI represents a unique way to interpret the quality of water by assigning a unique range for different purposes. It depends upon the quality rating scale (qi) and relative weight (wi). The qualitative rating scale is calculated using Equation 1, where C_i is the calculated concentration of the water sample and S_i is the standard permissible limit of the tested parameter [30].

$$q_i = \left(\frac{C_i}{S_i}\right) * 100$$
 Equation 1

The relative weight (w_i) is the reciprocal of the permissible limit (S_i) . WQI is calculated using Equation 2.

$$WQI = \frac{\sum(q_i * w_i)}{\sum(w_i)}$$
Equation 2

F4 41

Table 1 gives the range of WQI values for different purposes. It is noted that the WQI value > 100 is unfit for drinking purposes and needs possible treatment before consumption to reduce health risks.

. . . .

Table 1 Wo	QI value and	classification for	r drinking w	ater [14]

WQI value	Classification
0-25	Excellent
26-50	Good
51-75	Poor
76-100	Very Poor
>100	Unfit for drinking

The key objective of the study was to capture variations in the quality of groundwater covering all districts (Centre, East, West, South, Malir, Korangi and Kemari) of Karachi city using the WQI method to analyze the quality of groundwater that the people are consuming in the vicinity

of Karachi city. This study helps the decision makers to ensure the feasibility of clean drinking water to the population who do not have access to drinking water supply system and relying on well water for your daily consumption.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Selection of Parameters

The physico-chemical parameters such as turbidity, pH, EC, TDS, TSS, Nitrate-N, and metal arsenic were selected to profile groundwater quality in seven districts of megacity, Karachi.

2.2 Sampling Strategy

A stratified sampling approach was used to collect groundwater samples. The stratified sampling strategy focuses to reveal the potential correlations between groundwater quality and proximity to potential contaminant sources [31]. Busiest area from each district (Table 2) was identified as unique strata based on the urbanized areas, agricultural zones, and locations near industrial sites such as North Nazimabad, and Gulshan-e-Iqbal are urbanized area whereas Baldia Town and Shah Faisal Town are located near the industrial area while Malir is near the agriculture zones and Defence and Kemari are situated near the Arabian Sea. The pin location and the corresponding area from each district are tabulated in Table 2. Within each identified stratum, groundwater samples were collected using a systematic random sampling approach. The bore depth of at least 70ft was used to analyze the concentration of contaminants. Figure 1 shows the sample collection points from each district. Analysis was conducted separately for each stratum, allowing for a detailed examination of groundwater quality within distinct geographical contexts. Findings were then compared across strata to draw conclusions about the overall groundwater quality trends and variations in the study area.

District	Latitude	Longitude	Area
Central	24.914	67.029	North Nazimabad
East	24.929	67.128	Gulshan-e-Iqbal
West	24.938	66.961	Baldia Town
South	24.789	67.046	Defence Phase V
Malir	24.902	67.197	Malir
Korangi	24.876	67.156	Shah Faisal Town
Kemari	24.823	66.985	Kemari Block 2

Table 2: Location coordinates and the corresponding area of collection stations.



Figure 1: Location map of the collecting sample points indicating area selected from each district (Source: Generated using the pin location of the collecting point in MS-PowerBi)

2.3 Sample collection

The samples were collected during January-December 2023. Groundwater samples (n=10 in duplicate) were collected directly through borewells of depth 70ft-110ft from each district. Physical parameters pH and turbidity were tested in-situ using pH meter (model: MW101, manufacturer: Hach) and turbidity meter (model: 2100P, manufacturer: Hach), respectively. Samples were stored and labeled in two liter glass bottles and transported directly to the laboratory at an environmental temperature within 6 hours for further analysis as per the guidelines of America Public Health Association Protocols (22nd Edition) [32]. Samples were tested for arsenic using Merck 1.17927 guidelines [17]. The standard values for selected parameters were tabulated in Table 3. APHA does not provide any permissible limit of EC, hence used WHO standards for comparison.

2.4 Analysis of physicochemical parameters

For EC, conductivity meter (model: HI98303, manufacturer: Hanna) was used [14]. For TDS and TSS, a gravimetric analysis following the standard method was adopted. Initially, filter paper was pre-weighed before the filtration process. After the filtration was completed, the filtrate was cooked in a 100°C oven until the filtrate was fully evaporated to get the weight of the residue denoting the TDS while the filter was removed and placed in the oven at 105 °C to completely dry off the leftover water. The filter was then weighted to get TSS [15-16]. Briefly, for the determination of nitrate-N and arsenic, a UV-visible spectrophotometer-based standard method was used [17-18]. First, the standard solution of known concentration was used to measure the absorbance of each standard solution at a specific wavelength. Then the calibration curve was created by plotting the absorbance values against the known concentrations. Once the calibration curve was obtained, the sample was prepared and absorbance was measured to the calibrated curve to get the amount of nitrate and arsenic in the sample.

S.No.	Parameters	Unit	Reference	Standard Value
1	Turbidity	NTU	APHA, 22 nd Edition [12]	5
2	рН	-	APHA, 22 nd Edition [13]	7
3	Electrical Conductivity (EC)	μS/cm	WHO [14]	250
4	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	APHA, 22 nd Edition [15]	1000
5	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	APHA, 22 nd Edition [16]	500
6	Nitrate- N	mg/L	APHA, 22 nd Edition [18]	10
7	Arsenic	ppb	Merck 1.17927 [17]	50

Table 3: Details of parameters selected for groundwater pollution profile of Karachi City

2.4 Statistical Analysis

A correlation matrix was computed using Microsoft Excel to compare the observed value for each parameter for each district.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Analysis of physicochemical and metal characteristics

The physicochemical parameters were tested and analyzed for all the collected groundwater samples and tabulated in Table 4. Each district was compared against all parameters to generate the WQI for Karachi city.

The samples tested for turbidity from district Central (1 ± 0.84) , West (1 ± 0.07) , South (1 ± 0.18) , Malir (1 ± 0.05) , Korangi (1 ± 0.61) and Kemari (1 ± 0.54) were below the standard value of 5 NTU resulting in clear aesthetic water except for district east in which a relatively higher value of 22 ± 2.0 NTU was observed as shown in Figure 2. Turbidity occurred due to the presence of soil, organic and inorganic matter, and other microscopic organisms. Due to the runoff of rainwater and the increase in soil erosion, there is increased turbidity in natural water sources [33-34]. This might be the reason which leads to the elevated levels of turbidity in District East.

Table 4:	Results of v	vater q	uality p	parameters	in collected	samples from	each	district of
Karachi								

S.No. District	_	Physicoch	emical P	arameters						
	Turbidity	рН	EC	TDS	TSS	Nitrate- N	Arsenic			
1	Central	1±0.84	8±0.03	2660±80	1596±30	ND	10±0.98	ND		
2	East	22±2.0	7±0.39	3870±90	2322±80	ND	4±0.48	ND		
3	West	1±0.07	7±0.52	699±60	419±20	ND	1±0.83	ND		
4	South	1±0.18	7±0.70	921±30	553±40	ND	1±0.77	ND		
5	Malir	1±0.05	7±0.74	3510±95	2106±70	ND	5±0.58	ND		

6	Korangi	1±0.61	7±0.62	818±20	491±10	ND	1±0.81	ND
7	Kemari	1±0.54	7±0.45	706±10	424±15	ND	1±0.76	ND





Figure 2 Turbidity variations in water samples collected from each district of Karachi.

The observed values of pH (Figure 2) in each sample were more inclined towards alkalinity because the obtained values were greater than the standard value (pH=7.0), while the pH in district central is relatively higher (8 ± 0.03). However, the lowest value of pH=7 ±0.39 is observed in district East as compared to the rest of the samples.



Figure 2: pH in water samples collected from each district of Karachi.

Higher alkalinity in water can contribute to a higher pH because alkalinity is related to the presence of bicarbonate, carbonate, and hydroxide ions [35]. In some cases, high alkalinity might also be associated with an increase in EC, especially if the alkalinity is due to dissolved salts [36-37]. The analysis (Figure 3a) shows that all the collected groundwater samples tested for EC exceed the standard value which is 250μ S/cm that indicates the presence of dissolved solids in groundwater. However, the values obtained for districts Central, East, and Malir had relatively higher EC (2660 ± 80 , 3870 ± 90 , and $3510\pm95\mu$ S/cm) than the rest of the districts. EC is also used for the indirect estimation of TDS. It is also observed that the districts Central, East, and Malir which have higher EC values also have elevated TDS levels i.e. 1596 ± 30 , 2322 ± 80 , and 2106 ± 70 mg/L, respectively as shown in Figure 3(b). It also indicates the presence of dissolved salts in groundwater samples. However, TSS is not detected in any of the collected samples indicating the filtration of TSS from the soil layers.



(a)



(b)

Figure 3: Water samples collected from each district of Karachi for (a) EC, and (b) TDS.

The ground water samples tested for nitrates from each district were under the prescribed limit which is 10mg/L while only the sample collected from district central exceeded the limit by 0.981mg/L shown in Figure 4(b), which showed the need for treatment before consumption. Furthermore, arsenic was not detected in any of the collected samples.



Figure 4: Concentration of Nitrate in drinking water samples from wells of seven districts of Karachi (in mg/L)

3.2 Groundwater pollution profile of Karachi city based on WQI

The WQI was calculated using Equation 2. It was observed that the samples collected from District Central, East and Malir had relatively high WQI values of 131, 190 and 172 which make them unfit for drinking purposes and require appreopriate and planned treatment (

Table **5**). The higher values in these districts are also predicted because the parameters tested from these districts mostly exceeded the standard range resulting in the higher values. Similarly, the rest of the districts like West, South, Korangi and Kemari observe relatively similar values not in excellent range but still acceptable and fit for drinking purposes with primary precautions (

Table 5).

3.3 Statistical analysis of water quality parameter

A correlation matrix of selected water quality parameters, namely, turbidity, pH, EC, TDS, Nitrate-N among themselves and with water quality index (WQI) was analyzed and tabulated in Table 6. Turbidity showed a positive linear correlation with EC and TDS (0.62) while exhibiting a moderate positive correlation with Nitrate-N (0.08) as their correlation coefficient (R-value) is in mid-range from -1 to 1. However, it showed a moderate negative correlation with pH (-0.49). It is also observed that EC and TDS are interrelated as the correlation matrix showed (Table 6) the R-value is exactly equal to 1, hence it is said that TDS and EC has a direct linear relation among them. It is also observed that the remaining parameters have moderate positive linear correlation as tabulated in Table 6. The table also gives the relation of water quality parameters and WQI values. It is observed from the analysis that EC and TDS have direct impact on the water quality index while turbidity and nitrate have a positive linear relation with WQI as their R-values are 0.62 and 0.64, respectively. However, it exhibits a moderate relation with pH (0.16).

Table 5: Assessment of the water quality of each district based on the Water Quality Index (WQI).

District	WQI Value	Water quality classification based on computed WQI values in sample sites
Central	131	<u>Unfit for drinking</u>
East	190	<u>Unfit for drinking</u>
West	34	Good
South	45	Good
Malir	172	<u>Unfit for drinking</u>
Korangi	40	Good
Kemari	35	Good

Key: Water quality classification based on WQI values range (0-25 = excellent; 26-50 = good water; 51-75 = poor water; 76-100 = very poor water; >100 = water unsuitable for drinking)

	Turbidity	pН	EC	TDS	Nitrate- N	WQI Value
Turbidity	1.00					
рН	-0.49	1.00				
EC	0.62	0.16	1.00			
TDS	0.62	0.16	1.00	1.00		
Nitrate- N	0.08	0.75	0.64	0.64	1.00	
WQI Value	0.62	0.16	1.00	1.00	0.64	1.00

Table 6: Statistical analysis of water quality parameter to WQI value

3.4 Physicochemical Contamination

The physical, chemical, and microbial characteristics of water differ from place to place, defining water quality. It is crucial to identify the specific water-quality traits that impact future water uses when assessing the quality of natural water sources used for diverse purposes. Rains, industrial waste disposal, chemical weathering of rocks, and other variables can change the properties of groundwater [38]. Contaminants infiltrating groundwater present significant challenges in detection and removal, as they are often indistinguishable by taste or smell. Consequently, these contaminants have the potential to contaminate groundwater used for both irrigation and drinking purposes. A study conducted in Gulshan-e-Iqbal town in Karachi in 2013 showed that 90% of the borehole samples comply with the standard limits, while the microbiological characteristics of 70% of groundwater samples were found satisfactory for potable water [38]. A similar study in the SITE area showed that the groundwater is mainly saline and 80% of samples contain TDS above 500 ppm [29]. Table 7 summarizes the results of the studies done in Karachi city within two years to monitor the status of groundwater.

			Sample	Results			
Year	Location	Reference	Collection	лЦ	Turbidity	TDS	
			Conection	рп	(NTU)	(mg/L)	
2022	Karachi	[29]	24	7	-	5978	
2022	Sialkot, Lahore	[39]	35	7.59	-	1308	
2020	Karachi	[19]	42	7.29	0.269	2452.74	
2020	Model Colony	[26]	NM	7 47	0.59	327 75	
2020	Karachi		14.141	7.47	0.57	521.15	
2020	Karachi	[40]	N.M	7.29	0.269	2452.74	
2020	Karachi	[41]	28	7.006	-	11946	

Table 7: Summary of the studies conducted in the last three years (2020-2022) in Karachi

Key: N.M= Not mentioned

4 CONCLUSION

The conducted study provides a cureent overview of the quality of groundwater of Karachi City. The samples tested from Defence Phase V, Baldia Town, Korangi, and Kemari largely comply with APHA recommendations and can be considered safe for drinking, while samples from Gulistan-e-Johar, Malir Cantt, and North Nazimabad reveal concerning deviations.

Gulistan-e-Johar exhibits alarmingly high turbidity (22.1 NTU) as shown in **Error! Reference source not found.** while relatively elevated values were observed in TDS as most of the area exceeds the standard range like TDS level of 2322 mg/L was observed in District East (Figure 3), exceeding safe limits and rendering the water unsuitable for consumption. Similarly, District Malir the potential health risks associated with chronic consumption of such water, including gastrointestinal issues and unpalatability, cannot be ignored. It can pose the risk for Blue Baby Syndrome and thyroid issues and needs immediate action to address this contamination and safeguard public health.

In conclusion, these findings underscore the urgency for comprehensive water quality monitoring in the city of Karachi, targeted intervention strategies, and public awareness campaigns. Ensuring access to safe water is not merely a matter of convenience; it is a fundamental human right and a cornerstone of public health. By addressing the identified water quality issues, decision-makers can safeguard the well-being of present and future generations and achieve SDG 6.

5 REFERENCES

- [1] M. D. Young and C. Esau, "Investing in water for a green economy: Services, infrastructure, policies and management," Investing in Water for a Green Economy: Services, Infrastructure, Policies and Management, pp. 1–272, 2013.
- [2] A. Thapa, "Sustainable Development Goal 6 (clean water and sanitation) Status and Challenges in Nepal," Journey for Sustainable Development and Peace Journal, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 21–35, 2023.
- [3] X. Gao, C. A. Schlosser, C. Fant, and K. Strzepek, "The impact of climate change policy on the risk of water stress in southern and eastern Asia," Environmental Research Letters, vol. 13, no. 6, 2018.
- [4] T. Brinkhoff, "PAKISTAN: Subdivisions of Karachi," City Population, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.citypopulation.de/en/pakistan/karachi/admin/. [Accessed: 29-

Feb-2024].

- [5] S. Janjua, I. Hassan, M. U. Ali, M. M. Ibrahim, A. Zafar, and S. Kim, "Addressing Social Inequality and Improper Water Distribution in Cities: A Case Study of Karachi, Pakistan," Land, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 1–15, 2021.
- [6] A. Syvrud, H. Pohlner, J. F. Punthakey, M. Grant, and T. Green, "Advancing urban water security in the indus basin, pakistan—priority actions for karachi and lahore," Water (Switzerland), vol. 13, no. 23, 2021.
- M. K. Daud et al., "Drinking Water Quality Status and Contamination in Pakistan," BioMed Research International, vol. 2017, p. 7908183, 2017.
- [8] H. Rasheed, F. Altaf, K. Anwaar, M. Ashraf, and Pakistan Council of Research in Water Resources, "Drinking water quality in Pakistan: current status and challenges," Pakistan Council of Research for Water Resources, 2021.
- [9] W. Ishaque, R. Tanvir, and M. Mukhtar, "Climate Change and Water Crises in Pakistan: Implications on Water Quality and Health Risks," Journal of Environmental and Public Health, vol. 2022, 2022.
- [10] W. Ishaque, M. Mukhtar, and R. Tanvir, "Pakistan's water resource management: Ensuring water security for sustainable development," Frontiers in Environmental Science, vol. 11, no. January, 2023.
- [11] J. Ahmed, L. P. Wong, Y. P. Chua, and N. Channa, "Drinking water quality mapping using water quality index and geospatial analysis in primary schools of pakistan," Water (Switzerland), vol. 12, no. 12, 2020.
- [12] B. G. B. Kitchener, J. Wainwright, and A. J. Parsons, "A review of the principles of turbidity measurement," Progress in Physical Geography, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 620–642, 2017.
- [13] I. Seymour, B. O'Sullivan, P. Lovera, J. F. Rohan, and A. O'Riordan, "Electrochemical detection of free-chlorine in Water samples facilitated by in-situ pH control using interdigitated microelectrodes," Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical, vol. 325, p. 128774, 2020.
- [14] F. García-Ávila, C. Zhindón-Arévalo, L. Valdiviezo-Gonzales, M. Cadme-Galabay, H. Gutiérrez-Ortega, and L. F. del Pino, "A comparative study of water quality using two quality indices and a risk index in a drinking water distribution network," Environmental Technology Reviews, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 49–61, 2022.
- [15] B. B. Wang, "Research on drinking water purification technologies for household use by reducing total dissolved solids (TDS)," PLoS ONE, vol. 16, no. 9 September, pp. 1– 14, 2021.
- [16] P. J. Vidlářová and S. Heviánková, "Comparison of modern drinking water network maintenance methods: Evaluation of removed deposits in the form of total suspended solids (tss)," International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, vol. 18, no. 8, 2021.
- [17] S. Bordoloi, S. K. Nath, S. Gogoi, and R. K. Dutta, "Arsenic and iron removal from groundwater by oxidation-coagulation at optimized pH: Laboratory and field studies," Journal of Hazardous Materials, vol. 260, pp. 618–626, 2013.
- [18] R. Picetti et al., "Nitrate and nitrite contamination in drinking water and cancer risk: A systematic review with meta-analysis," Environmental Research, vol. 210, p. 112988, 2022.
- [19] S. S. Razzaq et al., "Detection of hazardous contaminants in ground water resources: An alarming situation for public health in Karachi, Pakistan," Pakistan Journal of Analytical and Environmental Chemistry, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 322–331, 2020.
- [20] M. Khattak, M. K.-J. of E. S. and Water, and undefined 2013, "Ground water analysis of Karachi with reference to adverse effect on human health and its comparison with other cities of Pakistan," Sawayra.Org, vol. 2, no. 11, pp. 410–418, 2013.

- [21] I. D. U. H. Piyathilake, L. V. Ranaweera, E. P. N. Udayakumara, S. K. Gunatilake, and C. B. Dissanayake, "Assessing groundwater quality using the Water Quality Index (WQI) and GIS in the Uva Province, Sri Lanka," Applied Water Science, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1–19, 2022.
- [22] H. S. Al-Aizari, F. Aslaou, A. R. Al-Aizari, A. B. Al-Odayni, and A. J. M. Al-Aizari, "Evaluation of Groundwater Quality and Contamination Using the Groundwater Pollution Index (GPI), Nitrate Pollution Index (NPI), and GIS," Water (Switzerland), vol. 15, no. 20, 2023.
- [23] T. K. Boateng, F. Opoku, S. O. Acquaah, and O. Akoto, "Groundwater quality assessment using statistical approach and water quality index in Ejisu-Juaben Municipality, Ghana," Environmental Earth Sciences, vol. 75, no. 6, pp. 1–14, 2016.
- [24] P. Tirkey, T. Bhattacharya, and S. Chakraborty, "Water Quality Indices- Important Tools for Water Quality Assessment :," International Journal of Advances in Chemistry, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 15–28, 2013.
- [25] M. A. Seelro, M. U. Ansari, S. Manzoor A, A. M. Abodif, and S. A, "Comparative Study of Ground and Surface Water Quality Assessment Using Water Quality Index (Wqi) in Model Colony Malir, Karachi, Pakistan," Environmental Contaminants Reviews, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 04–12, 2020.
- [26] M. A. Seelro, M. U. Ansari, S. Manzoor A, A. M. Abodif, and S. A, "COMPARATIVE STUDY OF GROUND AND SURFACE WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT USING WATER QUALITY INDEX (WQI) IN MODEL COLONY MALIR, KARACHI, PAKISTAN," Environmental Contaminants Reviews, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 04–12, Jan. 2020.
- [27] A. Khan and F. R. Qureshi, "Groundwater quality assessment through Water Quality Index (WQI) in New Karachi Town, Karachi, Pakistan," Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 41–46, 2018.
- [28] Z. Ali Mirani, "Human Genetics View project Studies on biofilms formation in food environments, relationship of pathogens and non-pathogens in multi-specie biofilms View project," 2021.
- [29] A. M. A. Aneeq Mahmood Abbasi, S. R. Sanober Rafi, and S. N. and Y. R. Sadaf Naseem and Yusra Rehman, "Hydrogeochemical Evaluation of Groundwater of SITE Area, Karachi, Pakistan," Journal of the chemical society of pakistan, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 618–618, 2022.
- [30] A. Khan and F. R. Qureshi, "Groundwater quality assessment through Water Quality Index (WQI) in New Karachi Town, Karachi, Pakistan," Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 41–46, 2018.
- [31] Kebbekus and Mitra, "CHAPTER 2 Environmental Sampling," New Jersey Institue of Technology, 2001. [Online]. Available: https://web.njit.edu/~kebbekus/analysis/SAMPLING.htm. [Accessed: 04-Mar-2024].
- [32] A. Solutions, A. Solutions, and I. Solutions, "Standard methods: For the examination of water and waste water," Analytical Biochemistry, vol. 186, no. 1, p. 183, 1990.
- [33] V. Kothari, S. Vij, S. K. Sharma, and N. Gupta, "Correlation of various water quality parameters and water quality index of districts of Uttarakhand," Environmental and Sustainability Indicators, vol. 9, no. June 2020, 2021.
- [34] H. Zeb, A. Yaqub, H. Ajab, I. Zeb, and I. Khan, "Effect of Climate Change and Human Activities on Surface and Ground Water Quality in Major Cities of Pakistan," Water (Switzerland), vol. 15, no. 15. 2023.
- [35] I. V Muralikrishna and V. Manickam, "Chapter Eighteen Analytical Methods for Monitoring Environmental Pollution," in Environmental Management, I. V Muralikrishna and V. Manickam, Eds. Butterworth-Heinemann, 2017, pp. 495–570.
- [36] L. Bai, C. Wang, S. Zang, Y. Zhang, Q. Hao, and Y. Wu, "Remote Sensing of Soil

Alkalinity and Salinity in the Wuyu'er-Shuangyang River Basin, Northeast China," Remote Sensing, vol. 8, no. 2, 2016.

- [37] O. A. Al-Khashman and A. Q. Jaradat, "Assessment of groundwater quality and its suitability for drinking and agricultural uses in arid environment," Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 743–753, 2014.
- [38] S. Nadeem, "Assessment of Ground Water Quality at Selected Locations Inside Karachi City," Pakistan Journal of Chemistry, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 135–142, 2015.
- [39] Murtaza and Usman, "Assessment of various heavy metals level in groundwater and soil at tannery manufacturing areas of three mega cities (Sialkot, Lahore and Karachi) of Pakistan," Desalination and Water Treatment, vol. 266, pp. 121–130, Aug. 2022.
- [40] M. K. Khan et al., "Statistical and Geospatial Assessment of Groundwater Quality in the Megacity of Karachi," Journal of Water Resource and Protection, vol. 11, no. 03, pp. 311–332, 2019.
- [41] Saeed, A. Khan, S. A. Khan, and Zubaid, "ImpactofLeachatePercolationonGroundwater," 2020.