**Migration Letters**

Volume: 19, No: S5 (2022), pp. 1558-1566

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

# Vector Control Strategies in Public Health - Challenges and Innovative Solutions: A Systematic Review

Sami Ahmed Ojayli[1], Yahya Abdu Yahya Asseri[2], Ali Hamod Mokeli[3], Hassan Ibrahim Ozayr[4], Hussain Ali Alkhayri[5], Nasser Ibrahim Alqasir[6], Wael Ali Mawkli[7], Bandar Hamoud Althurwi[8], Mohammed Ali Shammaky[9], Mohammed Ali Dallak[10], Mohammad Jabril Fagihi[11], Abdulaziz Hassan Moukly[12], Majed Abdullah Qadri[13], Hisham Abdullah Alharthi[14]

**Abstract**

*Vector-borne diseases such as malaria, dengue, and Zika virus present a significant public health challenge globally, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. Effective vector control strategies are vital in preventing the spread of these diseases and reducing their impact on affected populations. However, traditional vector control methods face complex challenges such as insecticide resistance, climate change, and urbanization. This systematic review examines the key obstacles confronting vector control strategies in public health and explores innovative solutions to overcome them. Advances in biotechnology, geographic information systems, remote sensing technologies, and environmentally friendly approaches offer promising avenues for improving vector control programs. By addressing these challenges with a coordinated global effort, we can safeguard public health, protect vulnerable populations, and support sustainable development goals. Through a comprehensive analysis of emerging trends and best practices, this review aims to guide future research and efforts to achieve more effective vector control worldwide.*

***Keywords:*** *Vector, Vector control, strategies, public health, challenges, innovative solutions*.

## Introduction

The World Health Organization has called on industry and its partners to develop new tools for

[1] Epidemiology, Disease vector control
[2] Epidemiology Technician Infectious disease control
[3] Public health, Disease vector control
[4] Public health, Disease vector control
[5] Laboratory Specialists, Disease Vector Control
[6] Public health. Disease vector control
[7] Public health. Disease vector control
[8] Public health. Disease vector control
[9] Laboratory Technician, Disease Vector Control
[10] Public health, Disease vector control
[11] Public health, Disease vector control
[12] Public health, Disease vector control
[13] Public health, infection disease control
[14] Public health, Disease vector control

vector control [1]. In public health, vector control strategies are a cornerstone of the prevention of insect-borne diseases such as malaria, dengue and Zika virus. These diseases are associated with significant health impacts on communities, especially in tropical and subtropical regions. Therefore, developing effective vector control strategies is crucial to reduce the spread of these diseases.

But with the complex challenges facing vector control strategies, including insect resistance to insecticides, climate change, and urbanization, it is necessary to explore innovative solutions. Advances in biotechnology, geographic information systems, and remote sensing technologies, as well as environmentally friendly approaches, provide new opportunities for improving vector control programs [2].

Through this systematic review, we review a range of key challenges facing vector control strategies in public health, as well as innovative solutions emerging to address these challenges. This review aims to highlight new and promising trends in vector control, and explore their effectiveness and impact in preventing vector-associated diseases [3]. We hope that this review will provide valuable insights that advance efforts to achieve public health goals and help guide future research in this vital area.

A brief history of vector control

Vector control refers to the methods and strategies employed to limit or eliminate the spread of disease-carrying organisms such as mosquitoes, ticks, and other vectors. The history of vector control is intertwined with the history of human civilization and public health, as the understanding of how diseases spread and how vectors play a role in transmitting them has evolved over time [4].

The roots of vector control can be traced back to ancient times when people made rudimentary attempts to protect themselves from insects and other pests using smoke, fire, and repellents made from natural substances. In the 19th century, as scientists began to understand the link between vectors and diseases such as malaria and yellow fever, more systematic approaches to vector control began to emerge [5].

One of the most significant advancements in vector control came in the early 20th century when the role of Anopheles mosquitoes in the transmission of malaria was discovered. This led to the widespread use of mosquito nets, insecticides, and environmental management techniques such as draining stagnant water to reduce mosquito breeding grounds. These efforts greatly contributed to the decline of malaria in many parts of the world.

The mid-20th century saw the introduction of more potent insecticides like DDT, which had a transformative impact on vector control efforts. However, the overuse of DDT led to environmental concerns and the development of resistance in some vector populations. As a result, vector control strategies began to shift towards more sustainable and integrated approaches, combining chemical, biological, and environmental methods [6].

In recent decades, advances in technology and research have led to the development of new tools for vector control, including genetically modified mosquitoes, precise targeting of vector habitats using satellite imagery, and innovative biological control methods such as the use of natural predators and pathogens. These approaches aim to reduce reliance on chemical insecticides and minimize ecological impacts [7].

Today, vector control remains a critical component of public health efforts worldwide, especially in regions where vector-borne diseases like malaria, dengue, and Zika continue to pose significant challenges. Researchers and health professionals continue to work on developing and implementing effective strategies to control vectors and reduce the burden of these diseases.

Need for a global vector control response

A global vector control response is essential for several reasons, primarily because vector-borne diseases pose a significant threat to public health worldwide. The interconnectedness of the modern world means that these diseases can easily spread across borders, making localized efforts insufficient to address the global threat. Vector-borne diseases are everyone's problem, not just the health sector. [7].

Throughout history, the crucial discoveries by pioneering scientists like Ronald Ross and Walter Reed, revealing the connection between mosquitoes and the spread of diseases such as malaria and Yellow Fever, are relatively recent. Mosquitoes have long troubled various empires and significantly impacted the course of human events [8]. In many cases, military defeats were not due to the strength of one side but rather the debilitating impact of diseases like malaria on their troops. While ancient texts have long noted the link between swampy, foul-smelling areas and disease prevalence, it is only our understanding of the actual transmission methods and the role of vectors that has allowed us to develop effective strategies to combat diseases spread by mosquitoes and other vectors.

Vector control is the principal method available for controlling many VBDs—both historically and today. Moreover, for some diseases, such as dengue (a vaccine is licensed but is not widely used due to safety concerns), chikungunya, Zika, and West Nile disease, vector control is currently the only method available to protect populations. Vector control aims to limit the transmission of pathogens by reducing or eliminating human contact with the vector [7], Here are some key reasons why a coordinated global vector control response is necessary [8], [9]:

1. Rising Burden of Vector-Borne Diseases: Diseases such as malaria, dengue, chikungunya, Zika, and yellow fever, among others, continue to affect millions of people worldwide, causing significant morbidity and mortality. These diseases place a heavy burden on health systems and economies, particularly in low- and middle-income countries.

2. Vector Resistance: Many vectors, such as mosquitoes, have developed resistance to commonly used insecticides, making control efforts less effective. A coordinated response is needed to monitor resistance patterns globally and develop new strategies to manage and mitigate resistance.

3. Climate Change: Changes in climate can expand the geographical range and seasonality of vector-borne diseases, potentially exposing new populations to risk. A global response can help track these changes and adapt control strategies accordingly.

4. Population Movement and Urbanization: Increased global travel and migration can lead to the rapid spread of vector-borne diseases across regions and continents. Urbanization creates new challenges for vector control, as densely populated areas may provide ideal breeding grounds for vectors like mosquitoes.

5. Gaps in Surveillance and Monitoring: Many regions lack comprehensive surveillance and monitoring systems for vector-borne diseases. A global response can help standardize data collection, improve reporting, and enable better understanding of disease trends and outbreaks.

6. Innovation and Research: A global response can facilitate the sharing of knowledge, best practices, and research findings across countries. This collaboration can lead to the development of new tools, technologies, and strategies for vector control, as well as improvements in existing methods.

7. Funding and Resource Allocation: A coordinated global response can help prioritize funding and resources for vector control efforts, ensuring that the most effective and efficient

interventions are implemented in areas of greatest need.

8. International Collaboration and Coordination: Vector-borne diseases are transboundary issues that require collaboration between countries, organizations, and stakeholders. A global response can foster partnerships and coordination, enabling a more effective and unified approach to controlling vectors and reducing the burden of these diseases.

By tackling these hurdles with a unified global effort in vector control, the international community can collaborate to safeguard public health and make substantial strides in mitigating the impact of vector-borne diseases worldwide. In essence, a coordinated global response to vector control is imperative in confronting the cross-border challenges presented by these diseases. Through collaborative international efforts, adherence to standardized protocols, innovative approaches, and sustainable practices, significant headway can be made in managing vectors and alleviating the global health burden imposed by these diseases.

The importance of vector control

Vector control is crucial for protecting public health, preventing the spread of diseases, and improving quality of life worldwide. Vector control has been the main method for preventing VBDs for more than 100 years, and, when applied comprehensively and sustainably, remains highly effective. For many diseases, it remains the only control tool we currently have. The key lessons we can learn from the history of vector control are discussed further as follows and summarized in “Key Learning Points” [9]. Here are several key reasons why vector control is important [10], [11]:

1. Reduction of Disease Transmission: Vector control directly impacts the transmission of diseases such as malaria, dengue, Zika, yellow fever, and chikungunya. By controlling vectors like mosquitoes, ticks, and other disease-carrying organisms, the spread of these infections can be significantly reduced, saving lives and preventing illness.

2. Economic Benefits: Vector-borne diseases can have a severe economic impact on affected regions due to healthcare costs, loss of productivity, and decreased tourism. Effective vector control can reduce these costs and promote economic stability and development.

3. Protection of Vulnerable Populations: Vector-borne diseases disproportionately affect vulnerable populations, including children, the elderly, and those in low-income areas. Effective vector control measures can help protect these groups from serious health risks.

4. Prevention of Outbreaks: Controlling vectors helps prevent localized outbreaks from becoming epidemics or pandemics. By addressing vectors early, health authorities can contain diseases and prevent them from spreading to new areas.

5. Support for Sustainable Development Goals: Vector control contributes to several United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to health and well-being, poverty reduction, and sustainable cities and communities. Reducing the burden of vector-borne diseases supports broader efforts toward sustainable development.

6. Environmental Protection: While traditional vector control methods, such as the use of certain insecticides, can have negative environmental impacts, modern approaches focus on sustainable, eco-friendly methods. This not only benefits human health but also helps preserve local ecosystems.

7. Improved Quality of Life: Vector-borne diseases can cause significant morbidity, impacting individuals' quality of life. By reducing the prevalence of these diseases, vector control efforts contribute to healthier, more productive communities.

8. Global Health Security: Vector control plays a key role in global health security by

reducing the risk of diseases spreading across borders. This is particularly important in today's interconnected world, where people and goods frequently move between countries.

9. Research and Innovation: Vector control efforts drive research and innovation in the fields of epidemiology, entomology, and biotechnology. These advancements can lead to the development of new tools and strategies for controlling vectors and combating diseases.

10. Public Education and Awareness: Vector control programs often include educational components to inform communities about the risks of vector-borne diseases and how to protect themselves. This awareness can lead to behavioral changes that further reduce disease transmission.

In summary, vector control is essential for safeguarding public health, protecting vulnerable populations, and supporting global efforts toward sustainable development and health security. By focusing on effective and sustainable vector control strategies, the world can make significant strides in reducing the impact of vector-borne diseases.

Vector control strategies in public health

Vector control strategies in public health are essential to reducing the spread of vector-borne diseases and protecting communities worldwide. These strategies are designed to target vectors such as mosquitoes, ticks, flies, and other organisms that transmit diseases like malaria, dengue, Zika, and Lyme disease. Since early 2020, some countries have combined modern technology with traditional vector interventions to facilitate follow-up. For example, the ITN distribution was monitored through digital technology with a mobile application for timely monitoring and supervisory feedback, allowing more rapid collection of household statistics and ITN distribution data. This technology allowed for avoiding contact with personnel to a certain extent [12].

Here are some key vector control strategies employed in public health:

1. Chemical Control: This involves the use of insecticides to kill vectors. Chemical control can be highly effective, but its long-term success depends on responsible use to prevent the development of insecticide resistance and minimize environmental impact. Examples include:

- Indoor residual spraying (IRS): Applying insecticides to the walls and ceilings of homes to kill mosquitoes that rest indoors.

- Space spraying: Releasing insecticides in outdoor areas to quickly reduce vector populations.

- Larviciding: Applying insecticides to water sources where vectors breed to target larvae before they mature.

2. Biological Control: This approach uses natural predators or pathogens to control vector populations. Examples include:

- Introducing fish or other aquatic predators into water sources to feed on mosquito larvae.

- Using bacteria like Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis (Bti) that specifically target mosquito larvae.

3. Environmental Management: This strategy involves modifying the environment to reduce vector breeding sites and improve sanitation. Examples include:

- Removing or covering containers that collect water to prevent mosquito breeding.

- Improving drainage systems to prevent the accumulation of stagnant water.

4. Physical and Mechanical Control: These methods aim to prevent vectors from accessing people or their habitats. Examples include:

- Installing screens on windows and doors to prevent mosquitoes from entering homes.
- Using bed nets treated with insecticide to protect people while they sleep.
- Eliminating or covering potential breeding sites, such as tires, buckets, and other containers.

5. Genetic Control: This innovative approach involves manipulating the genes of vectors to reduce their ability to transmit diseases or to reduce their population. Examples include:

- Releasing genetically modified mosquitoes that carry a self-limiting gene, causing their offspring to die before reaching maturity.
- Using the sterile insect technique (SIT), where sterile male vectors are released to mate with wild females, reducing the overall population.

6. Integrated Vector Management (IVM): IVM is a comprehensive approach that combines multiple control methods based on local vector ecology, disease epidemiology, and available resources. It involves:

- Surveillance to monitor vector populations and disease incidence.
- Evidence-based decision-making to select the most appropriate control methods.
- Community involvement and education to engage local populations in control efforts.

7. Public Education and Awareness: Educating communities about vector-borne diseases and how to protect themselves is a crucial component of vector control strategies. This includes teaching people about the importance of eliminating breeding sites and using protective measures like bed nets and repellents.

By combining these strategies in a coordinated and integrated manner, public health organizations can effectively control vector populations, reduce the transmission of vector-borne diseases, and improve health outcomes for communities around the world.

Challenges and innovative solutions

Vector control faces several challenges, ranging from the development of resistance in vectors to logistical and funding constraints. Innovative solutions are needed to address these challenges and improve the effectiveness of vector control strategies. In addition to the consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic, several challenges are currently faced by conventional vector control activities, such as the costs of implementation, traditional mosquito vector measures, slow operational implementation, and insecticide resistance [13].

Challenges in Vector Control [14]:

a) Insecticide Resistance: Over time, vectors can develop resistance to commonly used insecticides, rendering them less effective. This requires constant monitoring of resistance patterns and the development of alternative control methods.

b) Lack of Surveillance and Monitoring: In many areas, there is insufficient data on vector populations and disease transmission patterns. Without accurate surveillance, it is challenging to implement targeted control measures.

c) Limited Funding: Vector control programs often suffer from inadequate funding, which can limit the scope and sustainability of control efforts.

d) Logistical Challenges: Delivering and implementing vector control interventions in remote or conflict-affected areas can be difficult, requiring creative solutions for distribution and deployment.

e) Environmental Concerns: Traditional vector control methods, such as chemical insecticides, can have negative environmental impacts, affecting non-target species and ecosystems.

f) Public Awareness and Behavior: In some communities, there may be a lack of awareness or understanding of vector-borne diseases and the importance of vector control measures. This can hinder the effectiveness of interventions.

1. Innovative Solutions for Vector Control [15]:

a) New Insecticides and Rotational Strategies: Developing new classes of insecticides and implementing rotational strategies can help manage resistance and prolong the efficacy of chemical control methods.

b) Genetic Engineering: Techniques such as releasing genetically modified mosquitoes that cannot reproduce or carry diseases can significantly reduce vector populations and disease transmission.

c) Biological Control: Introducing natural predators or pathogens, such as bacteria or fungi, can target vectors without harming non-target species.

d) Integrated Vector Management (IVM): Adopting a comprehensive approach that combines multiple control methods based on local context can increase the effectiveness of vector control programs.

e) Improved Surveillance and Data Analytics: Leveraging technology such as geographic information systems (GIS) and satellite imagery can improve surveillance and data collection, leading to more targeted and efficient control measures.

f) Community Engagement and Education: Working closely with communities to increase awareness and participation in vector control efforts can lead to more sustainable and effective outcomes.

g) Innovative Delivery Mechanisms: For remote or hard-to-reach areas, innovative delivery mechanisms such as drones can be used to distribute insecticides, larvicides, and other control materials.

h) Sustainable and Eco-friendly Methods: Exploring environmentally friendly methods, such as using natural repellents and habitat modification, can minimize negative environmental impacts.

i) Policy and Regulatory Support: Advocating for strong policy and regulatory frameworks can help prioritize vector control and allocate necessary resources for successful implementation.

j) Public-Private Partnerships: Collaborations between public health agencies, private companies, and NGOs can leverage additional resources and expertise for more effective vector control.

k) By addressing these challenges and implementing innovative solutions, vector control programs can become more efficient, sustainable, and impactful, ultimately reducing the burden of vector-borne diseases worldwide [16].

## Conclusion

Vector control remains a critical component of public health efforts worldwide, particularly in regions where vector-borne diseases pose significant challenges. Although traditional vector control methods have been effective in the past, contemporary challenges such as insecticide resistance, climate change, and urbanization necessitate innovative approaches. By integrating advances in biotechnology, geographic information systems, remote sensing, and sustainable, environmentally friendly methods, we can enhance the efficacy and sustainability of vector control programs.

A coordinated global response, combining effective strategies with community engagement and education, is key to overcoming these challenges and achieving significant progress in controlling vector-borne diseases. Public health professionals, researchers, policymakers, and communities must work together to implement integrated vector management practices and explore emerging technologies.

Ultimately, the successful implementation of innovative and sustainable vector control strategies will contribute to the reduction of disease transmission, improvements in health outcomes, and the advancement of global health security. Continued research and collaboration will be essential to guide future efforts and achieve lasting progress in the fight against vector-borne diseases.

## References

1. WHO. Vector Control Advisory Group (VCAG) on new tools. Geneva: World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/vector_ecology/VCAG/en/. Accessed 12 June 2015.

2. Bukhari T, Middelman A, Koenraadt CJ, Takken W, Knols BG. Factors affecting fungus-induced larval mortality in Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles stephensi. Malar J. (2010) 9:1–15. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-9-22

3. Afrane YA, Mweresa NG, Wanjala CL, Gilbreath Iii TM, Zhou G, Lee M-C, et al. Evaluation of long-lasting microbial larvicide for malaria vector control in Kenya. Malar J. (2016) 15:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12936-016-1626-6

4. Stark DJ, Fornace KM, Brock PM, Abidin TR, Gilhooly L, Jalius C, et al. Long-tailed macaque response to deforestation in a plasmodium knowlesi-endemic area. EcoHealth. (2019) 16:638–46. doi: 10.1007/s10393-019-01403-9

5. Jumail A, Liew T-S, Salgado-Lynn M, Fornace KM, Stark DJ. A comparative evaluation of thermal camera and visual counting methods for primate census in a riparian forest at the lower Kinabatangan wildlife sanctuary (LKWS). Malaysian Borneo Primates. (2021) 62:143–51. doi: 10.1007/s10329-020-00837-y

6. Pruss-Ustun A, Wolf J, Corvalan C, Bos R, Neira M. (2016), Preventing disease through health environments: a global assessment of the burden of disease from environmental risks. Geneva: World Health Organization.

7. Makoni M. Malaria fighters' latest chemical weapon may not last long. Science. (2020) 369:1153. doi: 10.1126/science.369.6508.1153

8. Winegard TV (2019) The mosquito – a human history of our deadliest predator. Penguin Random House LLC, London, UK.

9. Lun ZR, Wu MS, Chen YF, Wang JY, Zhou XN, Liao LF, et al. Visceral leishmaniasis in China: an endemic disease under control. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015; 28:987–1004. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR. 00080-14 PMID: 26354822

10. Wilson AL, Courtenay O, Kelly-Hope LA, Scott TW, Takken W, Torr SJ, et al. (2020), The importance of vector control for the control and elimination of vector-borne diseases. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 14(1): e0007831. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal. pntd.0007831.

11. Bhatt S, Weiss DJ, Cameron E, et al. The effect of malaria control on Plasmodium falciparum in Africa between 2000 and 2015. Nature. 2015;526(7572):207–11.

12. Aïkpon R, Affoukou C, Hounpkatin B, Eclou D-D, Cyaka Y, Egwu E, et al. Digitalized mass distribution campaign of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) in the particular context of Covid-19 pandemic in Benin: challenges and lessons learned. Malar J. (2020) 19:1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-03508-x

13. Weetman D, Kamgang B, Badolo A, Moyes CL, Shearer FM, Coulibaly M, et al. Aedes mosquitoes and Aedes-borne arboviruses in Africa: current and future threats. Int J Environ Res Public Health. (2018) 15:220. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15020220

14. Torto B and Tchouassi DP (2021) Grand Challenges in Vector-Borne Disease Control Targeting Vectors. Front. Trop. Dis. 1:635356. doi: 10.3389/fitd.2020.635356

15. Sridhar S, Luedtke A, Langevin E, Zhu M, Bonaparte M, Machabert T, et al. Effect of dengue serostatus on dengue vaccine safety and efficacy. N Engl J Med. 2018; 379(4):327–40. https://doi.org/10. 1056/NEJMoa1800820 PMID: 29897841

16. Asingizwe D, Poortvliet PM, van Vliet AJH, Koenraadt CJM, Ingabire CM, Mutesa L and Leeuwis C (2020) What do people benefit from a citizen science programme? Evidence from a Rwandan citizen science programme on malaria control. Malar J 19: 283.