Migration Letters

Volume: 19, No: S8 (2022), pp. 1563-1594 ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

Evaluating Performance And Its Impact On The Quality Of Health Services From The Point Of View Of Health Cadres In The Government Health Sector In The Kingdom Of Saudi Arabia

Nouf Rabah Ali Almangour¹, Mohammed Saad Alotaibi², Sayaf Rakan Alotaibi³, Najeeb Abdulaziz Zid Alhamdan⁴, Asma Saud Alanazi⁵, Adel Sultan Obaid Alotaibi⁶, Aisha Suhel Ahmed Asiri⁷, Laila Zaidan Mohammed Ahmed⁸, Abdulaziz Abdulrahman Abdulaziz Alruziza⁹, Ahmed Abdulkarim Alaqil¹⁰, Albaraa Sulaiman Alsudayri¹¹, Fahad Saeed Almutairi¹², Ali Mansour Almehana¹³, Abdulkarim Abdullah Alhussin¹⁴, Ali Humud Alshudukhi¹⁵, Mohammad Abdullah Masoud Alotaibi¹⁶, Wejdan Dubays Muneer Alotaibi¹⁷, Helal Ashiq Dulaym Al Harbi¹⁸, Mukhlid Mohammed Khalaf Alotaibi¹⁹

Summary

The study aimed to evaluate performance and its impact on the quality of health services from the point of view of health cadres in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the study used the descriptive analytical approach, and the study sample consisted of (470) health cadres working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of S¹ audi Arabia,

^{3.} Sayaf Rakan Alotaibi, Public Health, Specialized Dental Center in Riyadh, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{5.} Asma Saud Alanazi, Radiology Technologist, Hail Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

¹ Nouf Rabah Ali Almangour, Nursing, Ishbilia Health Center, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

² Mohammed Saad Alotaibi, Emergency Medical Services Technician, Al-Bujadiyah General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

⁴ Najeeb Abdulaziz Zid Alhamdan, Nursing, king khalid Hospital in Almajmaah-Riyadh second cluster, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

⁶ Adel Sultan Obaid Alotaibi, Specialist Nursing, Al-Bejadiyah General Hospital, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

⁷ Aisha Suhel Ahmed Asiri, Nursing Technician, King Salman Hospital, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Laila Zaidan Mohammed Ahmed, Nursing Specialist, King Salman Hospital, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 Abdulaziz Abdulrahman Abdulaziz Alruziza, Nursing Specialist, King Salman Hospital, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Ahmed Abdulkarim Alaqil, Health Informatics, Al-Safra North, Qassim Health Cluster, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{11.} Albaraa Sulaiman Alsudayri, Health Informatics, Al-Safra North, Qassim Healh Cluster, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{12.} Fahad Saeed Almutairi, Nursing, Al-Safra North, Qassim Healh Cluster, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{13.} Ali Mansour Almehana, Epidemiology, Al-Safra North, Qassim Healh Cluster, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{14.} Abdulkarim Abdullah Alhussin, Medical Secretary, Al-Safra North, Qassim Healh Cluster, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{15.} Ali Humud Alshudukhi, Laboratory, Al-Safra North, Qassim Healh Cluster, Ministry of health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{16.} Mohammad Abdullah Masoud Alotaibi ,Nursing, Maternity and Children Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{17.} Wejdan Dubays Muneer Alotaibi, Nursing Specialist, Qassim Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

^{18.} Helal Ashiq Dulaym Al Harbi, Emergency medical service, Office of the Ministry,

^{19.} Mukhlid Mohammed Khalaf Alotaibi, Pharmacy Technician, Alrafaya General Hospital, Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

and the study used the questionnaire as a tool for data collection, and in light of the study's findings for a number of results, the most important of which Are The study members agree on the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia with an arithmetic average of (3.99), where the averages of their approval on the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia ranged between (4.14 to 3.86), which are averages that fall into the category The fifth and fourth categories of the five-scale that refer to (agree) on the study tool, which shows the homogeneity in the approval of the study members on the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. In light of the findings, the study recommends raising the levels of personal care for patients by the hospital management through training and skills development. Increase interaction between medical staff, staff and patients by improving mutual understanding between both parties. Develop technical training programs to increase the skill of workers in completing work through merit, civility and credibility.

Introduction:

The continuous evaluation of institutions in the twenty-first century is one of the urgent necessities and the pursuit of an evaluation mechanism for the resources of those institutions in order to achieve their goals and objectives, as evaluation contributes to the access of institutions to strengths and weaknesses and thus contributes directly to decision-making, and the development of future visions in systematic scientific ways to reach the best ways to confront those problems and weaknesses and overcome difficulties, and also work to enhance the strengths, so determine a performance evaluation mechanism for institutions based on scientific foundations with Taking into account the conditions, activities and needs of the organization, has a direct impact on the outputs of that organization. (Abdelkader, 2015)

,Performance evaluation is one of the most important functions of management ,however, many health institutions suffer from special problems in the evaluation process which may lead to the failure of the entire organization due to the lack of clear and precisely defined measurement tools to measure institutional performance.

Institutions generally work through different policies and decisions through which they seek to achieve effective performance and high productivity, design their policies and programs, and create appropriate means of communication to implement these programs and policies, but it is not possible to ensure the validity and integrity of the application of these policies and programs, without evaluating the performance of this institution permanently and continuously.

The quality of service provided to customers is the center of the center of service organizations that seek success and continuity, and in order to achieve the outstanding level of their performance, the organization needs to give more attention to employees and customers together.

Therefore, the quality of services plays an important role in the design and marketing of the service product, as it represents a great importance for both its providers and beneficiaries, and service organizations have become increasingly aware of the importance .and role of applying the concept of total quality in achieving their competitive advantages Evaluating the performance of health institutions requires intensive and continuous efforts due to the link between health services, so evaluating the performance of health institutions requires the need to identify the organizational aspect of health services to know how they perform, analyze the effectiveness of the procedures followed, and diagnose and .compare the reality of the administrative practices followed

Study problem

Performance evaluation is one of the most important control work, and it is considere one of the most important administrative functions that aim to correct the productive path in organizations in general, health institutions in particular, as performance evaluation contributes to increasing the quality of services provided in health institutions, patient satisfaction and achieving their aspirations towards those health institutions. The activation of the performance appraisal process for hospitals must be on an ongoing basis in the light of the .practical principles and organizational theories used in the evaluation of health organizations

In light of the changes and transformations, the need to measure the institutional performance of health institutions periodically in order to raise their level and improve its services increases, Al-Ahmadi (2010) says that improving performance, renewing and developing the organization is no longer an optional matter that the administration resorts to or disposes of by choice, but has become a necessity for its survival, so it is necessary to pay attention to evaluating hospitals on an ongoing basis, because of its effective and noticeable , impact on the development of the quality of health services provided to patients. Therefore :the problem of the study is summarized in the following question

What is the extent of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the ?Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services

:Importance of the study

The importance of the study is divided into scientific importance and practical :importance

First: Scientific importance

- Enriching the library with a new topic on performance evaluation in hospitals and its impact on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of .Saudi Arabia
- Identify the extent of performance evaluation in hospitals and its impact on the quality .of services in the government sector
- Knowing the attitudes of workers in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the importance of evaluating performance in hospitals and its impact on the quality of health services
- Submit proposals that contribute to the effectiveness of evaluating the performance of .hospitals to reach the maximum quality of health services in the health sectors

Second: Practical Importance

- Contribute to increasing the quality of health services by evaluating the performance .of hospitals
- Educating health cadres in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, including administrators, doctors, pharmacists, nurses, specialists and technicians, about the importance of performance evaluation to increase the quality of health services in the health sectors.

- Reaching a number of recommendations and proposals that contribute to the knowledge of health center employees of the importance of evaluating performance in the hospital.
- .Emphasizing the importance of quality of health services in health institutions
- Contribute to increasing the level of quality of health service provided by workers in the health sectors in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Objectives of the study

- 1. Identify the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- 2. Identify the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
- 3. Identify the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi .Arabia

Study Questions

- 1. What is the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the ?Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
- 2. To what extent does performance appraisal affect the quality of services in the government ?health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
- 3. What are the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of ?Saudi Arabia

Limitations of the study

- **Spatial field: The** study was applied in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- **Temporal domain:** The study was applied in 2022.
- **Human field: The** study was applied to a sample of health cadres in the government ,health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, including administrators, doctors .pharmacists, nurses, specialists and technicians

methodology Study

The researcher used the descriptive survey method, for its suitability to the nature of the study and its objectives, has been defined by Al-Assaf (2003, p. 178) as "that type of research ,by which all members of the research community or a large sample of them are interrogated with the aim of describing the studied phenomenon in terms of its nature and degree of ".existence only, without going beyond that to study the relationship or deduce the causes

Study population:

The population of the current study consists of health cadres in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, including administrators, doctors, pharmacists, specialists, technicians and nurses, during the study period for the second semester of the academic year 1439/1440 AH.

Study sample

The origin of scientific research is to be conducted on all members of the research" community, because this is more likely to be true to the results, but the researcher resorts to "selecting a sample of them if this is not possible because of their large number, for example (Al-Assaf, 2003, p. 96), so the researcher chose a random sample and the total sample of the .study reached (470) individuals

:Characteristics of the study subjects :Genre**

genre	Iteration	Percentage
male	330	70.2
female	140	29.8
Total	470	100

Table No. (1) shows the distribution of study subjects by gender variable

The above table shows the distribution of study members by gender variable, where (70.2%) of the study members were males and they are the largest group in the study, while (29.8%) of .the study members were females **:Educational Level****

Table No. (2) shows the distribution of study members according to the variable of educational level

Education level	Iteration	Percentage
Doctor	2	0.6
Master	45	13
Post-university diploma	40	11.6
Bachelor	259	74.9
Total	470	100

The above table shows the distribution of the study members according to the educational level variable, where (57.2%) of the study members had a bachelor's degree and they are the largest category in the study, while (17%) of the study members had a master's degree, and of the study members had a diploma, while (11.3%) Of the study members their (%14.5) .academic qualification was a doctorate **:Function****

Table No. (3) shows the distribution of study members according to the job van	riable
--	--------

Function	Iteration	Percentage
Administrative	41	8.7
Physician/Pharmacist	75	16
technician	138	29.4
specialist	216	46
Total	470	100

The above table shows the distribution of the study members by job variable, where (29.4%) of the study members were technicians, while (46%) of the study members were specialized and they are the largest group in the study, while (8.7%) of the study members had an .administrative job, and (16%) were a doctor/pharmacist **Years of Experience****

Table No. (4) shows the distribution of study members according to the variable of years of

experience

Years of Experience	Iteration	Percentage
to 5 years 1	125	26.6
to 10 years 6	196	41.7
years old 15-11	98	20.9
years 25-16	51	10.9
Total	470	100

The above table shows the distribution of the study members according to the variable of the number of years of experience, where (41.7%) of the study members had years of experience from 6 to 10 years and they are the largest group in the study, while (26.6%) of the study members had years of experience 1 to 5 years, while (20.9%) of the study members had years of experience 1 to 5 years, while (20.9%) of the study members had years of experience 1 to 5 years, while (20.9%) of the study members had years of experience 1 to 5 years, while (20.9%) of the study members had years of experience from 11-15 yearsAnd that (10.9%) had 16-25 years of experience **:Training programs obtained****

Table No. (5) shows the distribution of study members according to the variable of training programs

Training Programs	ItePercentagen	Percentage
There isn't any	100	21.3
Training Course 3-1	217	46.2
training courses 7-4	72	15.3
training courses 10-8	81	17.2
Total	470	100

The above table shows the distribution of the study subjects according to the variable of training programs, where (21.3%) of the study members did not have training programs, while (46.2%) of the study members had 1-3 training courses and they are the largest group in the study, while (15.3%) of the study members had 4-7 training courses, while (17.2%) of the total study sample had 8-10 training courses.

Study Tool

Based on the nature of the data, and on the methodology used in the study, the researcher found that the most appropriate tool to achieve the objectives of this study is the "questionnaire", and the study tool was built by referring to the literature and previous studies related to the subject .of the study

No	Themes of the study	Number of Phrases
The first axis	The reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	8
The second axis	The impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	9
Third Theme	The most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	9

Table No. (7) Distribution of phrases on the axes of the questionnaire

Believe the study tool

The sincerity of the questionnaire means ensuring that it will measure what was prepared to measure (Al-Assaf, 1995, 429), and honesty means "the inclusion of the study tool for all the elements that must be contained in the study on the one hand, as well as the clarity of its "paragraphs and vocabulary on the other hand, so that it is understood by those who use it (Obeidat et al. 2001, 179), and the researcher has verified the sincerity of the questionnaire :through the following

:First: The apparent honesty of the study tool (the sincerity of the arbitrators)

After the completion of the construction of the study tool in its initial form, which deals with evaluating performance in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi " Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services ", a number of arbitrators were .presented to guide their opinions

The arbitrators were kindly asked to express their opinion on the clarity of the phrases, their suitability for what they were developed for, and the appropriateness of the phrases to the axis to which they belong, with the development of amendments and suggestions through which .the questionnaire can be developed

Based on the amendments and suggestions made by the arbitrators, the researcher made the necessary amendments agreed upon by the majority of the arbitrators, from amending some .phrases and deleting others, until the questionnaire became final

:B - Authenticity of the internal consistency of the tool

To ensure the validity of the internal consistency, the correlation coefficient (Pearson) between the degree of each statement of the resolution was calculated with the total degree of .the axis to which the statement belongs, as shown in the following two tables

TI	The reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia								
Phra ses num ber	Correla tion coeffici ent	Phra ses num ber	Correla tion coeffici ent	Phra ses num ber	Correl ation coeffici ent	Phra ses num ber	Correl ation coeffici ent	Phra ses num ber	Correl ation coeffici ent
stat	ability of istical mation		ability of licines	Equipment laboratory opera		laboratory		ability of rating ooms	
1	**0.879	1	**0.854	1	**0.91 8	1	**0.94 3	1	**0.91 7
2	**0.838	2	0.907**	2	0.90** 3	2	**0.93 3	2	0.92** 4
3	**0.898	3	0.920**	3	**0.94 6	3	0.93** 7	3	0.93** 3
4	**0.893					•		4	0.86** 5

Table (8) Pearson correlation coefficients for the statements of the first axis of the study tool

D at significance level 0.01 or less **

It is clear from Table (9) that all statements are statistically significant at the significance level (0.01), which gives an indication of the high internal consistency coefficients, and indicates high and sufficient truthfulness indicators that can be trusted in the application of the study tool.

Table No. (10) Pearson correlation coefficients for the statements of the first axis of the study tool

The	The reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the						
	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia						
Phras	Correlati	Phrase	Correlatio	Phrase	Correlatio	Phrase	Correlati
es	on	S	n	S		S	on
numb	coefficien	numbe	coefficient	numbe	numbe r coefficient		coefficien
er	t	r	coefficient	r			t
medi admir	ability of ical and nistrative staff	Emerg	ency Care	Supportive care and infection prevention			v-up and udit
1	0.894**	1	**0.894	1	0.933**	1	0.919**
2	**0.854	2	0.906**	2	**0.940	2	0.919**
3	**0.882	3	**0.939	3	**0.946	3	**0.937
4	**0.893						
5	**0.879						

It is clear from Table (10) that all statements are statistically significant at the significance level (0.01), which gives an indication of the high internal consistency coefficients, and also indicates high and sufficient truthfulness indicators that can be trusted in the application of the study tool.

Phrases	Correlation coefficient	Phrases	Correlation coefficient	
number		number		
The seco	ond axis: the impact of	The third axis: The most important		
perform	ance evaluation on the	proposals to evaluate the performance		
quality of s	ervices in the government	of hospit	als to reach the maximum	
health se	ctor in the Kingdom of	quality of	services in the government	
	Saudi Arabia	health sect	or in the Kingdom of Saudi	
			Arabia	
1	0.433**	1	0.794**	
2	0.448**	2	**0.837	
3	0.551**	3	0.826**	
4	0.642**	4	0.799**	
5	0.684***	5	0.864**	
6	**0.750	6	0.877**	
7	0.761**	7	0.835**	
8	0.750**	8	0.801**	
9	0.870**	9	**0.741	
10	0.820**	10	0.548**	
11	0.664**	11	0.678**	
12	0.658**	12	0.852**	

Table No. (11) Pearson correlation coefficients for the statements of the second and third axis of the study tool

**

D at significance level 0.01 or less

It is clear from Table (11) that all statements are statistically significant at the level of the function (0.01), which gives an indication of high internal consistency coefficients, and also indicates high and sufficient truthfulness indicators that can be trusted in the application of the study tool.

Stability of the study instrument:

To measure the stability of the study tool (questionnaire), the Cronbach alpha coefficient was used, and Table (12) shows the stability coefficient for the axes of the study tool.

Table No. (12) Cronbach alpha coefficient to measure the stability of the	he study instrument
---	---------------------

Axes and dimensions of the resolution	Number of Phrases	Axis stability
The first axis: the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	30	0.987
The second axis: the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the	12	0.762

Axes and dimensions of the resolution	Number of Phrases	Axis stability
government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia		
The third axis: The most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	12	0.935
Overall stability	54	0.973

Table (12) shows that the study tool has statistically acceptable stability, where the general stability of the study was (0.973) while the stability coefficients of the study tool ranged between (0.987, 0.762-0.935), which are high stability coefficients that can be trusted in the application of the study tool.

1- : Procedures for applying the study

The questionnaire was sent to the employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, including administrators, doctors and nurses, and this was done during the year 14-41AH, where the researcher converted the questionnaire to electronic in order to collect the largest possible amount of the study sample, where the researcher distributed (500) questionnaire and after examining it, the researcher got (470) questionnaire valid for statistical analysis, and then the data was entered, and statistically processed by) computer through the programSPSS The researcher then analyzed the data and extracted the.(.results

Comparison of study results

Answer to the first question: What is the reality of performance evaluation in the ?government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

To identify the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government ,health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the arithmetic averages, standard deviations and ranks of the responses of the study members were calculated on the dimensions of the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The following are the detailed results regarding the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The following are the detailed results regarding the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of saudi Arabia, and the results are as shown in the following table

Table (14) Responses of study members to the statements of the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia according to the averages of approval

	-	Iteration		D	egree of a	pproval		un l		
No	Phrases	Percentage <u>%</u>	Strongly agree	I agree	Agree to a medium degree	Disagree	Absolutely disagree	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
		First	: Availabili					Т	ł	•
1	Reports and statistics for the hospital are prepared periodically.	as %	<u>175</u> 37.2	242 51.5	45 9.6	4 0.9	0.9	4.23	0.72	1
	An independent	as	140	261	45	18	6			
2	medical record is maintained for each service recipient permanently.	Υ.	29.8	55.5	9.6	3.8	1.3	4.09	0.81	2
	The records	as	143	243	47	28	9			
3	include integPercentaged information about the medical history of the service recipient.	Υ.	30.4	51.7	10	6	1.9	4.03	0.90	3
		:	Second: Av	vailabili	ty of medi	cines				
	Medicines are	as	153	244	42	26	5			
1	provided as soon as possible and older ones are used first.	Υ.	32.6	51.9	8.9	5.5	1.1	4.09	0.85	1
	Emergency	as	117	249	69	26	9			
2	medications are available within all departments.	Υ.	24.9	53	14.7	5.5	1.9	3.93	0.88	2
	Medications are	as	106	252	69	35	8			
3	available for all different conditions.	7.	22.6	53.6	14.7	7.4	1.7	3.88	0.90	3
			Third: De	vices a	nd Equipn	nent				
	The necessary	as	149	244	46	20	11			
1	devices and equipment are available at the hospital.	Ζ.	31.7	51.9	9.8	4.3	2.3 4.0		0.89	1
2		as	139	254	53	15	9	4.06	0.84	2

		Iteration		D	egree of a	pproval		u		
No	Phrases	Percentage <u>%</u>	Strongly agree	I agree	Agree to a medium degree	Disagree	Absolutely disagree	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	Security and safety standards are applied in the opePercentagen and connection of devices and equipment in the hospital.	Ζ.	29.6	54	11.3	3.2 1.9				
	Medical supplies	as	96	253	77	30	14			
3	are available to treat all cases.	7.	20.4	53.8	16.4	6.4	3	3.82	0.92	3
	tiout un cusos.	For	urth: Avail	ability (of laborate	ory tests				
	Laboratory tests	as	225	155	60	23	7			
1	are available to treat various conditions.	%	47.9	33	12.8	4.9	1.5	4.21	0.94	1
	There is a	as	192	174	68	21	21 15			
2	mechanism that includes the timely arrival of laboratory test results.	7.	40.9	37	14.5	4.5	3.2	4.08	1	3
	The necessary	as	185	188	63	28	6			
3	capabilities for various laboratory tests are available around the clock.	Ζ.	39.4	40	13.4	6	1.3	4.10	0.93	2
		Fit	fth: Availa	bility of	operating	; rooms				
	Sufficient operating rooms	as	213	173	55	25	4			
1	are available in the hospital of all specialties.	7.	45.3	36.8	11.7	5.3	0.9	4.20	0.90	1
	There are	as	177	170	80	35	8	İ		
2	nurseries for newborns.	Χ.	37.7	36.2	17	7.4	1.7	4.01	1	3
3		as	181	185	58	32	14	4.04	1.02	2

	-	Iteration		D	egree of a	pproval		u		
No	Phrases	Percentage <u>%</u>	Strongly agree	I agree	Agree to a medium degree	Disagree	Absolutely disagree	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	There is a center care that meets the needs of patients in the hospital.	Ϊ.	38.5	39.4	12.3	6.8	3			
	Security and	as	116	255	59	27	13			
4	safety precautions are available in the operating rooms, including the availability of electricity, oxygen and the necessary precautions.	Ζ.	24.7	54.3	12.6	5.7	2.8	3.92	0.92	4
		Sixth: Ava	ilability of	medica	l and adm	inistrative	staff			
	The hospital has									
1	doctors in all specialties that meet the patient's needs.		49.8	34	11.9	2.3	1.9	4.27	0.90	1
	More than one	as	187	189	56	28	10			
2	doctor in one specialty is available in the hospital.	Ϋ́.	39.8	40.2	11.9	6	2.1	4.10	0.96	4
	There is a	as	199	183	51	24	13			
3	conscious management in the hospital that follows the progress of work around the clock.	Υ.	42.3	38.9	10.9	5.1	2.8	4.13	0.98	3
	The hospital	as	195	183	55	31	6			
4	opePercentages around the clock.	7.	41.5	38.9	11.7	6.6	1.3	4.13	0.94	2
	There are	as	180	172	64	4	13			
5	enough nurses to	7.	38.3	36.6	13.6	8.7	2.8	3.99	1.05	5

		Iteration		u						
No	Phrases	Percentage <u>%</u>	Strongly agree	I agree	egree of a Agree to a medium degree	Disagree	Absolutely disagree	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	meet the needs of the hospital.									
			Seventh	n: Emer	gency Car	·e				
	A designated	as	229	169	49	17	6			
1	place to receive emergency cases is available around the clock.	Χ.	48.7	36	10.4	3.6	1.3	4.27	0.88	1
	Medical devices	as	122	255	66	19	8			
2	and equipment needed to deal with emergencies are available around the clock.	X.	26	54.3	14	4	1.7	3.99	0.84	2
	An	as	91	263	70	28	18			
3	integPercentaged medical staff is available to receive emergency cases.	Ζ.	19.4	56	14.9	6	3.8	3.81	0.94	3
		Eighth:	Supportive	e care a	nd infectio	on preventi	on			
	Specific	as	91	263	70	28	18			
1	measures are available to prevent infection from spreading.	Ζ.	19.4	56	14.9	6	3.8	4.13	0.82	1
	The hospital	as	153	255	37	18	7			
2	provides guidance and educational boards for patients.	Ζ.	32.6	54.3	7.9	3.8	1.5	3.94	0.93	3
	The hospital	as	127	241	62	28	12			1
3	takes care of disinfecting and disinfecting	Ζ.	27	51.3	.3 13.2		2.6	3.89	0.98	2

	-	Iteration		D	egree of a	oproval		u		
No	Phrases	Percentage <u>%</u>	Strongly agree	I agree	Agree to a medium degree	Disagree	Absolutely disagree	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	corridors and toilets.									
	tonets.	I	Ninth: F	'ollow-u	p and Au	lit	I			
	There are	as	144	243	54	23	6			
1	specific instructions for monitoring and following up on different cases.	Ζ.	30.6	51.7	11.5	4.9	1.3	4.06	0.85	1
	A bed guide is	as	129	236	70	26	9			
2	available with accuPercentage and up-to-date information on each case.	Ζ.	27.4	50.2	14.9	5.5	1.9	3.96	0.90	3
	The team	as	130	251	55	5 21 13	13			
3	periodically checks clinical information.	s clinical ?. 27.7 53.4 11.7 4.5		4.5	2.8	3.99	0.90	2		
					age = 4.04 ation = 0.5	9				

Through the results in Table (5), it is clear that the employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

We agree on the dimensions of the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, with an arithmetic mean (4.04) and a standard deviation (0.59), and the following are the detailed results of the dimensions of the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia:

First: Availability of statistical information:

It is found that the response of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the reality of statistical information ranged averages of their approval between (4.23 to 4.03), which are averages located in the fourth category V of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of strongly agree - agree with the study tool, which shows the disparity in views in terms of the study members' approval of The phrases of the statistical information availability axis, which are arranged as follows:

Statement No. (1), which is "reports and statistics for the hospital are prepared periodically", ranked first in terms of approval of the study members with a degree of approval strongly agreed, with an average of (4.23) and a standard deviation of (0.72), and

this result indicates the importance of preparing reports and statistics for the hospital periodically.

- Statement No. (2), which is "an independent medical record is maintained for each service recipient permanently", ranked second in terms of approval by the study subjects with an approval score of (4.09) and a standard deviation of (0.81).
- Statement No. (3), which is "records include integPercentaged information about the medical history of service recipients," ranked third in terms of approval of the study subjects with an average of (4.03) and a standard deviation of (0.90).
- \triangleright

Second: Availability of medicines:

It was found that the employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia agree with the statements of the axis of availability of medicines, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.09 to 3.88), which are averages that fall in the fourth category of the quintuple scale categories, which indicate approval with the degree of (agree) on the study tool, which shows the difference in views in terms of the approval of the study members on Drug availability pillar, which is arranged as follows:

- Statement No. (1), which is "medicines are provided first-hand and the oldest ones are used first", ranked first in terms of approval by the study members with a degree of agree, with an average of (4.09) and a standard deviation of (0.85), and this result explains the need to provide medicines first-hand with attention using the oldest ones first.
- Statement No. (2), which is "emergency medicines are available within all departments", ranked second in terms of approval by the study members with a score of OK with an average of (3.93) and a standard deviation of (0.88).
- Statement No. (3), which is "medicines are available for all different cases," ranked last in terms of approval of the study subjects with a high approval score of (3.88) and a standard deviation of (0.90).

Third: Devices and Equipment:

It was clear that the responses of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the phrases of the axis of devices and equipment, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.06 to 3.82), which are averages that fall into the fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval with the degree of (agree) on the study tool, which shows the difference in views in terms of the approval of the study members on Devices and equipment axis phrases, which are arranged as follows:

- Statement No. (1), which is "The necessary devices and equipment are available in the hospital," ranked first in terms of approval by the study subjects with an OK score, with an average of (4.06) and a standard deviation of (0.89).
- Statement No. (3), which is "the necessary medical tasks are available to treat all cases," ranked last in terms of approval of the study subjects with a high approval score of (3.82) and a standard deviation of (0.92).

Fourth: Availability of laboratory tests:

It was clear through the responses of the study sample that they agree with the statements of the axis of availability of laboratory tests, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.21 to 4.08), which are averages located in the fourth and fifth category of the quintuple scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of strongly agree - agree on the axis, which shows the difference in views in terms of the study members' agreement on The terms of the axis of availability of laboratory tests, which are arranged as follows:

- Statement No. (1), which is "the necessary laboratory tests are available to treat various cases," ranked first in terms of approval of the study members with a strongly agreeable score, with an average of (4.21) and a standard deviation of (0.94).
- Statement No. (2) "There is a mechanism that includes the timely arrival of laboratory test results." ranked second in terms of approval of the study subjects with an average of (4.08) and a standard deviation of (1).

Fifth: Availability of operating rooms:

It is found that the response of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the reality of the availability of operating rooms ranged averages of their approval between (4.20 to 3.92), which are averages located in the fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of approval of the study tool, which shows homogeneity in views in terms of the approval of the study members on Operating Room Availability Axis, which are arranged as follows:

- Statement No. (1), which is "There are sufficient operating rooms in the hospital of all specialties," ranked first in terms of approval of the study members with a degree of approval strongly agreed, with an average of (4.20) and a standard deviation of (0.90), and this result indicates that there are sufficient operating rooms in the hospital of all specialties.
- Statement No. (4), which is "security and safety precautions are available in the operating rooms from the availability of electricity, oxygen and the necessary precautions", ranked last in terms of the approval of the study members with a score of OK with an average of (3.92) and a standard deviation of (0.92).

Sixth: Availability of medical and administrative staff:

It was found that the employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia agree with the phrases of the axis of availability of medical and administrative staff, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.27 to 3.99), which are averages that fall in the fifth and fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval with the degree of (strongly agree - agree) on the study tool, which shows the difference in views in terms of The study members agreed on the statements of the availability of medical and administrative staff, which were arranged as follows:

- Statement No. (1), which is "The hospital has doctors in all specialties that meet the needs of the patient," ranked first in terms of approval of the study members with a degree of strong approval, with an average of (4.27) and a standard deviation of (0.90), and this result explains the need for the hospital to have doctors in all specialties that meet the patient's needs.
- Statement No. (5), which is "There are enough nurses to meet the needs of the hospital", ranked last in terms of approval by the study members with an average of (3.99) and a standard deviation of (1.05).

Seventh: Emergency Care:

It was clear that the responses of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the phrases of the emergency care axis, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.27 to 3.81), which are averages that fall in the fifth and fourth categories of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval with the degree of (strongly agree - agree) on the study tool, which shows the difference in views in terms of the approval of the study members on The terms of the emergency care axis, which are arranged as follows:

Statement No. (1), which is "There is a place designated to receive emergency cases around the clock," ranked first in terms of approval by the study members with a strongly agreeable score, with an average of (4.27) and a standard deviation of (0.88).

Statement No. (3), which is "an integPercentaged medical staff is available to receive emergency cases", ranked last in terms of approval by the study members with an average of (3.81) and a standard deviation of (0.94).

Eighth: Supportive care and infection prevention:

It was clear through the responses of the study sample that they agree with the statements of the axis of supportive care and infection prevention, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.13 to 3.89), which are averages located in the fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of approval on the axis, which shows homogeneity in views in terms of the approval of the study members on The terms of the axis of supportive care and infection prevention, which are arranged as follows:

- Statement No. (1), "Specific measures are available to prevent infection from spreading", ranked first in terms of approval by the study subjects with a strongly agreeable score, with an average of (4.13) and a standard deviation of (0.82).
- Statement No. (3), which is "the hospital is interested in disinfecting and sterilizing corridors and toilets", came in second place in terms of approval of the study members with an average score of (3.89) and a standard deviation of (0.98).

Ninth: Follow-up and Auditing:

It was clear through the responses of the study sample that they agree with the statements of the follow-up and audit axis, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.06 to 3.96), which are averages located in the fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of approval of the axis, which shows the homogeneity in the views in terms of the approval of the study members on the phrases of the Follow-up and auditing, which are arranged as follows:

- Statement No. (1), which is "There are specific instructions for monitoring and following up on different cases," ranked first in terms of approval by the study subjects with an OK score, with an average of (4.06) and a standard deviation of (0.85).
- Statement No. (2) "A bed guide is available that includes accuPercentage and up-to-date information about each case." ranked second in terms of approval by the study subjects with an average score of (3.96) and a standard deviation of (0.90).

Answer to the second question: What is the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia:

To identify the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, frequencies, percentages, arithmetic averages, standard deviations, and ranks were calculated for the responses of the study subjects to the The impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and the results were as shown in the following table:

Table No. (15) Responses of the study members to the statements of the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia descending according to the approval averages

 $[\]triangleright$

		ItePercentagen	Ι	Degree	of app	roval				1
No	Phrases	Percentage %	Strongly agree	I agree	neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	Performance appraisal	as	198	179	60	25	8			
5	contributes to the permanent provision of medicines and emergency departments.	%	42.1	38.1	12.8	5.3	1.7	4.14	0.94	1
	Performance evaluation	as	138	257	47	16	12			
12	contributes to providing medical and preventive education, guidance and information to patients.	%	29.4	54.7	10	3.4	2.6	4.05	0.87	2
	Performance appraisal	as	131	253	62	19	5			
11	contributes to the development and sterilization of hospital buildings, facilities, patient seating and toilets.	%	27.9	53.8	13.2	4	1.1	4.03	0.81	3
	Performance evaluation	as	123	270	46	24	7			
4	contributes to keeping records of integPercentaged information about the medical history of service recipients and keeping their secrets.	%	26.2	57.4	9.8	5.1	1.5	4.02	0.83	4
	Performance evaluation	as	124	264	51	22	9			
6	contributes to the provision of laboratory tests necessary to treat various conditions.	%	26.4	56.2	10.9	4.7	1.9	4	0.85	5
	Performance evaluation	as	134	251	46	29	10			
1	contributes to the provision of equipment and devices necessary to provide medical services.	%	28.5	53.4	9.8	6.2	2.1	4	0.90	6
	Performance evaluation	as	123	264	47	26	10			
2	contributes to the provision of appropriate and safe treatment for all patients.	%	26.2	56.2	10	5.5	2.1	3.99	0.88	7
	Performance evaluation	as	113	271	54	21	11			
9	contributes to the provision of medical service providers in a way that meets the needs of the	%	24	57.7	11.5	4.5	2.3	3.97	0.86	8

		ItePercentagen	Ι	Degree	of app	proval		ు		
No	Phrases	Percentage %		I agree	neutral	Disagree	Strongly	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	hospital and the aspiPercentagens of patients.									
	Performance evaluation	as	109	67	65	20	9			
8	contributes to providing measures to prevent infection from spreading and following safety and security standards.	7.	23.2	56.8	13.8	4.3	1.9	3.95	0.84	9
	Performance evaluation	as	115	259	65	18	13			
7	contributes to the provision of adequate operating rooms in the hospital in addition to intensive care and emergency.	Ϊ.	24.5	55.1	13.8	3.8	2.8	3.95	0.88	10
	Performance evaluation	as	109	263	65	25	8			
10	contributes to the work of the hospital around the clock.	7.	23.2	556	13.8	5.3	1.7	3.94	0.85	11
	Performance evaluation	as	101	256	74	24	15			
3	3 contributes to respecting 7.		21.5	54.5	15.7	5.1	3.2	3.86	0.92	12
	Overall average					3.99	0.6	52		

Through the results shown above, it is clear that the study members agree on the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabiawith an arithmetic average of (3.99).

Through the results shown above, it is clear that there is homogeneity in the approval of the study members on the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, where the averages of their approval on the extent of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia ranged between (4.14 to 3.86), which are averages that fall into the fifth and fourth categories of the five-scale categories, which indicate (agree) on the study tool, which shows the homogeneity in the approval of the study members on the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the quality of services in the government health sector in the kingdom of sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government hea

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, which was arranged in descending order according to The averages of approval by the study subjects are as follows:

- 1. Statement No. (5), which is "the share of performance evaluation in the provision of medicines permanently and emergency departments" ranked first in terms of approval of the study members with a degree of approval with an arithmetic average of (4.14) and the researcher believes that the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia contributes to the provision of medicines permanently and emergency departments.
- 2. Statement No. (3), which is "Performance evaluation contributes to respecting patients' appointments," ranked last in terms of approval by the study members with an approval score with an arithmetic average of (3.86) and refers to the contribution of performance evaluation to respecting patient appointments by employees in government hospitals.

Answer to the third question: What are the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia:

To identify the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, frequencies, percentages, arithmetic averages, standard deviations, and ranks were calculated for the responses of the study members to the phrases of the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The results are as shown in the following table:

		ItePercentagen	I	Degree	of app	roval				
No	Phrases	Percentage %	Strongly agree	I agree	neutral	Disagre	Strongly	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	Conducting training	as	148	255	45	16	6			
5	courses for medical service providers with optimal performance evaluation standards.	%	31.5	54.3	9.6	3.4	1.3	4.11	0.80	1
	Coordination with	as	147	259	35	19	10			
12	specialized academic bodies to conduct training courses for hospital workers in the field of performance evaluation.	%	31.3	55.1	7.4	4	2.1	4.09	0.85	2
	The need to provide a	as	142	261	42	18	7			
9	complete information base on all human resources and procedures in the hospital to provide the	%	30.2	55.5	8.9	3.8	1.5	4.09	0.82	3

Table No. (16) Responses of the study members to the statements of the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in descending order according to the approval averages

		ItePercentagen	Ι	Degree	of app	roval				
No	Phrases	Percentage %	Strongly agree		neutral	Disagre	Strongly	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	necessary data for									
	performance evaluation.									
	Linking the evaluation of	as	141	254	57	12	6			
11	hospital performance to the Ministry of Health in order to involve the Ministry of Health in the evaluation process and thus contribute to the treatment process.	%	30	54	12.1	2.6	1.3	4.09	0.79	4
	The need to adopt the	as	144	242	54	21	9			
8	performance criteria indicators proposed by the World Health Organization.	%	30.6	51.5	11.5	4.5	1.9	4.04	0.87	5
	Continuous performance	as	177	168	103	13	9			
1	evaluation must be maintained periodically to improve the quality of services.	%	37.7	35.7	21.9	2.8	1.9	4.04	0.93	6
	Establishing a department	as	138	252	47	27	6			
4	in each hospital whose task is to follow up and audit the extent to which optimal performance standards are applied.	%	29.4	53.6	10	5.7	1.3	4.04	0.85	7
	Conducting a periodic	as	138	253	47	24	8			
10	evaluation by the hospital administPercentagen in prepaPercentagen for performance evaluation.	%	29.4	53.8	10	5.1	1.7	4.04	0.86	8
	Employing balanced	as	122	278	36	24	10			
6	scorecards in the performance evaluation process in hospitals.	Ϊ.	26	59.1	7.7	5.1	2.1	4.02	0.85	9
	A special body should be	as	123	258	58	17	14			
3	prepared to evaluate the performance of hospitals and develop plans to increase the quality of services.	%	26.2	54.9	12.3	3.6	3	3.98	0.89	10
7		as	121	260	55	24	10	3.97	0.87	11

		ItePercentagen	Ι) egree	of app	roval		ల		
No	Phrases	Percentage %	Strongly agree	I agree	neutral	Disagre	Strongly	Arithmetic mean	Standard deviation	Rank
	Developing electronic programs specialized in evaluating the performance of hospitals electronically.	Χ.	25.7	55.3	11.7	5.1	2.1			
	Hospital performance	as	139	180	121	21	9			
2	evaluation standards should be updated according to international standards on an ongoing basis.	Υ.	29.6	38.3	25.7	4.5	1.9	3.89	0.94	12
		Overall average						4.04	0.6	1

Through the results described above, it is clear that the study members agree on the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia with a degree of approval and an arithmetic average of (4.04), and the researcher sees the effectiveness of these proposals in evaluating the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Through the results described above, it is clear that there is homogeneity in the approval of the study members on the axis of the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, where the averages of their approval of the statements ranged between (4.11 to 3.89), which are averages located in the fourth category of the five-year scale, which indicates (OK) on the study tool, which shows the homogeneity in the approval of the study members on the axis of the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Xingdom of Saudi Arabia, where they were arranged in descending order according to the approval of the study members with a degree of approval as follows:

- Statement No. (5), which is "conducting training courses for medical service providers with optimal performance evaluation standards" ranked first in terms of approval by the study members with a degree of approval with an arithmetic average of (4.11) and this result is explained by the need to pay attention to conducting training courses for medical service providers with optimal performance evaluation standards.
- Statement No. (2), which is "standards for evaluating the performance of hospitals according to international standards must be updated continuously" ranked last in terms of approval by the study members with a degree of strong agreement with an arithmetic average of (3.89) and this result indicates that standards for evaluating the performance of hospitals must be updated according to international standards on an ongoing basis.

Answer to the fourth question: Are there statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) on performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services attributed to variables (educational level - years of service - training courses):

Second: Educational Level:

* To identify whether there are statistically significant differences between the averages of the answers of the study sample members on the performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services according to the scientific qualification, the researcher used the test " one way anova" to clarify the differences in statistical significance between the average answers of the study sample members due to the difference in educational level as shown in the following figure:

Table (17) Results of "One Way Anova Analysis" test for the differences between the
answers of the study subjects according to the different educational level

AxisSources of variationSum of squaresof freedomsum of squaresValue (F)significance levelThe reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaBetween groups0.32530.1080.1040.957The impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaBetween groups2.92030.9730.1040.957The impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaBetween groups2.92030.9732.8010.040The most important performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaBetween groups1.03230.3440.9170.433Total128.233420.3750.9170.433Total groups128.233450.0540.9170.433Total arabiaBetween groups3450.0540.1000.960Total grade of the interlocutorInside groups182.7123450.5340.100Total182.8733450.5340.1000.960	answers of the study	Subjects acco	nung to t				
Axisvariationsquaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomsquares squaresof freedomof freedomsquares freedomof freedomsquares freedomof freedomsquares freedomof freedomsquares freedomof <b< td=""><td></td><td>Sources of</td><td>Sum of</td><td>Degrees</td><td>Average</td><td>Value</td><td>Statistical</td></b<>		Sources of	Sum of	Degrees	Average	Value	Statistical
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Axis			of	sum of		significance
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline The reality of performance evaluation in the groups & 0.325 & 3 & 0.108 \\ \hline Inside groups & 354.818 & 342 & 1.037 \\ \hline Inside groups & 355.143 & 345 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		variation	squares	freedom	squares	(Г)	level
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline \mbox{performance} \\ \mbox{evaluation in the} \\ \mbox{government health} \\ \mbox{sector in the} \\ \mbox{Kingdom of Saudi} \\ \mbox{Arabia} & Total \\ \mbox{The impact of} \\ \mbox{performance} \\ \mbox{evaluation on the} \\ \mbox{quality of services} \\ \mbox{in the government} \\ \mbox{health sector in the} \\ \mbox{Kingdom of Saudi} \\ \mbox{Arabia} & 118.822 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 342 \\ \mbox{lenguality of services} \\ \mbox{in the government} \\ \mbox{health sector in the} \\ \mbox{Kingdom of Saudi} \\ \mbox{Arabia in the} \\ \mbox{educational process} & 118.822 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 342 \\ \mbox{lenguality of services} \\ \mbox{in the government} \\ \mbox{health sector in the} \\ \mbox{kingdom of Saudi} \\ \mbox{arabia} & 121.742 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 121.742 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 121.742 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 345 \\ \mbox{model} & 128.23 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 345 \\ \mbox{model} & 128.23 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 345 \\ \mbox{model} & 128.23 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 345 \\ \mbox{model} & 1.032 \\ \mbox{arabia} & 129.626 \\ a$	The reality of	Between					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		groups	0.325	3	0.108		
government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaInside groups 354.818 342 1.037 0.104 0.957 The impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaTotal 355.143 345 0.973 0.973 The impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational processInside groups 118.822 342 0.347 2.801 0.040 The most important performance of hospitals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaBetween groups 1.032 3 0.344 0.917 0.433 Total performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaBetween groups 128.23 342 0.375 0.917 0.433 Total the and maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaBetween groups 0.161 3 0.054 0.100 0.960		8 1					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia & Total & 355.143 & 345 & & & & & & & \\ \hline The impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process & & & & & & & \\ \hline The most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia & & & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 121.742 & 345 & & & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 1221.742 & 345 & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 121.742 & 345 & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 128.23 & 342 & 0.344 & & & & & & & \\ \hline Inside groups & 1.032 & 3 & 0.344 & & & & & & & \\ \hline Inside groups & 128.23 & 342 & 0.375 & & & & & & & \\ \hline Inside groups & 128.23 & 345 & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 129.626 & 345 & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Total grade of the interlocutor & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Inside groups & 182.712 & 342 & 0.534 & & & & & & \\ \hline Inside groups & 182.873 & 345 & & & & & & & \\ \hline Inside groups & 182.873 & 345 & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$		Inside	254.010	240	1.027	0.104	0.957
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } Kingdom of Saudi Arabia & Total 355.143 345 &$	0	groups	354.818	342	1.037		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0	Total	355.143	345			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Between					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline Prior P$			2,920	3	0 973		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \mbox{ulity of services} & Inside \mbox{groups} & 118.822 & 342 & 0.347 \\ \mbox{in the government} \\ \mbox{health sector in the} \\ \mbox{Kingdom of Saudi} \\ \mbox{Arabia in the} \\ \mbox{educational process} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	1	groups	2.720	5	0.775		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Inside					
health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational processTotal121.742345Image: sector in the sector in the groupsTotal121.742345Image: sector in the sector in the groupsImage: sector in the groupsImage: sector in the sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaTotal121.742345Image: sector in the sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaImage: sector in the groupsImage: sector in the sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaImage: sector in the groupsImage: sector in t			118.822	342	0.347	2.801	0.040
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process & Total 121.742 345 & Iee & $	e	Broups					
Arabia in the educational processTotal 121.742 343 $add b d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d $							
educational processImage: constraint proposals to evaluate the gerformance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaBetween groups1.03230.344Image: constraint proposal to the groups0.9170.433Total grade of the interlocutorBetween groups128.233450.3750.9170.433Total grade of the interlocutorBetween groups0.16130.0540.1000.960		Total	121.742	345			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline The most important proposals to evaluate the groups in the groups in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia \\ \hline Total grade of the interlocutor \\ \hline Total & 182.873 \\$							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Datuyaan					
proposition of evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaInside groups128.233420.3750.9170.433Total groupsTotal129.6263450.9170.4330.433Total grade of the interlocutorBetween groups0.16130.0540.1000.960Inside groups182.7123420.5340.1000.960			1 032	2	0.344		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		groups	1.032	5	0.344		
$\frac{128.23}{342} = 0.375$ $0.917 = 0.433$ $\frac{128.23}{128.23} = 0.375$ $0.917 = 0.433$ $\frac{128.23}{128.23} = 0.375$ $0.917 = 0.433$ $\frac{128.23}{129.626} = 345$ $\frac{128.23}{345} = 0.917$ $0.433 = 0.433$ $\frac{128.23}{129.626} = 345$ $\frac{128.23}{129.626} = 345$ $\frac{128.23}{129.626} = 0.917$ $0.433 = 0.433$ $\frac{128.23}{129.626} = 0.917$		Inside					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			128.23	342	0.375		
quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaTotal129.626345 445 445 445 Total grade of the interlocutorBetween groups 0.161 3 0.054 0.100 0.960 Inside groups182.712342 0.534 0.100 0.960	A	groups				0.917	0.433
in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaTotal129.626345 445 Total grade of the interlocutorBetween groups0.16130.0540.1000.960Inside groups182.7123420.5340.1000.960							
health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi ArabiaTotal129.626345 345 Total grade of the interlocutorBetween groups0.16130.0540.1000.960Inside groups182.7123420.5340.1000.960							
health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Between groups 0.161 3 0.054 0.100 0.960 Inside groups 182.712 342 0.534	e	Total	129.626	345			
ArabiaBetween groups0.16130.0540.1000.960Total grade of the interlocutorInside groups182.7123420.5340.1000.960Total182.873345511110.1000.960				_			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
groups 0.161 3 0.054 0.100 0.960 Inside groups 182.712 342 0.534 0.100 0.960 Total 182.873 345 0.534 0.100 0.960	Arabia						
Total grade of the interlocutorInside groups182.7123420.5340.1000.960Total182.8733450.534		Between	0.4	-	0.071		
interlocutor Inside groups 182.712 342 0.534 Total 182.873 345		groups	0.161	3	0.054		
groups 182.712 342 0.534 Total 182.873 345 1	Ũ					0.100	0.960
groups Image: Constraint of the second	interlocutor		182.712	342	0.534		
			182.873	345			

Table 17 shows the following:

It is clear through the results in the above table that there were no statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) for the average answers of the study sample members on the

performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services according to the educational level variable, where the value of P was (0.104-0.917-0.100), which are not statistically significant values at the level of (0.05).

It is also clear from the table that there are statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) for the average answers of the study sample members on the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process attributed to the scientific qualification, where the value of P (2.801), which is a statistically significant value at the level of (0.05).

Second: Differences according to the variable of years of service:

To identify whether there are statistically significant differences between the averages of the answers of the study sample members on the performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services attributed to the years of service, the researcher used the "One Way Anova Analysis of Variance Analysis" test to clarify the differences in statistical significance between the average answers of the study sample members due to the different years of service as shown in the following table:

Axis	Sources of variation	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Average sum of squares	Value (F)	Statistical significance level
The reality of performance evaluation in the	Between groups	19.479	2	9.740		
government health sector in	Inside groups	335.664	343	0.979	9.953	0.00
the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	Total	355.143	345			
The impact of performance evaluation on the	Between groups	0.784	2	0.392		
quality of services in the	Inside groups	120.958	343	0.353	1 1 1 1	0.330
government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process	Total	121.742	345		1.111	0.330
The most important proposals to	Between groups	1.231	2	0.616		
evaluate the performance of	Inside groups	128.031	343	0.373	1.649	0.194
hospitals to reach the maximum quality of	Total	129.262	345			

 Table (18) Results of "One Way Anova Analysis" test for the differences between the answers of the study subjects according to the different years of service

services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia						
Total grade of the	Between groups	8.219	2	4.11	8.071	0.00
interlocutor	Inside groups	174.654	343	0.509		
	Total	182.873	345			

It is clear through the results in the above table that there were no statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) the averages of the answers of the study sample members on the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process, as well as the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia among employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia attributed to the years of service, where the value of P (1.111-1.649) is statistically significant at the level of (0.05).

While it was found that there were statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) the averages of the answers of the study sample members on the performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services The reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is attributed to the years of service, where the value of P (9.953-8.071), which is statistically significant values at the level of (0.05).

Third: Differences according to the variable of training courses:

To identify whether there are statistically significant differences between the averages of the responses of the study sample members on the performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services due to the number of cycles, the researcher used the "One Way Anova Analysis" test to clarify the differences in statistical significance between the average answers of the study sample members due to the different cycles as shown in the following table:

Table (19) Results of "One Way Anova Analysis" test for the differences between the						
answers of the study members according to the different number of training courses						

Axis	Sources of variation	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Average sum of squares	Value (F)	Statistical significance level
The reality of performance evaluation in the	Between groups	18.282	3	6.094	c 10 7	0.00
government health sector in the	Inside groups	336.861	342	0.985	6.187	0.00
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	Total	355.143	345			

The impact of performance evaluation on the	Between groups	1.326	3	0.442		
quality of services in the government	Inside groups	120.416	342	0.352	1.255	0.290
health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process	Total	121.742	345			
The most important proposals to evaluate the	Between groups	3.351	3	1.117		
performance of hospitals to reach	Inside groups	125.911	342	0.368		
the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia among employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia for boys	Total	129.626	345		3.034	0.029
Total grade of the interlocutor	Between groups	7.736	3	2.579	5.036	0.002
	Inside groups	175.137	342	0.512		
	Total	182.873	345			

It is clear in the above table that there were no statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) between the averages of the responses of the study sample members on the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process due to the number of training courses, where the value of P (1.255), which is not statistically significant values at the level of (0.05).

While it was clear through the results in the above table that there were statistically significant differences at the level of 0.05) between the views of the answers of the members of the study sample on the evaluation of performance in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services, and the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and the most important proposals for evaluating the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia among employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabia is attributed to the number of training courses, where the value of P was (6.187 - 3.034 - 5.036), which is statistically significant values at the level of (0.05).

The results of the study:

In light of the analysis of the study data in the fourth quarter, many results were reached, according to the study questions as follows:

Results of the first question: What is the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia?

The employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia agree on the dimensions of the reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, with an arithmetic mean (4.04) and a standard deviation (0.59).

Availability of statistical information:

It is found that the response of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the reality of statistical information ranged averages of their approval between (4.23 to 4.03), which are averages located in the fourth category V of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of strongly agree - agree with the study tool, which shows the disparity in views in terms of the study members' approval of Phrases of the statistical information availability axis.

Availability of medicines:

It was found that the employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia agree with the statements of the axis of availability of medicines, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.09 to 3.88), which are averages that fall in the fourth category of the quintuple scale categories, which indicate approval with the degree of (agree) on the study tool, which shows the difference in views in terms of the approval of the study members on Drug availability axis statements.

Devices and equipment:

It was clear that the responses of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the phrases of the axis of devices and equipment, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.06 to 3.82), which are averages that fall into the fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval with the degree of (agree) on the study tool, which shows the difference in views in terms of the approval of the study members on Hardware and equipment hub phrases.

Availability of laboratory tests:

It was clear through the responses of the study sample that they agree with the statements of the axis of availability of laboratory tests, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.21 to 4.08), which are averages located in the fourth and fifth category of the quintuple scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of strongly agree - agree on the axis, which shows the difference in views in terms of the study members' agreement on Statements of the availability of laboratory tests.

Availability of operating rooms:

It is found that the response of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the reality of the availability of operating rooms ranged averages of their approval between (4.20 to 3.92), which are averages located in the fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of approval of the study tool, which shows homogeneity in views in terms of the approval of the study members on Operating room availability axis phrases.

Availability of medical and administrative staff:

It was found that the employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia agree with the phrases of the axis of availability of medical and administrative staff, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.27 to 3.99), which are averages that fall in the fifth and fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval with the degree of (strongly agree - agree) on the study tool, which shows the difference in views in terms of Approval of the study members on the phrases of the axis of availability of medical and administrative staff.

Emergency Care:

It was clear that the responses of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia about the phrases of the emergency care axis, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.27 to 3.81), which are averages that fall in the fifth and fourth categories of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval with the degree of (strongly agree - agree) on the study tool, which shows the difference in views in terms of the approval of the study members on Emergency care hub phrases.

Supportive care and infection prevention:

It was clear through the responses of the study sample that they agree with the statements of the axis of supportive care and infection prevention, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.13 to 3.89), which are averages located in the fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of approval on the axis, which shows homogeneity in views in terms of the approval of the study members on Phrases of the axis of supportive care and infection prevention.

Follow-up and auditing:

It was clear through the responses of the study sample that they agree with the statements of the follow-up and audit axis, where the averages of their approval ranged between (4.06 to 3.96), which are averages located in the fourth category of the five-scale categories, which indicate approval to the degree of approval of the axis, which shows the homogeneity in the views in terms of the approval of the study members on the phrases of the Follow-up and auditing.

Results of the second question: What is the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia:

The study members agree on the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia with an arithmetic average of (3.99), where the averages of their approval ranged on the extent of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Saudi Arabia between (4.14 to 3.86), which are averages located in the fifth and fourth category of the five-year scale categories, which indicate (agree) on the study tool, which shows the homogeneity in the approval of the study members on the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the study members on the axis of the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from the point of view of employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

The results of the third question: What are the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia:

The study members agree on the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia with a degree of approval and an arithmetic average of (4.04), where the averages of their approval of the statements ranged between (4.11 to 3.89), which are averages located in the fourth category of the five-year scale, which indicates (Agree) on the study tool, which shows the homogeneity in the approval of the study members on the axis of the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Results of the fourth question: Are there statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) on the evaluation of performance in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services attributed to variables (educational level - years of service - training courses):

Second: Educational Level:

There were no statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) for the average answers of the study sample members on the performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services according to the educational level variable, and it was found that there were statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) for the average answers of the study sample members on the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process attributed to the scientific qualification

Second: Differences according to the variable of years of service:

There were no statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) the averages of the answers of the study sample members on the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process, as well as the most important proposals for evaluating the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia among employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia attributed to the years of service, while it was found that there were statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05)The averages of the answers of the study sample members on the performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services The reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia on the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services The reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector is attributed to the years of service.

Third: Differences according to the variable of training courses:

There were no statistically significant differences at the level of (0.05) between the averages of the answers of the study sample members on the impact of performance evaluation on the quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in the educational process due to the number of training courses, and there were statistically significant differences at the level of 0.05) between the views of the answers of the study sample members on performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health servicesThe reality of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and the most important proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of

services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia among employees working in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia due to the number of training courses.

Study recommendations:

- Raising the levels of personal care for patients by the hospital management through training and skills development.
- Pay more attention to the hospital management giving its best.
- Constant knowledge by the staff of the needs of patients.
- Working hours must be commensuPercentage with the needs of patients.
- Raising the level of personal care for patients by the hospital administPercentagen through training and skills development.
- Increase interaction between medical staff, staff and patients by improving mutual understanding between both parties.
- Develop technical training programs to increase the skill of workers in completing work through merit, civility and credibility.
- Conducting more studies on the extent of performance evaluation in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its impact on the quality of health services.
- Conducting more studies that search for the most important ways and proposals to evaluate the performance of hospitals to reach the maximum quality of services in the government health sector in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

References:

- 1. Abdulqar, Barakat Ahmed (2015), Evaluation of the performance of health services in Libyan public hospitals in the city of Bani Ghadi. Journal of Science and Human Studies, (8), pp. 1-17.
- 2. Al-Shujairi, Faten Abbas Khalil (2018), Evaluation of the quality of health service in primary health care centers / a case study in family medicine centers in Baghdad. Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences 24(106), pp. 23-41.
- 3. Hassan, Dhanoon Ali (2004), Standards adopted in the establishment and management of hospitals. Wael Publishing House, Amman.
- 4. Abdelkader, Mohamed Nour Eltaher Ahmed Abdelkader (2015), Measuring the quality of health services in government hospitals in Sudan from the point of view of patients and visitors. (A field study on major teaching hospitals in Khartoum State). Jordanian Journal of Business AdministPercentagen, 11(4), pp. 899-920.
- 5. Al-Assali, Muhammad Adib (2006), The reality and requirements for the development of health reality. National Conference for Scientific Research and Cultural Development, Damascus, Syria.
- 6. Khosrow, Ayman Mohammad Kamal (2008). Health Services Marketing, Specialized Diploma in Hospital Management, International Consulting Center for Management Development, UK.