

The Factors Influencing Nursing Professionals' Clinical Reasoning Competencies

Saud Aladhm Alanzi¹, Abdullah Ahmed Alzahrani², Maryam Aayed Bin Farhan Al-Aed³, Mohammed Nasser Mutlaq Alotaibi⁴, Samira Mohammad Ahmad Gomiri⁵, Abdullah Sulaiman Alharbi⁶, Mansour Musif Ghazai Alotaibi⁷, Saad zaben alshammiri⁸, Bandar Sunaidah Hezam Alotibi⁹, Sahar Mubarak Aldulab¹⁰, Hani Abdullah Mesfer Alghamdi¹¹, Salma Hamzah Sarhan Alotaibi¹², Alanazi, Yazeed Atallah B¹³, Amani Saed Saad Almalki¹⁴

Abstract

Background: Clinical reasoning (CR) is a vital competence for nursing, as it is required for solving problems arising in complex clinical situations. Identifying the factors that influence nurses' clinical reasoning competence in the social context can help their implicit educational needs. Moreover, CR is dynamic for clinical practice and patient safety and can be very important in the health professions. Health profession educators generally believe that CR has to be taught clearly and longitudinally in health profession education. **The study aims:** To determine the factors influencing nursing professionals' clinical reasoning competencies. **Methods:** A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted from January to April 2022 in Makkah, KSA. Data was collected from 323 participants using a convenient sampling technique. The study instrument was a validated and reliable questionnaire of the Clinical Reasoning Competency Scale with a Cronbach alpha of 0.90. Independent sample t-tests, ANNOVA and multiple linear regressions were used for data analysis through SPSS 28. The study was approved by the University review committee, and informed consent was obtained from each participant. **Results:** In this study, the maximum number of nurses were male (221; 85%), and the majority of the nurses' academic performance was average 156 (60%). The overall mean score of clinical reasoning competencies was 60.0 ± 8.9 , while there were significant differences within the groups of gender (0.021), age (0.000), clinical experience (0.000) and academic performance (0.007), while there were no significant differences within the groups of semester (0.140), living area (0.925), or college status (0.277) in clinical reasoning competencies. **Conclusion:** The study concluded that nurses, especially the final-year BSN, had high clinical reasoning competencies due to their exposure to clinical practice were high. The academic performance of the nurses affects their clinical reasoning competencies, so to achieve more confidence in clinical reasoning, nurses require excellent academic performance.

Keywords: clinical reasoning, Competencies

¹Specialist Nursing, Hail health cluster _ primary health center of aljedaydah

²Nursing health assistant, Al-Waha Health Center, Hafr Al-Batin Health Center

³Nursing technician, Abu Musa Al-Ashari Health Center Hafar Al-Batin Health Complex

⁴Nursing technician, shaqra hospital

⁵Nurse Technician, Gizan Health Cluster

⁶Nursing technician, King khaled general hospital

⁷Nursing technician, Sajer General Hospital

⁸Asisst nurse, Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital Hafr albatin

⁹Nursing technician, Marat General Hospital Saudi Arabia

¹⁰Nurse, Jubail Health Network

¹¹NURSE, AL THAGER HOSPITAL

¹²Nursing specialist, King Saoud hospital

¹³Nursing health assistant, Buraidah Central Hospital

¹⁴Technician - Midwifery, Abha Maternity and Childdren Hospital

Introduction

The competencies necessary for the nurse's job include clinical nursing competency. For all nursing professionals, it is crucial to carry out their duties and responsibilities effectively and efficiently. Consequently, the nursing education curriculum's base must be established by nursing competency ⁽¹⁾. The key determinants are professional conduct and skill competencies, which include general performance, core competencies, and advanced nursing competencies. Nursing schools demand that student nurses have professional competence. Therefore, every nursing institution strives to promote high-quality academic programs to produce competent and successful nursing students ⁽²⁾. Hospitals and other clinical settings are the most in need of these qualified workers in the healthcare delivery system ^(1,2).

Clinical reasoning enhances nursing students' problem-solving abilities in increasingly complex clinical situations. Clinical reasoning competence is regarded as a unique and dynamic process facilitating in-depth analyses of patients' health problems, enabling safe nursing care ⁽³⁾. Therefore, clinical reasoning is emphasized in nursing education. For example, in South Korea, the Korean Accreditation Board of Nursing Education highlighted nursing students' clinical reasoning abilities as being important in the process of measuring learning outcomes ⁽⁴⁾. The nursing process is a reflective cycle involving interactions between nurses, their patients, and various environmental factors ⁽⁵⁾. Clinical reasoning is neither temporary nor linear but a cyclical nursing process within the limits of patients' circumstances and nurses' knowledge or experience ^(6,7).

As nurses with clinical reasoning competence can provide timely person-centered care, which is crucial for patient safety, it is necessary for them to possess clinical reasoning competencies before entering the clinical field ^(8,9). Nursing education focused on clinical reasoning competence can improve the ability to cope with complex and unstable situations when dealing with patients ⁽¹⁰⁾. Furthermore, nursing is an art as well as a science; science requires observation and experimentation, which are applied inside nursing institutes as theories, evidence-based practices, and disease processes. The ability to understand patients, communicate with them, and show love and compassion to those who are ill is what it means to be a skilled nurse ^(11,12). A nursing profession is distinctive combination of science and art sets them apart from other medical professions ⁽¹²⁾.

Clinical reasoning (CR) is vital for clinical practice and patient safety and can be very significant in the health professions. Health profession educators mostly trust that CR has to be taught evidently and longitudinally in health profession education ⁽¹³⁾. It is a core competence that students must acquire during their education ⁽¹⁴⁾. Clinical reasoning has been described and conceptualized in a variety of ways, despite its significance for healthcare education and practice. A key component of clinical reasoning is cognitive function, which enables students to use a multidimensional strategy to search and consider an expanded range of possibilities to solve the problem considering the context ⁽¹⁵⁾. Clinical reasoning involves incorporating the patient's context and the clinical situation into critical thinking ⁽¹⁶⁾.

Meta-cognition is a cognitive process used to make clinical judgments; in this process, a patient's history is investigated, a physical assessment is performed, and the results are interpreted to design a health care plan ^(17,18). Nurses gather information to solve the patient's problem and combine this information with their knowledge to guide decision-making in patient care ⁽¹⁹⁾. Core competences in nursing practices are clinical reasoning (CR) and critical thinking (CT). CT was made a mandatory core skill for nursing education in 1992 by the American National League for Nursing (NLN) ⁽²⁰⁾. Similarly, CT was recognized as a core skill in 1999 by the American Association of Colleges of Nursing (AACN) ⁽²¹⁾. Nurses' ability to solve problems in progressively complicated clinical scenarios is improved through the use of clinical reasoning. Clinical reasoning competency is thought to be a special and dynamic process that makes it possible for nurses to provide safe treatment by permitting in-depth evaluations of patients' health concerns ⁽²²⁾.

A reflective cycle incorporating interactions between nurses, patients, and different

environmental elements is the nursing process⁽²³⁾. Clinical reasoning is a cyclical nursing process that is neither transient nor linear, given the constraints of the patients' conditions and the nurses' training or experience⁽²⁴⁾. It is essential for nurses to have clinical reasoning competencies prior to joining the clinical sector because they can deliver timely, patient-centered care that is critical for patient safety⁽²⁵⁾. Clinical reasoning competency-focused nursing education might enhance students' capacity to handle challenging and unstable patient circumstances⁽²⁶⁾. Therefore the aim of the study was to determine the factors influencing nursing professionals' clinical reasoning competencies.

Methods

The current study design was a cross-sectional analytical study that was conducted from January to April 2022 in Makkah, KSA. The study population was nurses that were currently enrolled in a 4-year program within the institute and were registered. The sample size was 270 but due to incomplete information, 10 checklists were excluded from analysis and therefore the final sample size was 260 using the convenient sampling technique. The inclusion criteria for the study were that the participant was enrolled in a BSN program from a registered institute, had performed at least one month of clinical duty during training, and was willing to be a participant in the study. Students who do not perform clinical duty or are on leave during data collection were excluded from the study.

The data collection process contains two parts: part (a) contains the demographic data of the participants, such as gender, age, semester, school status, living conditions, and clinical experience, while part (b) contains the students' clinical reasoning competencies. The **Clinical Reasoning Competency Scale** was developed based on the Clinical Reasoning Model and includes 15 items using a Likert five-point scale from 5 = strongly agree to 1 = strongly disagree. The Cronbach alpha for the entire instrument was 0.90⁽²⁷⁾.

The data was analyzed through SPSS version 28 as descriptive statistics (frequency and percentage for categorical variables and mean and standard deviation for continuous variables). An ANOVA and independent t-test were applied to identify differences within the groups, while a Pearson correlation test was applied to identify associations between clinical reasoning competencies and the demographic data of the participants. The study was approved by the University review ethical committee, and informed consent was obtained from each participant after clarifying the aim and objectives of the study, and it was affirmed that their confidentiality would be maintained and they could leave the study at any time.

Results

Table (1) reveals the maximum number of students were male 221 (85%), age 22 to 25 years 126 (48.5%), 4th semester 127 (48.8%), villager 149 (57.3%), private college students 226 (86.9%), experience of 1 to 2 months as student 116 (44.6%).

Clinical caring competencies

Table (2) reveals that the overall mean score of the participant was 60.0 ± 8.9 .

Difference within the groups of clinical reasoning competencies

Table (3) reports that there were significant differences within the groups of gender (0.021), age (0.000), clinical experience (0.000) and academic performance (0.007), while there were no significant differences within the groups of semester (0.140), living area (0.925), or college status (0.277) in clinical reasoning competencies.

Factors that affect the clinical reasoning competencies

The clinical reasoning competencies of nursing are significantly 18% affected by GPA. The age, semester, gender, living, college and clinical experience do not effect or very less the clinical reasoning competencies (**Table 4**). **Table (1):** Demographic data of the participants

	Frequency (260)	%
Gender		
Male	221	85.0

	Frequency (260)	%
Female	39	15.0
Age		
18-21 years	99	38.1
22 - 25 years	126	48.5
26 and above	35	13.5
Semester		
2	76	29.2
3	3	1.2
4	127	48.8
6	30	11.5
7	3	1.2
8	21	8.1
Living in		
village	149	57.3
city	111	42.7
College status		
private	226	86.9
Public	34	13.1
Clinical Experience		
1-2 months	116	44.6
3 to 5 months	65	25.0
6 and above months	79	30.4
Academic performance		
Low performance	28	10.8
Average Performance	156	60.0
High performance	76	29.2

Table (2): Clinical reasoning competencies of the participants

	Mean \pm SD	Minimum	Maximum
Clinical caring competencies	60.0 \pm 8.9	36.0	75.0

Table (3): Differences within group regarding clinical reasoning competencies

	Mean	SD	F	P-value
Gender				
Male	3.9	0.61	5.35	0.021
Female	4.0	0.43		
Age				
18-21 years	3.9	0.68	6.891	0.000
22 - 25 years	4.0	0.58		
26 and above	4.1	0.25		
Semester				
2	4.0	0.61	1.317	0.140
3	3.6	0.27		
4	3.9	0.58		
6	3.9	0.63		
7	4.3	0.36		
8	4.1	0.53		
Living in				
Village	4.0	0.58	0.009	0.925
City	3.9	0.60		
College status				
Private	4.0	0.59	1.189	0.277

	Mean	SD	F	P-value
Public	3.9	0.59		
Clinical experience				
1-2 months	3.9	0.62	5.294	0.000
3 to 5 months	3.9	0.56		
6 and above months	4.0	0.57		
Academic performance				
Low performance	3.77	0.61	5.044	0.007
Average Performance	3.96	0.58		
High performance	4.15	0.58		

Table (4): Factors affecting the clinical reasoning competencies among nursing students

	B	S.E	t	p	95% Confidence Interval for B	
					Lower	Upper
Gender	0.040	0.103	0.386	0.700	-0.164	0.243
Age	0.103	0.065	1.587	0.114	-0.025	0.231
Semester	0.011	0.021	0.510	0.610	-0.031	0.052
Living	-0.119	0.080	-1.490	0.138	-0.276	0.038
College	-0.017	0.112	-0.150	0.881	-0.236	0.203
GPA	0.180	0.061	2.946	0.004	0.060	0.300
Clinical experience	0.035	0.052	0.686	0.494	-0.066	0.137

Discussion

The study was conducted with the aim of determining the level of clinical reasoning competencies among nursing. In the current study, the mean score of caring reasoning competencies was 60.0 ± 8.9 . The mean score is high because the majority of the nurses perform their clinical practice in government-sector hospitals where they can freely provide care in the presence of experienced and skilled nursing staff. Our participants' mean score was high from another study that was conducted in South Korea, where the nurses' clinical reasoning competencies were 50.90 ± 0.79 ⁽²⁸⁾. The sample as a whole had an average NCRS score of 50.83 ± 9.82 . Compared to participants who were not enrolled nurses (49.85 ± 10.28), enrolled nurses had a mean NCRS score of 53.76 ± 7.6 ⁽²⁹⁾. The gap that still exists between what they learn in school and what they encounter in the clinical setting is a challenge for nursing students^(30, 31).

The clinical experience that students have gained from seeing a variety of clinical cases has helped them to identify and retain disease patterns⁽³²⁾. The current study reports that the majority of the participants' academic performance was average 156 (60%), followed by high 76 (29.2%), and low performance 28 (10.8%), while there were significant differences among the groups of academic performance ($p = 0.007$) with respect to clinical reasoning competencies. Moreover, as a result of multiple linear regressions, academic performance affects clinical reasoning competencies. In the institute, the students received theoretical knowledge that is required to be implemented in clinical practice; therefore, students whose academic performance is high will have strong clinical reasoning competency and confidence.

A study conducted in China reveals that students in different academic programs had significantly varied mean NCRS scores, according to an ANOVA ($F = 11.88, p \leq 0.001$). Students enrolled in the sub-baccalaureate level nursing program had the highest mean NCRS score (53.04 ± 10.48), followed by students enrolled in the accelerated baccalaureate level nursing program (52.93 ± 7.67) and students enrolled in the baccalaureate level

nursing program (48.82 ± 10.11). While the baccalaureate-level nursing program is a five-year program with four clinical placements, with its first clinical placement scheduled in the third year of study, the sub-baccalaureate-level nursing program is a two-year program with four clinical placements ⁽²⁹⁾.

Another study reported that clinical reasoning competency was substantially correlated with nursing students' academic self-efficacy. Out of the three academic self-efficacy dimensions examined in this study, self-regulated efficacy was discovered to have an impact on clinical reasoning competency ⁽²⁸⁾. Self-efficacy was developed as a result of nursing education, which includes nursing practices guided by the OPT model for clinical reasoning ⁽³³⁾. In order to provide complete nursing care utilizing clinical reasoning, education that incorporates both evidence-based and individualized nursing care is essential. In other words, in order to implement evidence-based nursing and evaluate data, "knowledge work" is necessary ⁽²⁶⁾.

In the current study, there was no significant difference between the groups of semesters regarding their CRC. The 7th semester students mean score of competencies was higher, followed by the 8th semester students because they were present in the last year of their 4-year Bachelor of Nursing program and their experience in clinical practice was greater than that of other semester students. A study conducted in KSA indicates that students' clinical reasoning abilities were inadequate in their first year and extremely deficient in their second and third years. The findings also showed that from the first to the third year, there was a decrease in the students' clinical reasoning skill level. The first- and second-year students' scores in follow-up test did not significantly differ from one another, according to the data.

However, there was a notable difference in the third-year students' scores compared to the first and second-year students', suggesting that students' clinical reasoning abilities will deteriorate as we go closer to the third year ⁽³⁴⁾. In an investigation into the critical thinking states and distinctions between first- and third-year nursing students, a study in Ireland titled "The Status of Critical Thinking at Undergraduate Nursing Students" revealed that first-year students' critical thinking was superior to that of third-year students ⁽³⁵⁾. In the current study, age, semester, gender, living, college, and clinical experience do not affect, or very little, the clinical reasoning competencies. Supporting our findings, a study's results showed that there was no correlation between the socio-demographic factors and the participants' clinical reasoning abilities ⁽³⁶⁾. In a study conducted in a South African dental school on the socio-demographic and academic correlates of clinical reasoning, Postma and White (2017) ⁽³⁷⁾ found no relationship between socio-demographic and clinical reasoning in dental students.

Conclusion

The study concluded that the overall clinical caring competencies among nursing were high, while nurses from last year (7th and 8th semesters) were more confident compared to other semester students. The study also established that the academic performance of the students affects their clinical reasoning competencies; students with high academic performance will increase their confidence in the clinical area.

References

1. Fukada M. Nursing competency: Definition, structure and development. *Yonago Acta Med* 2018;61:1–7.
2. Sacgaca L, Dagdagui R, Rashidi N, Buta J, Maestro R, Villareal S, et al. Factors influencing critical thinking skills among nursing students: Reports from a cross-sectional study. *Int J Adv Appl Sci* 2022;9:93–8.
3. Alfaro-Lefevre, R. *Critical Thinking, Clinical Reasoning, and Clinical Judgment: A Practical Approach*, 6th ed.; Elsevier Health Sciences: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2016.
4. Aljohani KAS. *Nursing Education in Saudi Arabia: History and Development*. Cureus. 2020

Apr 28; 12(4):e7874. doi: 10.7759/cureus.7874. PMID: 32489728; PMCID: PMC7255546.

5. Lee, J.; Lee, Y.J.; Bae, J.; Seo, M. Registered nurses' clinical reasoning skills and reasoning process: A think-aloud study. *Nurse Educ. Today* 2016, 46, 75–80.
6. Kuiper, R.A.; Pesut, D.J. Promoting cognitive and metacognitive reflective reasoning skills in nursing practice: Self-regulated learning theory. *J. Adv. Nurs.* 2004, 45, 381–391.
7. Tanner, C.A. Thinking like a nurse: A research-based model of clinical judgment in nursing. *J. Nurs. Educ.* 2006, 45, 204–211.
8. Gonzalez, L. Teaching clinical reasoning piece by piece: A clinical reasoning concept-based learning method. *J. Nurs. Educ.* 2018, 57, 727–735.
9. Kuiper, R.; Heinrich, C.; Matthias, A.; Graham, M.J.; Bell-Kotwall, L. Debriefing with the opt model of clinical reasoning during high fidelity patient simulation. *Int. J. Nurs. Educ. Scholarsh.* 2008, 5, 1–14.
10. Kuiper, R.A.; O'Donnell, S.; Pesut, D.; Turrise, S. *The Essentials of Clinical Reasoning for Nurses*; Sigma Theta Tau International: Indianapolis, IN, USA, 2017.
11. Sultan A, Khanum S, Naz N, Khan S, Ali S. The caring competencies of nursing students: Comparing the four and two-year Bachelor of Nursing Programme. *Journal of Pakistan Medical Association.* 2024 Jan 31;74(1):123-.
12. Sultan A, Ali S, Jamal H, Ahmed F. Correlation of Perceived Stress on the Academic Achievement of Undergraduate Nursing Students of KPK. *Esculapio Journal of SIMS.* 2022 Dec 30;18(4):473-7.
13. Kononowicz AA, Hege I, Edelbring S, Sobocan M, Huwendiek S, Durning SJ. The need for longitudinal clinical reasoning teaching and assessment: results of an international survey. *Medical teacher.* 2020 Apr 2;42(4):457-62.
14. Higgs, J., Jensen, G., Loftus, S., & Christensen, N. *Clinical reasoning in the health professions* (4th ed.) (2019). Elsevier.
15. American Nurses Association. *Nursing: scope and standards of practice*. 3rd ed. Silver Spring: American Nurses Association; 2015.
16. Victor-Chmil J. Critical thinking versus clinical reasoning versus clinical judgment: differential diagnosis. *Nurse Educ.* 2013;38:34–6. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NNE.0b013e318276dfbe>
17. Juma S, Goldszmidt M. What physicians reason about during admission case review. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract.* 2017;22:691–711. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-016-9701-x>.
18. Soh M, Konopasky A, Durning SJ, Ramani D, McBee E, Ratcliffe T, et al. Sequence matters: patterns in task-based clinical reasoning. *Diagnosis (Berl).* 2020;7:281–9. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dx-2019-0095>.
19. Levett-Jones T, Hoffman K, Dempsey J, Jeong SY, Noble D, Norton CA, et al. The 'five rights' of clinical reasoning: an educational model to enhance nursing students' ability to identify and manage clinically 'at risk' patients. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2010;30:515–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2009.10.020>.
20. Wong SH, Kowitlawakul Y. Exploring perceptions and barriers in developing critical thinking and clinical reasoning of nursing students: A qualitative study. *Nurse Education Today.* 2020 Dec 1;95:104600.
21. American Association of Colleges of Nursing. A vision of baccalaureate and graduate nursing education: The next decade. *Journal of Professional Nursing.* 1999 Jan 1; 15(1):59-65.
22. Alfaro-Lefevre, R. *Critical Thinking, Clinical Reasoning, and Clinical Judgment: A Practical Approach*, 6th ed.; Elsevier Health Sciences: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2016.
23. Lee, J.; Lee, Y.J.; Bae, J.; Seo, M. Registered nurses' clinical reasoning skills and reasoning process: A think-aloud study. *Nurse Educ. Today* 2016, 46, 75–80.
24. Tanner, C.A. Thinking like a nurse: A research-based model of clinical judgment in nursing. *J.Nurs. Educ.* 2006, 45, 204–211.
25. Gonzalez, L. Teaching clinical reasoning piece by piece: A clinical reasoning concept-based learning method. *J. Nurs. Educ.* 2018, 57, 727–735.

26. Kuiper, R.A.; O'Donnell, S.; Pesut, D.; Turrise, S. *The Essentials of Clinical Reasoning for Nurses*; Sigma Theta Tau International: Indianapolis, IN, USA, 2017.
27. Liou SR, Liu HC, Tsai HM, Tsai YH, Lin YC, Chang CH, Cheng CY. The development and psychometric testing of a theory-based instrument to evaluate nurses' perception of clinical reasoning competence. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2016 Mar;72(3):707-17.
28. Hong S, Lee J, Jang Y, Lee Y. A cross-sectional study: What contributes to nursing students' clinical reasoning competence?. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2021 Jun 25;18(13):6833.
29. Chan A. The association between nursing students' perceptions of their clinical reasoning ability and their background of clinical experience: A cross-sectional correlation study. *Journal of Nursing Education and Practice [Internet]*. Sciedu Press; 2023 Oct 18;14(2):5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5430/jnep.v14n2p5>
30. Gunay U, Kilinc G. The transfer of theoretical knowledge to clinical practice by nursing students and the difficulties they experience: A qualitative study. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2018; 65: 81–86. PMID:29547811 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.02.031>
31. Saifan A, et al. Managing the theory-practice gap in nursing education and practice: Hearing the voices of nursing students in the United Arab Emirates. *J Nurs Manag*. 2021; 29(6): 1869–1879. PMID:34217148 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13407>
32. Liu Y, Li Y, Cui X, et al. Clinical study on flipped classroom and mind map in newly recruited nurses' pre-job training. *BMC Nurs*. 2022; 21(1): 72–72. PMID:35351110 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-00843-z>
33. Kuiper, R.; Pesut, D.; Kautz, D. Promoting the self-regulation of clinical reasoning skills in nursing students. *Open Nurs. J*. 2009, 3,76–85.
34. Alamouti HD, Mofrad MN, Farahani ZB, Amini AR. Clinical Reasoning in Nursing Students. *Advances in Nursing & Midwifery*. 2020 Dec 1;29(4).
35. Noone T, Seery A. Critical thinking dispositions in undergraduate nursing students: A case study approach. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2018;68:203-7.
36. Kaur G. Assessment of Clinical Reasoning Skills among BSc Nursing Students: Script Concordance Test. *Nursing Journal of India*. 2021 Jul 1;112(4):153-6.
37. Postma TC, White JG. Socio-demographic and academic correlates of clinical reasoning in a dental school in South Africa. *European Journal of Dental Education*. 2017 Feb;21(1):58-65.