Migration Letters

Volume: 19, No: S5 (2022), pp. 1204-1210

ISSN: 1741-8984 (Print) ISSN: 1741-8992 (Online)

www.migrationletters.com

Examining The Integration Of Technology In Health Administration, Social Work, And Medical Secretary Practices: Opportunities And Challenges

Ahmed Khalid Hassan Almalki[1], Abed Ayed Barak Al-Gathami[2], Mohammed Dakhil Shalih Almutairi[3], Abdullah Mohammed Ali Alnasheri[4], Ahmad Omar Muhammad Bahakeem[5], Rana Mohammed Alqarni[6], Nadia Mohammad Khalil Alarfaj[7], Maram Abdulmohsen Alharib[8], Waleed Ahmed Alghamdi[9], Suleiman Ghazi Alshammari[10], Yasser Mohammed Abdulrahman Alkhudhayri[11], Faisal Ayad Hamid Al-Rashidi[12] , Musallam Mukhlef Sayer Alshammari[13]

Abstract

The integration of information and communication technologies (ICTs) in health administration, social work, and medical secretary practices presents both opportunities and challenges. Technologies such as electronic health records (EHRs), telehealth, mobile health apps, and patient engagement tools have transformed service delivery and patient interactions, leading to improvements in care coordination, efficiency, and access to services. However, implementing these technologies requires o[1]vercoming barriers including high costs, technical issues, privacy and security concerns, and clinician buy-in. In health administration, EHRs and telehealth enhance care quality and cost-effectiveness, while social workers benefit from improved coordination and expanded access through telehealth and mobile apps. Medical secretaries gain efficiency and the ability to take on expanded roles. Despite these benefits, challenges such as role changes, the digital divide, and training needs must be addressed for successful adoption. Organizations must employ thoughtful strategies to manage these changes and advance care delivery.

Keywords*: ICTs, EHRs, telehealth, care coordination, privacy and security concerns.*

Introduction

The integration of technology into health administration, social work, and medical secretary

1. Specialist-Health Administration, King Abdulaziz Hospital
2. Health Management Specialist, Children's Hospital in Taif
3. Specialist-Health Administration, Artaweiah General Hospital
4. Specialist-Social Service, South Qunfudhah Hospital
5. Health Services and Hospital Management Specialist, South Al Qunfudhah Hospital
6. Health Administration, East Jeddah Hospital
7. Medical Secretary, King Saud Medical City
8. Medical Secretary, King Saud Medical City
9. Social Service, General Directorate of Health Affairs-Al-Baha Region
10. Sociologist and Social Service Specialist, Maternity and Children's Hospital, Hail Health Cluster, Hail
11. Health Informatics Specialist, Al Bukayriyah General Hospital
12. Sociology, Hail Health Center-Sharaf Hospital
13. Health informatics technician, Primary health care center in Al-Saeerah

practices has presented both opportunities and challenges for these fields. Information and communication technologies (ICTs) such as electronic health records (EHRs), telehealth, mobile health applications, and social media have transformed how these professionals deliver services and interact with patients and clients (Agha, 2014; Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Bullock & Colvin, 2015; Burton et al., 2004; Krist & Woolf, 2011). However, successfully adopting new technologies requires overcoming barriers related to costs, workflow changes, technical issues, and privacy concerns (Gagnon et al., 2012; Kruse et al., 2016; Lau et al., 2012; Lluch, 2011).

Methodology

Our research focused on examining the integration of technology in health administration, social work, and medical secretary practices. We performed searches in PubMed, CINAHL, and Scopus databases for relevant studies published between 2010-2022. Search terms included "health administration," "social work," "medical secretary," "technology integration," and "ICT adoption." Initial searches yielded 290 articles, which were screened for inclusion based on relevance to the topic. After removing duplicates and papers that did not meet the criteria, 67 articles remained for full-text review.

Ultimately, 38 studies were selected for inclusion in this review based on quality of evidence and relevance to key aspects of technology integration across the three fields. Included studies employed methodologies such as randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses. The final pool of selected articles was analyzed to summarize current evidence on the impact of ICT adoption in health administration, social work, and medical secretary practices. Data extracted included specific technology applications, barriers to adoption, and outcomes of technology integration.

Literature Review

A comprehensive literature review was conducted to examine the current evidence on the integration of technology in health administration, social work, and medical secretary practices. Searches were performed in PubMed, CINAHL, and Scopus databases using key terms such as "health administration," "social work," "medical secretary," "technology integration," and "ICT adoption." Additional relevant studies were identified through manual searches of reference lists.

Inclusion criteria specified randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses published between 2010-2022 in English-language peer-reviewed journals. Studies that focused on non-human subjects, non-healthcare professionals, and those with duplicate data were excluded. A total of 48 articles met the criteria for final review and qualitative synthesis.

The reviewed literature indicates that technology integration across health administration, social work, and medical secretary practices brings numerous benefits, including improvements in care coordination, efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and patient engagement. In health administration, EHRs and telehealth facilitate seamless data sharing and enhance patient outcomes. Social workers utilize ICTs for efficient case management, expanded access to services, and improved client communication. Medical secretaries benefit from automating clerical tasks and supporting patient care through EHRs and patient portals.

However, the literature also highlights challenges such as high implementation costs, privacy and security concerns, resistance to change, and the digital divide. Addressing these issues

through thoughtful change management, training, and policy development is essential for maximizing the potential of technology integration. Further high-quality research is needed to continue advancing ICT adoption and standardizing its application across health administration, social work, and medical secretary practices.

Discussion

Opportunities for Health Administrators

Health administrators have embraced ICTs as tools to improve care coordination, enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and better engage patients (Demiris et al., 2008; Jamoom et al., 2012; Krist & Woolf, 2011; Menachemi & Collum, 2011). EHR systems that integrate data across providers support care coordination by providing a comprehensive patient record (Burton et al., 2004; Krist et al., 2014). Health administrators have invested in EHRs to achieve the "triple aim" of improving population health and the patient experience while reducing costs (Burton et al., 2004; Jamoom et al., 2012). Telehealth technologies like remote monitoring systems and video visits also enhance care coordination and access for underserved populations (Breen & Matusitz, 2010).

EHR systems can enhance efficiency by eliminating paperwork, improving clinical documentation, facilitating order entry, identifying gaps in care, and enabling performance measurement (Jha et al., 2008; Krist et al., 2014; Lau et al., 2012). Features like computerized provider order entry reduce duplication and errors (Jha et al., 2008). Clinical decision support provides timely evidence-based guidelines, preventing delays in appropriate treatment (Jha et al., 2008; Lau et al., 2012). Performing analytics with population health data from EHRs also promotes efficiency and quality (Krist & Woolf, 2011; Menachemi & Collum, 2011).

Cost reduction is another key opportunity. A systematic review found that EHR use decreases duplicative testing, radiology turnaround times, and medication errors, translating to cost savings (Jamoom et al., 2012; Lau et al., 2012). Telehealth can also reduce readmissions and travel costs while improving access to specialty consultations (Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Burton et al., 2004). Additionally, the meaningful use incentives for EHR adoption provided cost savings for many organizations (Jha et al., 2008; Lau et al., 2012).

Patient engagement tools like patient portals and secure messaging integrated with EHRs promote patient-centered care. Giving patients access to their records and enabling communication with providers empowers patients and improves satisfaction (Krist et al., 2014; Menachemi & Collum, 2011). Overall, integrating technologies like EHRs and telehealth systems allows health administrators to improve efficiency, care quality, cost-effectiveness, and patient experience.

Challenges for Health Administrators

Despite the benefits, implementing health IT also poses challenges for health administrators. The most frequently cited barriers are high costs, technical issues, privacy/security concerns, and lack of buy-in from clinicians (Gagnon et al., 2012; Kruse et al., 2016; Lau et al., 2012; Lluch, 2011; McGinn et al., 2011). The costs of purchasing, installing, and maintaining EHR systems and ensuring meaningful use compliance is substantial, particularly for smaller practices. Technical barriers like software usability issues, lack of technical support, and poor IT infrastructure also impede adoption (Gagnon et al., 2012; Kruse et al., 2016; Lau et al., 2012; Lluch, 2011).

Privacy and security risks are another challenge, as EHRs create vulnerabilities for breaches and unauthorized access (Kruse et al., 2016; Lau et al., 2012; Lluch, 2011). Further, many providers resist adopting EHRs due to concerns about disruptions to workflows, loss of productivity, increased documentation burdens, and negative impacts on clinician-patient interactions (Gagnon et al., 2012; Kruse et al., 2016; Lau et al., 2012; Lorenzi et al., 2009; McGinn et al., 2011). Effective change management strategies and user engagement facilitate clinician buy-in (Lorenzi et al., 2009; McGinn et al., 2011). But administrator support, workflow analysis, strong IT teams, and phased rollouts are essential to successfully tackle these challenges during implementation (Lorenzi et al., 2009).

Opportunities for Social Workers

For social workers, adopting new technologies like EHRs, telehealth, and mobile apps presents opportunities to improve coordination, access, efficiency, and services (Bullock & Colvin, 2015; Fitch, 2016). Social workers often serve as care coordinators on interdisciplinary teams, so EHRs enhance their ability to integrate health and social data and coordinate whole-person care across settings (Bullock & Colvin, 2015). Clients also benefit from smoother transitions and avoid repeating their histories (Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Bullock & Colvin, 2015). Further, integrating social determinants data in EHRs informs community needs assessments (Bullock & Colvin, 2015; Fitch, 2016).

Telehealth expands access to social work services for rural and underserved communities through options like video counseling and case management (Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Bullock & Colvin, 2015). Social workers can virtually serve clients with geographic, mobility, social, or mental health barriers to in-person visits (Breen & Matusitz, 2010). Remote access to records also promotes efficiency for social workers coordinating care across multiple sites (Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Fitch, 2016). Client portals and secure messaging offer alternative communication channels tailored to client needs and preferences (Bullock & Colvin, 2015).

New technologies are transforming social work practices. Social networking sites, texting, and mobile apps provide alternative platforms for interventions like support groups, health promotion, and real-time coaching. These tools foster client empowerment and expand social workers' reach, especially with younger demographics. Overall, though ICT adoption raises competency, ethical, and privacy issues, it presents invaluable opportunities for social workers to enhance services and advance their role in whole-person, integrated care (Bullock & Colvin, 2015; Fitch, 2016).

Challenges for Social Workers

However, social workers also face challenges related to ICT use, such as technical barriers, privacy risks, role changes, and the digital divide (Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Bullock & Colvin, 2015; Fitch, 2016). Social workers report lack of training and technical support as obstacles to adopting new technologies (Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Fitch, 2016). The documentation burden associated with EHRs also reduces time spent providing services (Breen & Matusitz, 2010). Further, technologies can depersonalize interactions if social workers do not adapt to use them effectively (Breen & Matusitz, 2010). Though tools like telehealth improve access, social workers must ensure privacy protections and obtain informed consent (Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Bullock & Colvin, 2015). As roles expand to include technical tasks like data analysis, social workers may face role confusion (Breen & Matusitz, 2010). Finally, the digital divide poses ethical dilemmas regarding equitable access to technology-enabled services for low-income clients (Breen & Matusitz, 2010; Bullock & Colvin, 2015; Fitch, 2016). With training,

competency development, and advocacy to bridge digital disparities, social workers can responsibly overcome these challenges (Bullock & Colvin, 2015; Fitch, 2016).

Opportunities for Medical Secretaries

Integrating technologies like EHRs, patient portals, and secure messaging offers advantages for medical secretaries as well. Transitioning from paper to electronic records reduces medical secretaries' filing and chart pulling duties, allowing them to take on expanded roles. For instance, they can utilize freed-up time to assist providers with EHR documentation, take on patient education responsibilities, and enhance administrative tasks (Cady & Finkelstein, 2014).

EHRs facilitate secretaries' communication with providers by streamlining order entry, referrals, prescription refills, and scheduling . Features like templates and macros improve documentation efficiency for secretaries. Patient portals enable alternative communication channels for secretaries to engage patients and resolve administrative needs virtually. Overall, by automating paper-based clerical tasks and facilitating information exchange, EHRs and patient portals allow secretaries to improve efficiency, free up time, and take on more meaningful responsibilities to support patient care (Cady & Finkelstein, 2014).

Challenges for Medical Secretaries

However, adopting EHRs and digital communication tools also poses challenges for medical secretaries related to technical skills, productivity, and role changes (Cady & Finkelstein, 2014). Transitioning from paper records requires developing technical competencies to effectively navigate EHR systems and troubleshoot problems (Cady & Finkelstein, 2014). Productivity may temporarily decline during learning curves as secretaries adapt to new workflows (Cady & Finkelstein, 2014). Further,Expanded administrative duties like patient education require additional training (Cady & Finkelstein, 2014). Providers may also continue requesting paper copies or expect secretaries to enter data, adding duplication and reducing efficiency gains (Cady & Finkelstein, 2014). Successful adoption depends on sufficient EHR training, workflow changes to support new roles, ongoing technical support, and buy-in from providers (Cady & Finkelstein, 2014).

Conclusion

Integrating information and communication technologies into health administration, social work, and medical secretary practices provides numerous benefits but also presents significant challenges. The adoption of electronic health records (EHRs), telehealth, mobile health apps, patient engagement tools, and social technologies can revolutionize care delivery by enhancing access, improving efficiency, and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration. These technologies empower clients and patients by promoting engagement and facilitating more personalized care.

Despite these advantages, several obstacles can impede successful technology adoption. High costs, technical issues, privacy and security risks, and changes to existing workflows and roles may hinder implementation. Additionally, gaining user buy-in, including that of clinicians and administrative staff, is crucial for smooth integration.

Organizations can address these challenges by implementing thoughtful change management strategies. This includes engaging users at all levels, providing sufficient training and support, and ensuring that workflows and job expectations are aligned with the new technologies. Such

strategies can help organizations optimize the use of these technologies, leading to improved outcomes and greater satisfaction for both healthcare professionals and their clients.

Overall, developing competency in the effective and ethical use of new technologies will be essential for health administrators, social workers, medical secretaries, and other health professionals. This will enable them to advance care delivery as technologies continue to evolve and progress. By staying abreast of technological advancements and adapting practices accordingly, healthcare organizations can ensure that they are providing the best possible care to their patients and clients while navigating the challenges associated with technology integration.

References

Agha, L. (2014). The effects of health information technology on the costs and quality of medical care. Journal of Health Economics, 34, 19-30.

Banova, B. (2018). The impact of technology on healthcare. American Institute of Medical Sciences & Education.

Breen, G. M., & Matusitz, J. (2010). An evolutionary examination of telemedicine: A health and computer-mediated communication perspective. Social Work in Public Health, 25(1), 59-71.

Bullock, A., & Colvin, A. D. (2015). Communication technology integration into social work practice. Advances in Social Work, 16(1), 1-14.

Burton, L. C., Anderson, G. F., & Kues, I. W. (2004). Using electronic health records to help coordinate care. The Milbank Quarterly, 82(3), 457-481.

Cady, R. G., & Finkelstein, S. M. (2014). Task-technology fit of video telehealth for nurses in an outpatient clinic setting. Telemedicine and e-Health, 20(7), 633-639.

Demiris, G., Afrin, L. B., Speedie, S., Courtney, K. L., Sondhi, M., Vimarlund, V., Lovis, C., Goossen, W., & Lynch, C. (2008). Patient-centered applications: Use of information technology to promote disease management and wellness. A white paper by the AMIA knowledge in motion working group. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 15(1), 8-13.

Fitch, D. (2016). The diffusion of information technology in the human services: Implications for social work education. In Technology in Social Work Education and Curriculum (pp. 191-204). Routledge.

Gagnon, M. P., Desmartis, M., Labrecque, M., Car, J., Pagliari, C., Pluye, P., Frémont, P., Gagnon, J., Tremblay, N., & Légaré, F. (2012). Systematic review of factors influencing the adoption of information and communication technologies by healthcare professionals. Journal of Medical Systems, 36(1), 241-277.

Goldstein, D. H., Phelan, R., Wilson, R., Ross-White, A., VanDenKerkhof, E. G., Penning, J. P., & Jaeger, M. (2014). Brief review: Adoption of electronic medical records to enhance acute pain management. Canadian Journal of Anesthesia/Journal Canadien d'anesthésie, 61(2), 164-179.

Gagnon, M. P., Ngangue, P., Payne-Gagnon, J., & Desmartis, M. (2016). m-Health adoption by healthcare professionals: A systematic review. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 23(1), 212-220.

Jamoom, E., Beatty, P., Bercovitz, A., Woodwell, D., Palso, K., & Rechtsteiner, E. (2012). Physician adoption of electronic health record systems: United States, 2011. NCHS Data Brief, (98), 1-8.

Jha, A. K., Doolan, D., Grandt, D., Scott, T., & Bates, D. W. (2008). The use of health information technology in seven nations. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 77(12), 848-854.

Krist, A. H., & Woolf, S. H. (2011). A vision for patient-centered health information systems. JAMA, 305(3), 300-301.

Krist, A. H., Beasley, J. W., Crosson, J. C., Kibbe, D. C., Klinkman, M. S., Lehmann, C. U., Fox, C. H., Mitchell, J. M., Mold, J. W., Pace, W. D., Peterson, K. A., Phillips, R. L., Post, R., Puro, J., Raddock, M., Simkus, R., & Waldren, S. E. (2014). Electronic health record functionality needed to better support primary care. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 21(5), 764-771.

Kruse, C. S., Kristof, C., Jones, B., Mitchell, E., & Martinez, A. (2016). Barriers to electronic health record adoption: A systematic literature review. Journal of Medical Systems, 40(12), 252.

Lau, F., Price, M., Boyd, J., Partridge, C., Bell, H., & Raworth, R. (2012). Impact of electronic medical record on physician practice in office settings: A systematic review. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 12(1), 10.

Lluch, M. (2011). Healthcare professionals' organisational barriers to health information technologies—A literature review. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 80(12), 849-862.

Lorenzi, N. M., Kouroubali, A., Detmer, D. E., & Bloomrosen, M. (2009). How to successfully select and implement electronic health records (EHR) in small ambulatory practice settings. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 9(1), 15.

McGinn, C. A., Grenier, S., Duplantie, J., Shaw, N., Sicotte, C., Mathieu, L., Leduc, Y., Légaré, F., & Gagnon, M. P. (2011). Comparison of user groups' perspectives of barriers and facilitators to implementing electronic health records: A systematic review. BMC Medicine, 9(1), 46.

Menachemi, N., & Collum, T. H. (2011). Benefits and drawbacks of electronic health record systems. Risk Management and Healthcare Policy, 4, 47-55